

DJV - note from 6/16/23 mtg

NJ 4th Floor 8:70 am

stf <sup>Cap</sup> [ - Correct/Change Review  
- Improved screening?

1/28/04 letter

IAT - 2/18 - 4/16/04  
- also accepted volunteers

A SCP - 15-20% - not viable alternative  
(peer review mgmt)

- mgmt ineffectiveness @ under study  
potential effects of Operational Events

- 2003 efforts to improve SCWT  
not effective (ECP surveys?)

- Better after Strongy Survey

- Events - 14 - unintended or mixed-message sent  
- illustrative but not exhaustive

C-83

Q's

Lisa - perception of non-conservative mgmt decision making?  
- perception vs. reality

Randy - Corporate <sup>impact</sup> perceived/unintended  
- how concluded?

Hub - IAT overall assessment of how  
- to company has handled soft  
& hard (finding & fixing problems) SCWE problems?

Lisa - mgmt effectiveness in detecting & ~~preventing~~ preventing  
retaliation & whistleblowing  
- Is there a process on site for  
looking @ potential retaliation (5 min. <sup>Not then but now</sup>)  
- IAT recommendation to set up a  
process (ERB)

Hub -

Jim Clifford - what was corporate message?  
- Report says what it was not

Randy B - What's causing procedure adherence issues?

Charlon - history of problems

- tried to change or ignore  
- hard to answer how it  
got that way  
- mentioned pump vibration issue

Randy B. role of engr  
Oltanlen - engr supportive of ops  
Prezkap - weakness in engr

Belkter  
15 Business Objectives  
SWT  
CAP  
WMP  
Leadership Effectiveness  
Facilities / Housekeeping

Improvement Plan Action Matrix

( After full W. Coutrye - another survey survey  
to detect Exec. Stimpow  
- met w/ NAC (1st Qtr 2005)  
→ repeat periodically over next 5 yrs

Hub - PM program?

- Bekken - "rebuild" system engr program
- look @ current PM - decide whether it is OK or whether more ~~eng~~ PMs are needed

Randy - spare parts comments from USA Report

~~A. Brothers~~  
SCWF

To Answer  
Randy B's  
Central  
question

- Proc. Adherence issues

- same problem as w/ long standby temp issue
- long-standby procedure problem caused acceptance of problems

M. Brothers Assessment of 4 SCWF Pillars

Pillars

- 1 Green
- 2 Yellow
- 3 yellow
- 4 yellow

Less What does CAB use as criteria for effectiveness of C/S's.

- CAB reviews all Lvl 1, 2 + 3 items (~700/month)

# Post Meeting Comments

J. Lipoti -

- How will NRC oversight impact process?
  - = "re-classified" notifications will avoid CARB -
  - will there be manipulation of metrics?
- QA findings
  - Performance bonus policy
    - production-based?
    - are bonus criteria consistent w/ safety goals?



~~Dr. K~~ - typed statements -

- Disappointed that Eerland isn't here -
- Why not contacted by IAT?

\* - DSV to go thru typed statement

- H&I issue

- OT Reqs.

- Corporate pressure - direct pressure

- contrary to statements @ mtg

(specifics of direct corporate pressure?)



Call Dr. H. -

H&I response Cassidy -

- solicited comments of oversight

& monitoring of results

- funding to get well program is important

- S/D decisions are our judgment & we will S/D if we need to order so

## D. Lockbaum

- If NRC believes they are safe, why should PSEG fix anything?
- NRC Safety Assessments of "As-Found" plant?

or PSEG

"There can't be an accident @ these plants...  
If an accident occurs, it's intentional."

- Too much uncertainty
- Monitoring
  - NRC should consider CAL of Conf. Order -

## Hub's Response

- Inspector oversight
- perf indicators
- Some changes are helping head things in right direction (system engs)  
safety margins are being preserved

## N. Cohen

- Dr. K & DL said a lot of what he wanted to say
- shut 'em down
- give 'em 90 days
- ~~Can't wait~~ Can't wait til 1/08 for next mtg
- need to have a public mtg every 90 days -

50.75(g)  
review

→ PSEG owner

Norm C.

- NRC needs a standard SCHE measurement

## Post-Consensus

Alleg - Call Dr. K re: Mis-Truths?  
- Rescind her statements?

- \* After CBL or Confirmatory Order
  - Send statement letter to Dr. K after CBL  
Inviting comments or our followup
  - DL to send letter to DL - re 2.206  
shutdown request

Role of QA in USORpt - What is PSEG doing?  
Role of NRC - What did PSEG do in response?  
- not pictures?

---

## Future Actions?

- What do we think of their assessments
- $\Delta$ 's -? between their renew + ours
- Regulatory footprint - do we need to finish our renew first?
- CAL? Adjustable?
- Monitoring of licensee results?
  - what do we do w/ periodic <sup>quarterly</sup> reports
    - immediate follow-up - are things they are doing getting right answers
    - renew before fall mtg

What tools are they using for future & ongoing assessment?

- should we look @ before fall meeting?
- are they measuring the problem?