



**DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY**  
INSTALLATION MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
HEADQUARTERS, UNITED STATES ARMY GARRISON, ROCK ISLAND ARSENAL  
1 ROCK ISLAND ARSENAL  
ROCK ISLAND, ILLINOIS 61299-5000

REPLY TO  
ATTENTION OF:

February 9, 2006

Office of the Garrison Manager

Dr. Tom McLaughlin  
Materials Decommissioning Branch  
Division of Waste Management and Environmental Protection  
Office of Nuclear Materials Safety and Safeguards  
Two White Flint North  
11545 Rockville Pike  
Rockville, MD 20852-2738

Dear Dr. McLaughlin:

Reference letter from the Nuclear Regulatory Commission RC dated January 18, 2006, subject: Request for Additional Information to Support NRC's Evaluation of the Proposed Field Sampling Plan (FSP) for Jefferson Proving Ground (License No. SUB-1435). As requested in referenced letter, the Army response to the specific questions is provided as enclosure.

If you have any questions, please contact either Ms. Joyce E. Kuykendall, at (410)436-7118, E-mail address [joyce.kuykendall@us.army.mil](mailto:joyce.kuykendall@us.army.mil), Mr. Paul Cloud, US Army Jefferson Proving Ground (JPG), at (410) 436-2381, E-mail address [paul.d.cloud@us.army.mil](mailto:paul.d.cloud@us.army.mil) or Mr. John J. Welling, Chief Counsel, US Army Garrison-Rock Island Arsenal, at (309) 782-8433, E-mail address [wellingj@ria.army.mil](mailto:wellingj@ria.army.mil).

Sincerely,

Alan G. Wilson  
Garrison Manager

Enclosure

Copy Furnished:

Paul Cloud  
Joyce Kuykendall

**RESPONSES TO THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY  
COMMISSION JANUARY 18, 2006, REQUEST FOR  
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REGARDING THE PROPOSED  
FIELD SAMPLING PLAN FOR JEFFERSON PROVING  
GROUND (LICENSE SUB-1435)**

**Submitted to:**

**U.S. Department of Army  
Installation Support Management Agency  
Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland**

**Prepared by:**

**Science Applications International Corporation  
Reston, Virginia**

**February 2006**

**THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.**

## QUESTION 1: STREAM AND CAVE GAUGING STATIONS

The Army should provide additional information on its rationale for postponing the installation of stream and cave gauging stations and measurement of stream and cave flows until the new monitoring wells are operable. The Army also should clarify its phased approach for collecting stream and cave flow data based upon the interrelationships of stream flow, cave flow, and groundwater flow. This should include a discussion on how it will decide if low flow or base flow stream and cave values should be collected and on how it will perform these measurements during the phased stream and cave monitoring program if these items are determined to be needed. The Army should also provide additional information on its rationale for postponing the gathering of climatic data and why it is not installing an automated climatic station.

### BASIS

Information on the interrelationships of precipitation, recharge to the groundwater, stream flow, cave flow, and groundwater flow is critical to measuring and understanding the fate and potential transport of depleted uranium at Jefferson Proving Ground (JPG). It is important to gather precipitation, stream flow, cave flow, and groundwater flow data over different flow and climatic conditions.

### REFERENCES

U.S. Department of Army Installation Support Management Activity. 2005. *Field Sampling Plan, Depleted Uranium Impact Area Site Characterization, Jefferson Proving Ground, Madison, Indiana*. Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland. May 2005.

Department of Army Installation Management Agency. 2005. *Responses to Action Items Identified at the 8 September 2005 Meeting Between the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) and the U.S. Army Regarding NRC License SUB-1435, Jefferson Proving Ground*. Rock Island, Illinois. October 2005.

### RESPONSE

The Army has updated and accelerated the site characterization schedule for collection of cave spring, streams, and precipitation by approximately one year, and increased the time frame and frequency of related data collection activities relative to those plans presented in the 2005 Field Sampling Plan. The discussion below highlights these changes, including the NRC's request for more detail on the Army's approach to this phase of site characterization.

The Army currently is planning to establish cave spring and stream gauge/stage monitoring stations with the installation of station equipment in 2006 (May to July time frame) rather than the 2007 time frame planned originally in the Field Sampling Plan (U.S. Army 2005). Immediately following the establishment of monitoring stations, the Army will collect continuous stage measurements including, but not limited to, the

collection of data during low and base flow conditions. The stage measurements will be completed at the stations using pressure transducers and electronic data recorders. As part of the phased characterization approach, the Army also will collect manual flow measurements that will be used to calibrate stations and develop type curves for the stream gauging stations. This stage data will be collected continuously until at least one hydrologic year of groundwater stage data have been collected. This time frame is anticipated to overlap with well installations in the DU Impact Area in accordance with the Field Sampling Plan (U.S. Army 2005).

