

**ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON THE MEDICAL USES OF ISOTOPES  
REVISED SELF-EVALUATION CRITERIA**

***Following is the required Advisory Committee on the Medical Uses of Isotopes (ACMUI) self-evaluation. The purpose of this evaluation is to provide feedback to the Commission on your perception of the ACMUI's performance. This feedback will help the Commission monitor the ACMUI's ability to perform its required function, and will also be useful in helping the staff work with the ACMUI to enhance the Committee's ability to effectively advise the staff.***

***To maximize the usefulness of this evaluation, you are encouraged to keep the following points in mind: 1) When possible, provide specific examples to help support your responses; and 2) Suggest specific actions the staff and/or the ACMUI may consider to address your perception of issues of concern.***

1. Regarding ACMUI timeliness and function: a) Does the committee routinely meet deadlines? b) Does the committee meet frequently enough to address issues in a timely manner? c) Is communication and coordination between the staff and the ACMUI effective, such that interactions are timely and the ACMUI understands its mission, function, and assignments?
2. The ACMUI's charter and the ACMUI Handbook "Serving on the ACMUI: A Member's Guide" (NUREG/BR-0309) state the need for the ACMUI to provide fair, objective advice on policy and technical issues that arise in regulating the medical use of byproduct material for diagnosis and therapy.

Does the ACMUI effectively advise the staff and develop resolutions to issues in a fair, objective, balanced manner, in keeping with the intent of NUREG/BR-0309? Are the various stakeholder groups' interests (such as the public, the medical community, and the Agreement States) fairly and appropriately reflected in the ACMUI's advice?

3. Do the committee members interact collegially to address issues?
4. Is the committee effective at providing advice that is not unduly burdensome on stakeholders while maintaining and/or improving safety?
5. Is the committee effective at recommending new or enhanced regulatory programs and/or providing advice/recommendations within NRC's stated resource constraints?
6. Is the size and composition of the committee adequate to address current issues? For example: a) Should any specialty currently represented be considered for elimination? If so, why? b) Should any specialty not currently represented be added to the committee? If so, why?
7. Does the committee facilitate/foster communication between the public/medical community and NRC?
8. Is the committee effective at making the daily responsibilities of the regulated community understood by the NRC?
9. Does the committee make effective use of subcommittees to assist the staff with specific tasks or projects? For example: a) Are subcommittees formed when appropriate and are members' roles defined? b) Are deadlines met? c) How effectively does the ACMUI address these and other challenges to subcommittee effectiveness?