

**From:** A. Randolph Blough , *RI*  
**To:** Marc Ferdas  
**Date:** 6/17/04 2:51PM  
**Subject:** Re: 50.75(g) Action Item - PSEG Public Mtg

very good, so long as we follow the normal process for feeders. that would include DRS BC review and approval of the input. In this particular case, since it's a follow up of a previous item, the original inspector, Ron nimitz, maybe should look at it, too.

>>> Marc Ferdas 06/17/04 02:36PM >>>

Randy,

An action item from today's meeting involved verifying that the NRC reviewed PSEG's 50.75g file and incorporated this activity into the upcoming inspection report.

Joe Furia did perform this review, however it was not documented in the feeder he originally provided.

I spoke w/ Joe about this and asked if he could provide some additional sentences describing his review that could be incorporated into his original feeder. **If you approve, I will add the sentences provided (please see attached ) to the upcoming HC inspection report. Also, should we add it to the Salem report as well.**

Thanks

**CC:** Djh; Jrw1

*C-238*