

ALLEGATION REVIEW BOARD DISPOSITION RECORD

Allegation No.: RI-2002-A-0051
Site/Facility: Salem
ARB Date: 4/12/2002 (Ad Hoc)

Branch Chief (AOC): Meyer
Acknowledged: No
Confidentiality Granted: No

Issue discussed: Original Allegation - Letter from Congressman Edward Markey of Massachusetts regarding the adequacy of security at Salem (among other sites), specifically the acceptability of granting temporary unescorted access to vital areas for outage workers.

Alleger contacted prior to referral to licensee (if applicable)? N/A - Congressman Markey expects a direct reply from Chairman Meserve in 15 days - no referral possible

ALLEGATION REVIEW BOARD DECISIONS

Attendees: Chair - Holian Branch Chief(AOC) - Barkley (acting) SAC - Vito
OI Rep. - Letts RI Counsel - Fewell Others - _____

DISPOSITION ACTIONS:

Allegation reviewed by Greg Smith at site on April 11, 2002. Reply to Congressman Markey will be handled by the Green Ticket reply versus the allegation management system. Coordinate w/G. Tracy re: response to Congressman Markey.

- 1) Region I to contact G. Tracy's office to request inclusion on concurrence to Green Ticket response

Responsible Person: SAC/Meyer
Closure Documentation: _____

ECD: 4/12/2002
Completed: _____

- 2) When Region I is called upon to concur in response to Green Ticket, reconvene ARB to determine whether any additional actions are required re: the Salem allegation.

Responsible Person: SAC/Meyer
Closure Documentation: Green Ticket Response

ECD: 4/25/2002
Completed: _____

SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE ASSESSMENT: The risk significance of this allegation is very low as the matter was inspected by Greg Smith on April 11, 2002, and PSEG compliance with the NRC's current security requirements was confirmed.

PRIORITY OF OI INVESTIGATION: N/A

If potential discrimination or wrongdoing and OI is not opening a case, provide rationale here (e.g., no prima facie, lack of specific indication of wrongdoing):

Rationale used to defer OI discrimination case (DOL case in progress):

ENFORCEMENT STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS CONSIDERATION (only applies to wrongdoing matters (including discrimination issues) that are under investigation by OI, DOL, or DOJ):

What is the potential violation and regulatory requirement? ____
When did the potential violation occur? ____

397
F-

Once date of potential violation is established, SAC will assign AMS action to have another ARB at four (4) years from that date, to discuss enforcement statute of limitations issues.

NOTES: _____

Distribution: Panel Attendees, Regional Counsel, OI, Responsible Individuals (original to SAC)

ARB MINUTES ARE REVIEWED AND APPROVED AT THE ARB