

(234)
70FR 22155

From: "melanie cordell" <sweetbeats@blackjaguars.net>
To: "Rules and Directives Bran Nuclear Regulatory Commission" <GrandGulfEIS@nrc.gov>
Date: Tue, Jul 12, 2005 1:10 PM
Subject: Comments on NUREG-1817, 70 FR 81, pp. 22155-22156

melanie cordell
234 bushnell
san antonio, tx 72812

July 12, 2005

Rules and Directives Bran Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Division of Administrative Services, Office of Administration
Mailstop T-6D59, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commissio
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Rules and Directives Bran Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

I oppose Entergy's application for an Early Site Permit (ESP) at the Grand Gulf nuclear plant in Claiborne County, Mississippi. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), is incomplete and sloppy. I'm sick of the "risk assessment" mentality. Nuclear plants are dangerous.

As always, here is environmental racism, with the EIS acknowledgement of a high concentration of minority and low-income persons around Grand Gulf.

The "minimal negative and disproportionate health impacts on minority and low-income members of the public" is too much, besides which the danger of a nuclear accident isn't even addressed.

Economic development is no excuse for this either, since, according to findings in the draft EIS, it is "not clear whether Claiborne County would receive property taxes, sales, and use taxes, or other taxes and public monies commensurate with the costs of its additional emergency management and public services obligations. The net financial burden may fall on local residents and taxpayers, most of whom are minority and low-income persons."

Local officials have testified to the fact that an additional reactor could overburden their already insufficient emergency preparedness resources.

Nuclear energy is too risky for everyone. Storage of the radioactive waste is another whole can of worms. Nuclear power plants have known vulnerabilities to terrorist attack and sabotage. According to the 9/11 Commission Report, al Qaeda specifically discussed targeting U.S. nuclear plants. Fuel storage pools, dry storage facilities, and reactor control rooms are not designed to withstand the type attack that occurred on September 11, 2001. Ignoring the threat because it is "highly speculative" does not make the threat go away, and indicates one shortfall of using an exclusively risk-based approach—especially considering Grand Gulf's location on the Mississippi River, which could make it an attractive strategic target. An analysis in the draft EIS of the suitability of the site to place the reactor containment below-grade level

should be done, which would require an in-depth analysis of geological and hydrological conditions at the site.

Big business gets what big business wants, so I really don't expect either of my elected representatives to do the right thing, but this is how I feel.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

melanie cordell
2107366852

Mail Envelope Properties (42D3F992.575 : 8 : 58741)

Subject: Comments on NUREG-1817, 70 FR 81, pp. 22155-22156
Creation Date: Tue, Jul 12, 2005 1:10 PM
From: "melanie cordell" <sweetbeats@blackjaguars.net>

Created By: sweetbeats@blackjaguars.net

Recipients

nrc.gov

TWGWPO02.HQGWDO01

GrandGulfeIS

Post Office

TWGWPO02.HQGWDO01

Route

nrc.gov

Files

MESSAGE

Mime.822

Size

2770

3810

Date & Time

Tuesday, July 12, 2005 1:10 PM

Options

Expiration Date:

None

Priority:

Standard

Reply Requested:

No

Return Notification:

None

Concealed Subject:

No

Security:

Standard