

From: Marc Ferdas
To: Scott Barber
Date: 2/12/04 4:47PM
Subject: Re: Allegation Followup Activities - Hope Creek

Please refer to red text below for my response.

>>> Scott Barber 02/12/04 04:28PM >>>

Thanks for the timely follow up. Nice writeup. Very Logical.

I have a few questions.

1. You have a January (1/9) date for when the crew was notified, but I think you mean in you mean 2/10. Correct?

Correct, the brief occurred 2/10

2. When you talked to Rich Keenan, did he indicate that it would be almost impossible to get the added work done in the LCO time frame? Did he also have any concerns about management's responsiveness to his concerns since he supposedly told to "just get the job done"?

Mr. Keenan **did not** indicate any problem w/ completing the work within the LCO time nor did he provide any insight into what his management's response to the additional work.

3. Your conclusion sounds like there are continuing weaknesses (but nothing outside the norm) in the work control process. Do you think the workers in the field would agree with your conclusion. Why or why not?

I belief this is a continueing weakness in the entire organization. Work control, maintenance, engineering, and operatiosn all participate in the process. I would say that the workers agree, but I don't have any personal statements from them that I could share.

CC: Glenn Meyer; Mel Gray

675
F

7X

Mail Envelope Properties (402BF465.765 : 22 : 10166)

Subject: Re: Allegation Followup Activities - Hope Creek
Creation Date: 2/12/04 4:47PM
From: Marc Ferdas
Created By: MSF2@nrc.gov

Recipients

nrc.gov

kp1_po.KP_DO

GSB (Scott Barber)

GWM CC (Glenn Meyer)

MXG3 CC (Mel Gray)

Post Office

kp1_po.KP_DO

Route

nrc.gov

Files

MESSAGE

Size

2807

Date & Time

02/12/04 04:47PM

Options**Expiration Date:**

None

Priority:

Standard

Reply Requested:

No

Return Notification:

None

Concealed Subject:

No

Security:

Standard