

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED:

ADCO Service, Inc.
17650 Duvan Dr.
Tinley Park, IL 60477

2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region III
2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210
Lisle, IL 60532-4351

REPORT

2006/001

3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)

030-07490

4. LICENSEE NUMBER(S)

12-11286-01

5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION

01/07/2006

LICENSEE:

The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows:



1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified.



2. Previous violation(s) closed.



3. The violation(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, NUREG-1600, to exercise discretion, were satisfied.

Non-Cited Violation(s) was/were discussed involving the following requirement(s) and Corrective Action(s):



4. During this inspection certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements and are being cited. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11.

(Violations and Corrective Actions)

Licensee's Statement of Corrective Actions for Item 4, above.

I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.

Title	Printed Name	Signature	Date
LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE			
NRC INSPECTOR	Tony S. Go		01/17/06

NRC FORM 591M PART 3 (10-2003) 10 CFR 2.201		U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	
<i>Docket File Information</i> SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION			
1. LICENSEE ADCO Services, Inc. REPORT 2006/001		2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region III	
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 030-07490	4. LICENSE NUMBER(S) 12-11286-01	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION 01/ 09 /06	
6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES 87125	7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS 03.01 - 03.07		
SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION			
1. PROGRAM 3234	2. PRIORITY 2	3. LICENSEE CONTACT Jerry Wiza	4. TELEPHONE NUMBER 708-429-1660
<input checked="checked" type="checkbox"/> Main Office Inspection		Next Inspection Date: 01/08	
<input type="checkbox"/> Field			
<input type="checkbox"/> Temporary Job Site			
PROGRAM SCOPE			

NRC FORM 591M PART 3 (10-2003)

Program Scope

The licensee is a waste broker and is authorized for installation, relocation, removal, and packaging of industrial gauges containing byproduct material (sealed sources) incident to shipment as waste. The licensed material is to be used only at customer facilities and temporary job sites where the NRC maintains jurisdiction. As of the inspection, the licensee had not conducted licensed activities in areas of NRC jurisdiction since the last inspection on 11/27/2000. Specifically, all licensed activities were contracted to Ram Services in Two Rivers, Wisconsin, under a specific License No. 48-26638-01. The licensee also possessed an IEMA license in order to possess and to package the sealed sources incident to shipment as waste in State of Illinois. In addition, the licensee also possessed DOT permit to transport hazardous waste.

Performance Observations

The inspector toured the facilities. The inspector also interviewed the authorized user. The individual appeared knowledgeable in radiation safety and isotope handling techniques. The inspector did not perform a performance-based inspection because there were no licensed activities in States where NRC maintains jurisdiction at this time. According to the President of the company, he would like to maintain the current license as a backup license if the contractor from Wisconsin is unable to perform the contracted activities using their license.