Precipitation data from the existing automated weather station maintained by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) will be downloaded from the following website <http://www.fs.fed.us/raws/>. These data are provided through the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Cooperative Institute for Regional Prediction. Mesowest, associated with the University of Utah, manages the data for this (and other) weather stations. The weather station is located on the eastern side of the JPG facility, is automated, and provides continuous precipitation data through a cooperative network.

Data collection, analysis, and reporting on precipitation, cave springs and streams will be conducted simultaneously, beginning in 2006. Stage monitoring will be conducted continuously with pressure transducers and electronic data loggers and manual flow monitoring will be completed monthly for one year and quarterly for the second year.

## **QUESTION 2: APPROACH FOR DETERMINATION OF HYDRAULIC PROPERTIES OF WATER-BEARING UNITS**

The Army should provide additional information to justify why a phased approach for determining the hydraulic properties (hydraulic conductivity and storage parameters) of the water-bearing units (both the unconsolidated and limestone units), utilizing aquifer pump test procedures and other approaches, should not be performed at JPG.

### **BASIS**

The hydraulic properties of the water-bearing units are needed to understand the rate of groundwater flow and storage of groundwater. Both are important factors that impact the fate and potential transport of the depleted uranium at JPG. A phased approach for obtaining the hydraulic properties of the water-bearing units permits the Army to determine these parameters and to evaluate whether additional data should be obtained.

### **REFERENCES**

U.S. Department of Army Installation Support Management Activity. 2005. *Field Sampling Plan, Depleted Uranium Impact Area Site Characterization, Jefferson Proving Ground, Madison, Indiana*. Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland. May 2005.

Department of Army, Installation Management Agency. 2005. *Responses to Action Items Identified at the 8 September 2005 Meeting Between the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory*

## **RESPONSE**

The Army plans to complete a fracture trace analysis, electrical imaging (EI) survey, and soil verification assessment to support the selection of proposed well locations prior to well installation. Each of these phases is designed to provide additional site information and data that will be used to refine and validate the conceptual site model (CSM) and support subsequent characterization planning. As stated by NRC staff in the basis for this question, "a phased approach for obtaining the hydraulic properties of the water-bearing units permits the Army to determine these parameters and to evaluate whether additional data should be obtained."

Following the completion of these proposed study phases, long-term pumping testing of the aquifer will be considered using the then current CSM and supporting site data, such as information on transport mechanisms and groundwater/surface water interrelationships. An appropriate and useful aquifer test can not be defined or designed in complex karst aquifers a priori without the placement and construction of a monitoring network providing basic information and data on the aquifer system. The Army, therefore, will evaluate the merits of including aquifer testing and will discuss this and any other issues/options with NRC staff at the periodic/annual meetings with Army staff as described in the Field Sampling Plan after the results of the aforementioned studies.

## **QUESTION 3: WATER-BEARING UNIT RECHARGE**

The Army should provide additional information on its approach or approaches for measuring, calculating, or estimating recharge to each water-bearing unit. The Army should also discuss the parameters that it will use to determine recharge to each water bearing unit.

## **BASIS**

The measurement of recharge to each water-bearing unit and the movement of this water through the soils and the unsaturated zone provide important information on the fate and potential transport of the depleted uranium at JPG.

## **REFERENCES**

U.S. Department of Army Installation Support Management Activity. 2005. Field Sampling Plan, Depleted Uranium Impact Area Site Characterization, Jefferson Proving Ground, Madison, Indiana. Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland. May 2005.

Department of Army Installation Management Agency. 2005. Responses to Action Items Identified at the 8 September 2005 Meeting Between the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory

Commission (NRC) and the U.S. Army Regarding NRC License SUB-1435, Jefferson Proving Ground, Rock Island, Illinois. October 2005.

## RESPONSE

To estimate the recharge to the aquifer, the Army will use two stream flow hydrograph analysis methods. These methodologies are the stream flow hydrograph separation analysis, and recession curve displacement techniques.. The Army intends to apply these two methods to JPG data using the U.S. Geological Survey's (USGS's) computer programs, PART and RORA (USGS 2005). These analysis methods will provide a "direct" measure of the recharge to the aquifer.

