

From: Neil Sheehan *RS*  
To: Beth Sienel; Raymond Lorson  
Date: 4/19/04 11:52AM  
Subject: Re: VY Why Inspect? answer

Yes, Beth, thanks for providing this.

>>> Raymond Lorson 04/19/04 11:06AM >>>  
Beth:

Thanks for finding out the answer to this question.

Ray

*RS*  
>>> Beth Sienel 04/16/04 05:39PM >>>  
Neil,

I understand you got a question from a reporter and wanted to know why the licensee did this dryer inspection.

As I'm not sure the answer was received earlier on voice mail, I wanted to get it out this way so we're all on the same page.

Per the licensee, GE put out a Rev. 1 to SIL 644 dealing with dryer cracks found. In answer to the question, due to the SIL (industry information), even if they weren't going for a power uprate, they would have performed inspections at a level that they believe would have found these indications. However, because they are going for an uprate the inspections actually performed this outage were more detailed than they would have performed otherwise.

If you have any questions, please give me a call.

have a great weekend,  
Beth

CC: Cliff Anderson; David Pelton; Diane Screnci

*C-89*

**Mail Envelope Properties (4083F5B4.D4B : 9 : 35118)**

**Subject:** Re: VY Why Inspect? answer  
**Creation Date:** 4/19/04 11:52AM  
**From:** Neil Sheehan

**Created By:** NAS@nrc.gov

**Recipients**

nrc.gov

kp1\_po.KP\_DO

BEK (Beth Sienel)

CJA CC (Cliff Anderson)

DLP1 CC (David Pelton)

DPS CC (Diane Screnci)

RKL (Raymond Lorson)

**Post Office**

kp1\_po.KP\_DO

**Route**

nrc.gov

**Files**

MESSAGE

**Size**

2182

**Date & Time**

04/19/04 11:52AM

**Options**

**Expiration Date:** None  
**Priority:** Standard  
**Reply Requested:** No  
**Return Notification:** None

**Concealed Subject:** No  
**Security:** Standard