

**From:** David Vito *125*  
**To:** SALEMHCSCWE  
**Date:** 2/27/04 3:34PM  
**Subject:** Provision of 2/27/04 PSEG response to allegor (RI-2003-A-0110)

FYI, I just called the allegor and faxed the letter to her, along with the other Ferland letter referenced in the 2/27/04 response (the 2/13/04 letter). The 2/27/04 letter is simultaneously being placed into ADAMS. She called me back a few minutes after she received the fax to indicated that it didn't really say much about the current status of things at the site. I acknowledged and told her that the letter was still being reviewed buy a number of people in Region I, so that we can prepare for the upcoming meeting, where we will be able to ask more pointed questions. She thanked me for getting the info to her quickly.

Just to update folks on my other recent substantive contacts with the allegor:

2/19/04 - she called to tell me she had spoke with Randy B. the previous evening and had an informative discussion with him. She provided some info about the "N1" root cause evaluation authored by Carolyn Taylor. She indicated that it was initiated in October 2003, but not completed until after the 1/28/04 NRC letter. She indicated that after PSEG received the 1/28/04 NRC letter, Larry Wagner asked her to be responsive to some of the letter's comments in the N1 evaluation. She thought it was peculiar that Carolyn Taylor was the only individual assigned to do the RCA, because it was her impressions that N1 RCA's were always assigned to a group of people.—oooooRe: the Synergy report, she indicated that she has read the "roll-up" section of the report along with the detail behind it. She thought it was curious that the CNO rated all areas higher than the workers did. She also indicated that there was indication how broken ECP is.———She had another question which dealt with NRC's interface with INPO. She told me that after the mid-2003 INPO review, INPO requested a private meeting with the PSEG Board of Directors (not "nuclear people"). She wasn't sure if Ferland was there. She wanted to know, based on the rules of engagement between the NRC and INPO, if the NRC could request INPO to provide the results of that meeting to the NRC. I discussed this with Randy Blough who said (and I agree) that we cannot make such a request. Randy added that however, if such information were to find its way to us through other means (e.g., it is provided to us by another source), we would review the information. I relayed that information to her in a subsequent short phone call.

2/24/04 - She called to provide specific dates of initiation and completion for the N1 root cause evaluation noted above. I told her that I though we had the information , but said I would relay it to Eileen and Scott anyway, just in case.

=====

Regarding her discussions earlier this week with other staff about trying to arrange NRC presence at a recent meeting between site maintenance personnel and their management, she has not bought up the issue during any of the three conversations I have had with her since this occurred.

*C-27*