

October 17, 2005

Mr. Britt T. McKinney
Sr. Vice President and
Chief Nuclear Officer
PPL Susquehanna, LLC
769 Salem Blvd., NUCSB3
Berwick, PA 18603-0467

SUBJECT: SUSQUEHANNA STEAM ELECTRIC STATION, UNIT 1 - NOTICE OF
CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT TO FACILITY
OPERATING LICENSE, PROPOSED NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS
CONSIDERATION DETERMINATION, AND OPPORTUNITY FOR A HEARING
(TAC NO. MC8626)

Dear Mr. McKinney:

Enclosed is a copy of a "Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity For a Hearing," related to your application for an amendment dated October 14, 2005, for Susquehanna Steam Electric Station, Unit 1 (SSES 1).

The proposed amendment would revise the SSES 1 Technical Specification (TS) Section 2.1.1.2 SSES 1 Cycle 14 (U1C14) minimum critical power ratio (MCPR) safety limit for two-loop operation from 1.08 to 1.09. This value will reflect the results of the SSES 1 mid-cycle 14 core redesign and cycle specific MCPR safety limit analysis. Your application indicated that this proposed change was determined necessary due to control cell friction issues which necessitate a U1C14 core redesign and unit shutdown to implement.

This notice has been forwarded to the Office of Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Richard V. Guzman, Project Manager, Section 1
Project Directorate I
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-387

Enclosure: As stated

cc w/encl: See next page

October 17, 2005

Mr. Britt T. McKinney
Sr. Vice President and
Chief Nuclear Officer
PPL Susquehanna, LLC
769 Salem Blvd., NUCSB3
Berwick, PA 18603-0467

SUBJECT: SUSQUEHANNA STEAM ELECTRIC STATION, UNIT 1 - NOTICE OF
CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT TO FACILITY
OPERATING LICENSE, PROPOSED NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS
CONSIDERATION DETERMINATION, AND OPPORTUNITY FOR A HEARING
(TAC NO. MC8626)

Dear Mr. McKinney:

Enclosed is a copy of a "Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity For a Hearing," related to your application for an amendment dated October 14, 2005, for Susquehanna Steam Electric Station, Unit 1 (SSES 1).

The proposed amendment would revise the SSES 1 Technical Specification (TS) Section 2.1.1.2 SSES 1 Cycle 14 (U1C14) minimum critical power ratio (MCPR) safety limit for two-loop operation from 1.08 to 1.09. This value will reflect the results of the SSES 1 mid-cycle 14 core redesign and cycle specific MCPR safety limit analysis. Your application indicated that this proposed change was determined necessary due to control cell friction issues which necessitate a U1C14 core redesign and unit shutdown to implement.

This notice has been forwarded to the Office of Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Richard V. Guzman, Project Manager, Section 1
Project Directorate I
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-387

Enclosure: As stated

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

PUBLIC	PDI-1 R/F	CHolden	RLaufer	RGuzman	MO'Brien(2)
OGC	ACRS	DLPM DPR	Acting Branch Chief, Branch 4, Rgn-1		

Accession No.: ML052900215

OFFICE	PDI-1/PM	PDI-1/LA	PDI-1/SC
NAME	RGuzman	SLittle	RLaufer
DATE	10/17/05	10/17/05	10/17/05

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

Susquehanna Steam Electric Station, Units 1 and 2

cc:

Robert A. Saccone
General Manager - Nuclear Operations
PPL Susquehanna, LLC
769 Salem Blvd., NUCSB3
Berwick, PA 18603-0467

Aloysius J. Wrape, III
General Manager - Performance
Improvement and Oversight
PPL Susquehanna, LLC
Two North Ninth Street, GENPL4
Allentown, PA 18101-1179

Terry L. Harpster
General Manager - Plant Support
PPL Susquehanna, LLC
769 Salem Blvd., NUCSA4
Berwick, PA 18603-0467

Richard D. Pagodin
General Manager - Nuclear
Engineering
PPL Susquehanna, LLC
769 Salem Blvd., NUCSB3
Berwick, PA 18603-0467

Rocco R. Sgarro
Manager - Nuclear Regulatory Affairs
PPL Susquehanna, LLC
Two North Ninth Street, GENPL4
Allentown, PA 18101-1179

