

From: A. Randolph Blough *RT*
To: Dave Lochbaum
Date: 3/1/04 12:30PM
Subject: Re: PSEG's survey by Synergy

Dave, I will look into this and someone will get back to you.
randy

>>> "Dave Lochbaum" <dlochbaum@ucsusa.org> 03/01/04 11:52AM >>>
Hello Randy:

I've seen the detailed results from the survey conducted by Synergy at Salem/Hope Creek in December 2003.

I've compared those results to the results of Synergy surveys at Diablo Canyon, South Texas Project, and the Gaseous Diffusion Plants since 1999. I've also compared those results to the results of the survey conducted by Dr. Sonja Haber's company at Davis-Besse in March 2003.

With the exception of the PSEG survey, all of the other surveys are publicly available in ADAMS. The United States Enrichment Corporation had initially sought to submit the results under 10 CFR 2.790 protection, but NRC's Cynthia Carpenter was apparently able to persuade the company not to hide the results.

Is it the NRC's intention to require PSEG to submit the Synergy results on the docket? If so, will PSEG put the results on the docket prior to the NRC public meeting about the safety culture at Salem/Hope Creek? If not, would it be worth UCS submitting a 2.206 petition, perhaps with endorsements by elected officials at Indian Point, asking NRC to order PSEG to provide the information?

The answers are relevant to UCS because we have the Synergy results. The results are instructive. We will rely on the results in our statements and reports to the NRC, Congress, and the media on this subject. Whether the Synergy results are on the docket - as the survey results are for all those other cases - or not merely affects how we use the results.

Thanks,
Dave Lochbaum

C-125