

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED:

Fort Wayne Cardiology, Inc.
1819 Carew Street
Fort Wayne, Indiana 46805

2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE

REGION III
US NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
2443 WARRENVILLE ROAD, SUITE 210
LISLE, ILLINOIS 60532

REPORT

2006-001

3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)

030-29527

4. LICENSEE NUMBER(S)

13-24797-01

5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION

February 1, 2006

LICENSEE:

The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows:



1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified.



2. Previous violation(s) closed.



3. The violation(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, NUREG-1600, to exercise discretion, were satisfied.

Non-Cited Violation(s) was/were discussed involving the following requirement(s) and Corrective Action(s):



4. During this inspection certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements and are being cited. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11.

(Violations and Corrective Actions)

Licensee's Statement of Corrective Actions for Item 4, above.

I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.

Title

Printed Name


Signature

Date

LICENSEE'S
REPRESENTATIVE

NRC INSPECTOR

Geoffrey M. Warren



2/1/06

Docket File Information
SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT
AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE Fort Wayne Cardiology REPORT NUMBER(S) 2006-001		2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE Region III	
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 030-29527	4. LICENSE NUMBER(S) 13-24797-01	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION February 1, 2006	
6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USED 87130	7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS 03.01 - 03.07		

SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION

1. PROGRAM CODE(S) 02201	2. PRIORITY 5	3. LICENSEE CONTACT William C. Collis, M.D., RSO	4. TELEPHONE NUMBER 260-480-5849
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Main Office Inspection		Next Inspection Date: February 2011	
<input type="checkbox"/> Field Office			
<input type="checkbox"/> Temporary Job Site			

PROGRAM SCOPE

The licensee was a cardiac clinic located in Fort Wayne, Indiana, with authorization to use byproduct materials under Sections 35.100 and 35.200, excluding aerosols and xenon-133. Licensed activities were conducted only at the location indicated on the license. The nuclear medicine department was staffed with four full-time and one part-time nuclear medicine technologists. The licensee's nuclear medicine staff typically administered 50 diagnostic doses daily in the clinic. Doses were primarily technetium-99m for cardiac studies, with occasional use of thallium-201, received as unit doses from a licensed radiopharmacy. All waste was held for decay-in-storage or returned to the radiopharmacy.

Performance Observations

The inspector observed one diagnostic administration of licensed material including dose preparation and disposal, and identified no issues with the procedures. Licensee personnel demonstrated dose calibrator constancy tests, wipe counter and survey meter QC, and package receipt and return surveys, and described daily and weekly contamination surveys. The inspector found no concerns with these activities. Interviews with licensee staff indicated sufficient knowledge of radiation safety procedures. Radiation surveys indicated radiation levels consistent with licensee survey records and postings.