

# SDP/EA REQUEST & STRATEGY FORM

<b>Case Data</b>		Disputed: <input type="checkbox"/>	Related Cases: <b>EA-2004-065</b>
SDP/EA No.: <b>2004-064</b>		Number: <b>1</b>	Docket No.: <b>40-3392</b>
Request Date: <b>3/24/04</b>	Region: <b>II</b>	Case Type: <b>UF</b>	Small Entity: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Licensee: <b>Honeywell International, Inc.</b>		Facility / City: <b>Metropolis, IL</b>	
License No.: <b>SUB-526</b>		Last Day of Insp.: <b>2/19/04</b>	
Insp. Rpt No.: <b>2004-003</b>	Keywords: <b>040000, 080000</b>		ES: <b>gkm</b>
Facts (EATS):	<p>On 12/22/03, the licensee had declared a SAE in response to a potential offsite release of what was later determined to be U F<sub>6</sub>. Based on the results of an AIT and a subsequent follow-up inspection, the licensee failed to develop procedures to simultaneously operate two fluorinators. The inadvertent offsite release resulted from an inappropriate valve line-up which compromised the systems' capability to contain U F<sub>6</sub> in an over pressurization condition. Section 2.6, Chapter 2 of the License Application states that plant operations are to be conducted in accordance with written Standard Operating Procedure Manuals. The failure to develop or have procedures for the evolution that resulted in the offsite release was determined to be in violation of the License Application.</p>		

Discussion (if required):

<b>SDP</b>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Assessment:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Green <input type="checkbox"/> White <input type="checkbox"/> Yellow <input type="checkbox"/> Red	NOV <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Wrongdoing</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes		
OI Ref.	OI Rpt.	OI Rpt.
DOJ Referral?	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Ref. Date <input type="checkbox"/> Decline <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
Additional OI	<input type="checkbox"/> OI Investigating <input type="checkbox"/> OI needs to be notified <input type="checkbox"/> OI/OE dispute memo needed	<input type="checkbox"/> Additional coordination needed <input type="checkbox"/> Awaiting DOJ <input type="checkbox"/> Needs coordination with DOJ
<b>Escalated Action</b>		
Consequence:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Actual <input type="checkbox"/> Potential <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reg. Impact <input type="checkbox"/> Willfulness	
Prior Esc. Action?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes	EA: _____ Date: _____
ID Credit?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes	TBD
CA Credit?	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	TBD
CP?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No CP <input type="checkbox"/> Base <input type="checkbox"/> Double Base <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____	SL: <b>III</b> Supp: <b>VI</b>
<b>Discretion or Order?</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes Explain: _____		
<b>Future Action</b>		
Conference?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes	Ope <input type="checkbox"/> Close <input type="checkbox"/> Additional _____
Action?	<input type="checkbox"/> No Violation <input type="checkbox"/> Re-panel <input type="checkbox"/> PEC Letter <input type="checkbox"/> Choice Letter <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Choice Call <input type="checkbox"/> SL IV NOV <input type="checkbox"/> Re-caucus	<input type="checkbox"/> Region Issue Esc. Action <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Full Package Review by HQ <input type="checkbox"/> DEDR Review <input type="checkbox"/> Commission <input type="checkbox"/> Disagreement <input type="checkbox"/> NCV
Other Action? _____		

Participants: Region S.Sparks, C.Evans, D.Collins, M.Crespo, J.Henson

OE J.Luehman, G.Morell OGCOI

Program Office R.Nelson, M.Raddatz Other \_\_\_\_\_

## Remarks/Comments/Lessons Learned:

Based on the licensee's agreement in regards to CAL 2-2003-003, a licensee meeting held at HQs on 2/11/04 in which the licensee explained their investigation and corrective actions of the event in question, and a RII reinspection on 2/17-19, the panel concluded that the licensee has undertaken an adequate investigation to determine the root cause of the event and has taken what appears to be adequate corrective action.

Approved, Dir. OE: /RA/ C. Nolan  
Date: 3/30/04

JH

~~000 NOT FOR PUBLIC DISCLOSURE WITHOUT APPROVAL OF THE DIRECTOR, OF 000~~

In accordance with the Policy, the licensee will be given credit for corrective action which will result in a SLIII violation with no CP (Supplement VI C.11 a). Additionally, the panel concluded that based on the aforementioned information, a Choice Call would be appropriate.