

Allegation Receipt Report
(Use also for Staff Suspected Wrongdoing)

Page 1 of 2

Date Received: May 24, 2004

Received via: ☐ Telephone ☐ In-person ☐ Letter ☒ e-mailAllegation No. RI-2004-A-0070
(leave blank)Employee Receiving Allegation or suspecting wrongdoing (first two initials and last name): A. R. Blough
(allegation receipt form drafted by G.S. Barber)Source of information (please check one box): ☐ licensee employee ☐ former licensee employee ☐ contractor ☐ former contractor ☐ anonymous ☐ news media ☐ private citizen ☐ federal agency ☐ state agency ☐ NRC staff ☐ licensee identified ☒ special interest group

Alleger Name:

Home Address:

Home Phone:

City/State/Zip:

Alleger's

Alleger's Position/Title:

* Do not complete these sections for issues of staff suspected wrongdoing.

Facility: Salem and Hope Creek

Docket No. or License No.: 50-272, 311, 354

Was allegor informed of NRC identity protection policy?

Yes ☐ No ☒

If H&I was alleged, was allegor informed of DOL rights?

Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A ☒

If a licensee employee or contractor,

did they raise the issue to their management and/or ECP?

Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A ☒

Does the allegor object to referral of issues to the licensee?

Yes ☐ No ☐

Provide allegor's direct response to this question verbatim on the line below:

Was confidentiality requested?

Yes ☐ No ☒

Was confidentiality initially granted?

Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A ☒

Individual Granting Confidentiality: _____

Criteria for determining whether the issue is an allegation:

Is it a declaration, statement, or assertion of impropriety or inadequacy?

Yes / No

Is the impropriety or inadequacy associated with NRC regulated activities?

Yes / No

Is the validity of the issue unknown?

Yes / No

If No to any of the above questions, the issue is not an allegation and should be handled by other appropriate methods (e.g. as a request for information, public responsiveness matter, or an OSHA referral).

Allegation Summary or staff suspected wrongdoing: (Recipient of the allegation shall summarize each concern here - provide additional detail on reverse side of form, if necessary. If entering allegation electronically, highlight Allegation Summary in bold and use larger font size)

The allegor noted that the Utilities Service Alliance (USA) performed to evaluate the work environment at Salem and Hope Creek indicated that licensed operators were working a significant amount of undocumented overtime. The allegor asserted that this undocumented overtime could potentially lead to nonconformances with PSEG procedures on the control of overtime. If the overtime abuse was excessive, it could also lead to violations of NRC requirements on the control of overtime.

448
S

Page 2 of _____

Functional Area (please check one box):

- ☐ Academic ☐ Decommissioning Materials ☐ Decommissioning Reactor ☐ Exempt Distribution
☐ General Licensee ☐ Gun Sights ☐ Irradiators ☐ Medical ☐ Nuclear Gauges ☐ Nuclear Laundry
☐ Nuclear Pharmacy ☒ Power Reactor ☐ Radiography ☐ Research and Development (R&D)
☐ Research/Test Reactor ☐ Safeguards ☐ SNM ☐ Teletherapy ☐ Transportation ☐ Vendor
☐ Veterinary Non-human ☐ Waste Disposal ☐ Well Logging ☐ Other: _____

Discipline for each concern (place the concern no(s). (either 1, 2, 3, etc.) in the box provided):

- ☐ Chemistry ☐ Chilling Effect ☐ Civil/Structural ☐ Construction ☐ Corrective Action
☐ Discrimination ☐ Electrical ☐ Emergency Preparedness ☐ Employee Concerns Program
☐ Engineering ☐ Environmental ☐ Environmental Qualification ☐ Falsification ☐ Fire Protection
☐ Fitness-for-Duty ☐ Health Physics ☐ HVAC ☐ Industrial Safety ☐ Inservice testing
☐ Instrumentation and Control ☐ Maintenance ☐ Mechanical ☐ Misadministration ☐ NDE
☒ Operations ☐ Procurement ☐ Quality Assurance ☐ Radwaste ☐ Safeguards ☐ Security
☐ Source disconnect ☐ Startup testing ☐ Training/qualification ☐ Transportation ☐ Unsupervised
☐ Radiography ☐ Wrongdoing ☐ Other: _____

Detailed Description of Allegation or staff suspected wrongdoing: (Do not state the alleged's name in this section - simply refer to the individual as the alleged)

The alleged obtained a copy of the Synergy, USA, and IAT reports on the Salem/HC work environment review to the alleged on Thursday, May 20th. The alleged identified that page 17 of the USA report states that "some personnel, including licensed operators, are working significant amounts of professional (unpaid) overtime In many cases, these additional hours are not captured on official timesheets."

He/she questioned whether licensed operators had been putting in longer hours than permitted by the working hour limits, and if so, were procedures being violated to avoid putting these excess hours on the timesheets?

When taking the allegation, ask questions such as

WHAT IS THE ALLEGATION?

WHAT IS THE REQUIREMENT/VIOLATION?

WHERE IS IT LOCATED?

WHEN DID IT OCCUR?

WHO IS INVOLVED/WITNESSED?

HOW/WHY DID IT OCCUR?

WHAT EVIDENCE CAN BE EXAMINED?

WHAT IS THE STATUS OF THE LICENSEE'S ACTIONS?

How did the alleged find out about the concern(s); other individuals NRC should contact for additional information; records NRC should review; whether the alleged raised the concerns with his or her management; alleged's preference for method and time of contact.