

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED:

TranSystems Corporation
2400 Pershing Road
Kansas City, MO 64108

2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region III
2443 Warrenville Road
Suite 210
Lisle, Illinois 60532-4351

REPORT 2006-001

3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)

030-34733

4. LICENSEE NUMBER(S)

24-32091-01

5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION

March 6th 8, 2006

LICENSEE:

The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows:

- ☒ 1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified.
- ☐ 2. Previous violation(s) closed.
- ☐ 3. The violation(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, NUREG-1600, to exercise discretion, were satisfied.

_____ Non-Cited Violation(s) was/were discussed involving the following requirement(s) and Corrective Action(s):

- ☐ 4. During this inspection certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements and are being cited. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11.

(Violations and Corrective Actions)

Licensee's Statement of Corrective Actions for Item 4, above.

I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.

Title	Printed Name	Signature	Date
LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE			
NRC INSPECTOR	Deborah A. Piskura	<i>D. A. Piskura</i>	3/8/2006

Docket File Information

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT
AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE

TranSystem Corporation

REPORT NUMBER(S) 2006-001

2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE

Region III
2443 Warrenville Road
Lisle, IL 60532

3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)

030-34733

4. LICENSE NUMBER(S)

24-32091-01

5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION

Mar. 6 & 8, 2006

6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES

87124

7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS

03.01, 03.02, 03.03, 03.04, 03.05, 03.06, and 03.07

SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION

1. PROGRAM

03121

2. PRIORITY

E 1 5

3. LICENSEE CONTACT

John C. Cooper, RSO

4. TELEPHONE NUMBER

913.438.6333



Main Office Inspection

Next Inspection Date: Mar. 2011



Field



Temporary Job Site

PROGRAM SCOPE

The licensee was a large architectural/engineering/consulting firm with 30+ field offices and 800 employees nationwide. The licensee possessed 9 moisture/density gauge used daily for backfill testing projects during the construction season; the licensee occasionally used gauges in Missouri for testing projects. The licensee approved 16 individuals (all successfully completed the manufacturer's training course) as authorized gauge users. All gauges were stored at the licensee's Lenexa, Kansas office. To date, the licensee had not stored any devices at its Missouri office. The licensee did not perform any service or maintenance activities on its gauges; these services were performed by the manufacturer.

During this inspection, the inspector interviewed select licensee personnel, and reviewed select records. Licensee personnel discussed and demonstrated security measures in the field, transportation procedures and emergency procedures. At the time of this inspection three gauges were in use within an Agreement State (outside the Commission's jurisdiction). Therefore, the inspector was unable to conduct a field inspection.