

From: David Vito *DT*
To: Glenn Meyer, Scott Barber
Date: 11/4/02 3:58PM
Subject: Salem/HC Allegations RI-2002-A-0132 (security) and RI-2002-A-0137 (Hilti bolts/H&I)

RI-2002-A-0132

Tom Lake from Salem/HC ECP called me this afternoon to request a 30 day extension for responding to the referral for RI-2002-A-0132 (Salem/HC security guard issues from 10/11/02 meeting in Wilmington). They have brought in contractors to do the review, which includes an hour long interview with each security guard (I am assuming primarily to get an answer re: the work environment issue). I did not think that the request was a problem, and gave him tentative approval. Please let me know if anyone has a problem with this.

RI-2002-A-0137

The alleged called to follow up with me on his phone call to the HQ Ops Officer that prompted the allegation. He provided essentially the same concerns (acceptability of cable tray anchor bolts, H&I, negative feedback when asking for respirators); however he did provide some additional specific detail regarding each concern. He indicated that he would be putting all of his detail down on paper and wanted to provide that information to the NRC. I gave him my P. O. Box number and he promised to get the information to me within about a week.

He also wanted to discuss the event in which someone cut cables in a cable tray with a razor knife (the alleged didn't acknowledge the he was one of the people involved in this, as he appeared to have done in the initial information provided to the HQ Ops Officer). This was initially provided as an example of H&I against the alleged, who said that he was just doing the job per specifications. The alleged indicated that that he was not the only person who used a razor knife in the fire wrap replacement job, and in fact that to his knowledge, approximately 80% of the workers on the job were using a razor knife (instead of a plastic knife). For this reason, he felt that licensee should be checking all of the work that was done to make sure that no other cables were cut, and he wasn't sure if that was ever done.

I was also tasked at the ARB to ask him if he would object to licensee referral (of his technical concerns). I did, and he does not object.

Brad F.==> The ARB also tasked you with doing a prima facie review so that we can determine whether OI will open a case and interview the alleged. We need that from you in order to move forward. The alleged asked what we were doing with his discrimination concern, and I told him we were still evaluating it to determine whether we would open an investigation.

CC: A. Randolph Blough; Brian Holian; Ernest Wilson; J. Bradley Fewell; James Clifford; James Linville; Jeffrey Teator; John White; Kristin Monroe; Richard Crlenjak; Sharon Johnson

394
F-