Walter E. Morrissey
Supervising Engineer
Nuclear Regulatory Affairs
PPL Susquehanna, LLC
769 Salem Blvd., NUCSA4
Berwick, PA 18603-0467

Michael H. Crowthers
Supervising Engineer
Nuclear Regulatory Affairs
PPL Susquehanna, LLC
Two North Ninth Street, GENPL4
Allentown, PA 18101-1179

Steven M. Cook
Manager - Quality Assurance
PPL Susquehanna, LLC
769 Salem Blvd., NUCSB2
Berwick, PA 18603-0467

Luis A. Ramos
Community Relations Manager,
Susquehanna
PPL Susquehanna, LLC
634 Salem Blvd., SSO
Berwick, PA 18603-0467

Bryan A. Snapp, Esq
Assoc. General Counsel
PPL Services Corporation
Two North Ninth Street, GENTW3
Allentown, PA 18101-1179

Supervisor - Document Control Services
PPL Susquehanna, LLC
Two North Ninth Street, GENPL4
Allentown, PA 18101-1179

Richard W. Osborne
Allegheny Electric Cooperative, Inc.
212 Locust Street
P.O. Box 1266
Harrisburg, PA 17108-1266

Director - Bureau of Radiation Protection
Pennsylvania Department of
Environmental Protection
Rachel Carson State Office Building
P.O. Box 8469
Harrisburg, PA 17105-8469

Senior Resident Inspector
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
P.O. Box 35, NUCSA4
Berwick, PA 18603-0035

Regional Administrator, Region 1
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
475 Allendale Road
King of Prussia, PA 19406

Susquehanna Steam Electric Station, Units 1 and 2

cc:

Board of Supervisors
Salem Township
P.O. Box 405
Berwick, PA 18603-0035

Dr. Judith Johnsrud
National Energy Committee
Sierra Club
443 Orlando Avenue
State College, PA 16803

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

SUSQUEHANNA STEAM ELECTRIC STATION, UNIT 1

DOCKET NO. 50-387

NOTICE OF CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT TO
FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE, PROPOSED NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS
CONSIDERATION DETERMINATION, AND OPPORTUNITY FOR A HEARING

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF-14 and NPF-22, issued to PPL Susquehanna, LLC (PPL, the licensee), for operation of the Susquehanna Steam Electric Station, Unit 1 (SSES 1), located in Berwick, Pennsylvania.

The proposed amendment would revise the SSES 1 Technical Specification (TS) Section 2.1.1.2 with regard to the Unit 1 Cycle 14 (U1C14) minimum critical power ratio (MCPR) safety limit (SL) for two-loop operation from 1.08 to 1.09 following implementation of a redesigned core. The change to the MCPR SL is necessary due to control cell friction issues which necessitate a U1C14 mid-cycle core redesign and unit shutdown to implement.

The exigent amendment request is being made following PPL's determination, based in part, on testing performed the weekend of September 30, 2005, that a mid-cycle core redesign was the most prudent course of action to ensure safe, reliable operation for the remainder of U1C14. Additionally, PPL requests the proposed change on an exigent basis to avoid unnecessary delays in the Unit 1 restart following its upcoming maintenance outage.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.91(a)(6) for amendments to be granted under exigent circumstances, the NRC staff must determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. Does the proposed change involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated?

Response: No.

The proposed change to the MCPR Safety Limits does not directly or indirectly affect any plant system, equipment, component, or change the processes used to operate the plant. Further, the revised U1C14 MCPR Safety Limits are generated using NRC approved methodology and meet the applicable acceptance criteria. In addition, the effects of channel bow were conservatively addressed by increasing the amount of channel bow assumed in the MCPR SL calculation. Thus, this proposed amendment does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

Prior to the restart of U1C14, licensing analyses will be performed on the redesigned core (using NRC approved methodology referenced in Technical Specification Section 5.6.5.b) to determine changes in the critical power ratio as a result of anticipated operation occurrences. These results will be added to the MCPR Safety Limit values proposed herein to generate the MCPR operating limits in the U1C14 Core Operating Limits Report (COLR). The COLR operating limits thus assure that the MCPR Safety Limit will not be exceeded during normal operation or anticipated operational occurrences. Postulated accidents are also analyzed to confirm NRC acceptance criteria are met.