The stream flow hydrograph separation method is a graphical method used to separate surface water and interflow from groundwater base flow. An arithmetic plot of stream flow records against time is generated, and the base flow volume is determined by measuring the percentage of the total area under the curve. This produces an average annualized groundwater recharge rate for the time frame measured. Recharge fluctuates depending on the amount and distribution of rainfall, requiring a comparison to historical rainfall distribution records to determine an expected range of recharge rates for 10-year low, average, and 10-year high precipitation years. The PART computer program provides an automation of the stream flow hydrograph separation procedure. The PART program has reportedly been widely used for stream flow hydrograph analysis in the eastern United States and the software is available at no charge from the USGS (<http://water.usgs.gov/ogw/part/>)

The RORA computer program uses a recession-curve displacement method to estimate groundwater recharge from storm periods recorded on the stream flow hydrographs (i.e., this method is not a stream flow hydrograph separation technique). This computer program, available at no charge from the USGS (see <http://water.usgs.gov/ogw/rora/>), has been used to estimate recharge.

## REFERENCES

United States Geological Survey. 2005. *Estimates of Ground-water Recharge Based on Streamflow-Hydrograph Methods: Pennsylvania*: Open-File Report 2005-1333.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD PANEL

Before Administrative Judges:

Alan S. Rosenthal, Chair  
Dr. Paul B. Abramson  
Dr. Richard F. Cole

In the Matter of	)	Docket No. 40-8838-MLA
	)	
U.S. ARMY	)	ASLBP No. 00-776-04-MLA
	)	
(Jefferson Proving Ground Site)	)	February 13, 2006
	)	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of the U. S. Army's "Responses to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission January 18, 2006, Request for Additional Information Regarding the Proposed Field Sampling Plan for Jefferson Proving Ground (License SUB-1435)" in the above-captioned proceeding have been served on the following persons by U. S. Mail, first class, and (as indicated by asterisk) by e-mail this 13th day of February, 2006:

Administrative Judge Alan S. Rosenthal, Chair\*  
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Mail Stop: T-3F23  
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001  
E-mail: [rsnthl@comcast.net](mailto:rsnthl@comcast.net)

Administrative Judge Paul B. Abramson,\*  
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Mail Stop: T-3F23  
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001  
E-mail: [pba@nrc.gov](mailto:pba@nrc.gov)

Administrative Judge Richard F. Cole\*  
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Mail Stop: T-3F23  
Washington, DC 20555-0001  
[rfc1@nrc.gov](mailto:rfc1@nrc.gov)

Adjudicatory File  
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Mail Stop: T-3F23  
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Debra Wolf \*  
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board  
U.S Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Mail Stop: T-3F23.  
Washington, DC 20555-0001  
E-mail: [daw1@nrc.gov](mailto:daw1@nrc.gov)

Office of Commission Appellate Adjudication  
U.S Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Mail Stop: O-16-O-15  
Washington, DC 20555-0001



Richard Hill, President \*  
Save the Valley, Inc.  
P. O. Box 813  
Madison, IN 47250  
E-mail: [phill@venus.net](mailto:phill@venus.net)

Marian Zobler, Esq. \*  
Patrick Moulding, Esq. \*  
Office of General Counsel  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, DC 20555-0001  
E-mail: [MLZ@nrc.gov](mailto:MLZ@nrc.gov);  
[PAM3@nrc.gov](mailto:PAM3@nrc.gov)


SherVerne R. Cloyd \*  
Atomic Safety And Licensing Board Panel  
Mail Stop: T-3F23  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, DC 20555-0001  
E-mail: [src2@nrc.gov](mailto:src2@nrc.gov)

Office of the Secretary \*  
Attn: Rulemaking & Adjudications Staff  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Mail Stop: O-16C1  
Washington, DC 20555-0001  
E-mail: [HEARINGDOCKET@nrc.gov](mailto:HEARINGDOCKET@nrc.gov)

Michael A. Mullett, Esq. \*  
Jerome E. Polk, Esq. \*  
Mullett, Polk & Associates, LLC.  
309 West Washington Street  
Suite 233  
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2721  
E-mail: [mmullett@mullettlaw.com](mailto:mmullett@mullettlaw.com)  
[jep@mullettlaw.com](mailto:jep@mullettlaw.com)

Tom McLaughlin, Decommissioning Branch\*  
Division of Waste Management  
Office of Nuclear Materials & Safeguards  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, DC 20555-0001  
[tqm@nrc.gov](mailto:tqm@nrc.gov)

Dated February 13, 2006



Frederick P. Kopp  
Counsel  
U. S. Army Garrison - Rock Island Arsenal  
Office of Counsel (AMSTA-RI-GC)  
One Rock Island Arsenal Place  
Rock Island, IL 61299-5000  
Phone: (309) 782- 7951  
FAX: (309) 782-782-3475  
E-mail: [koppf@ria.army.mil](mailto:koppf@ria.army.mil)