Therefore, this proposed amendment does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

2. Does the proposed change create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated?

Response: No.

This proposed change to the MCPR Safety Limits does not directly or indirectly affect any plant system, equipment, or component and therefore they do not affect the failure modes of any of these items. Thus, the proposed change does not create the possibility of a previously unevaluated operator error or a new single failure.

Therefore, this proposed amendment does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any previously evaluated.

3. Does the proposed change involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety?

Response: No.

Since the proposed change does not alter any plant system, equipment, component, or the processes used to operate the plant, the proposed change will not jeopardize or degrade the function or operation of any plant system or component governed by Technical Specifications. The proposed MCPR Safety Limits do not involve a significant reduction in the margin of safety as currently defined in the Bases of the applicable Technical Specification sections, because the MCPR Safety Limits calculated for the remaining U1C14 operation preserve the required margin of safety.

Therefore, the proposed change does not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 14 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 14-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period, such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendment before the expiration of the 14-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will consider all public and State comments received. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish in the *Federal Register* a notice of issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

Written comments may be submitted by mail to the Chief, Rules and Directives Branch, Division of Administrative Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and should cite the publication date and page number of this *Federal Register* notice. Written comments may also be delivered to Room 6D59, Two White Flint North, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, from 7:30 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. Federal workdays. Documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room, located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland.

The filing of requests for hearing and petitions for leave to intervene is discussed below.

Within 60 days after the date of publication of this notice, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written request for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in

10 CFR Part 2. Interested persons should consult a current copy of 10 CFR 2.309, which is available at the Commission's PDR, located at One White Flint North, Public File Area 01F21, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System's (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the Internet at the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/cfr/>. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or a presiding officer designated by the Commission or by the Chief Administrative Judge of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition; and the Secretary or the Chief Administrative Judge of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of a hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR 2.309, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following general requirements: 1) the name, address and telephone number of the requestor or petitioner; 2) the nature of the requestor's/petitioner's right under the Act to be made a party to the proceeding; 3) the nature and extent of the requestor's/petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and 4) the possible effect of any decision or order which may be entered in the proceeding on the requestor's/petitioner's interest. The petition must also identify the specific contentions which the petitioner/requestor seeks to have litigated at the proceeding.

Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner/requestor shall provide a brief explanation of the bases for the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion

which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner/requestor must also provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the petitioner/requestor is aware and on which the petitioner/requestor intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. The petitioner/requestor must provide sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner/requestor to relief. A petitioner/requestor who fails to satisfy these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing.

If a hearing is requested, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held. If the final determination is that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendment and make it immediately effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendment. If the final determination is that the amendment request involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendment.

Nontimely requests and/or petitions and contentions will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission or the presiding officer of the Atomic Safety and Licensing

Board that the petition, request and/or the contentions should be granted based on a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.309(c)(1)(i)-(viii).

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed by: 1) first class mail addressed to the Office of the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, Attention: Rulemaking and Adjudications Staff; 2) courier, express mail, and expedited delivery services: Office of the Secretary, Sixteenth Floor, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, 20852, Attention: Rulemaking and Adjudications Staff; 3) E-mail addressed to the Office of the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, HEARINGDOCKET@NRC.GOV; or 4) facsimile transmission addressed to the Office of the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC, Attention: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff at (301) 415-1101, verification number is (301) 415-1966. A copy of the request for hearing and petition for leave to intervene should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and it is requested that copies be transmitted either by means of facsimile transmission to 301-415-3725 or by e-mail to OGCMailCenter@nrc.gov. A copy of the request for hearing and petition for leave to intervene should also be sent to Bryan A. Snapp, Esquire, Assoc. General Counsel, PPL Services Corporation, 2 North Ninth St., GENTW3, Allentown, PA 18101-1179, attorney for the licensee.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated October 14, 2005, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room (PDR), located at One White Flint North, Public File Area O1 F21, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible electronically from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System's (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the Internet at the NRC web site <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm.html>.

Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, should contact the NRC PDR Reference staff by telephone at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by e-mail to pdr@nrc.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 17th day of October 2005.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

/RA/

Richard J. Laufer, Section Chief, Section 1
Project Directorate I
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation