

g:\alleg\panel\200400070arb.wpd **ALLEGATION REVIEW BOARD DISPOSITION RECORD**

Allegation No.: RI-2004-A-0070  
Site/Facility: Salem/Hope Creek  
ARB Date: June 3, 2004

Branch Chief (AOC): Holody  
Acknowledged: No  
Confidentiality Granted: No

**Issue discussed:** The allegor noted that the Utilities Service Alliance (USA) performed to evaluate the work environment at Salem and Hope Creek indicated that licensed operators were working a significant amount of undocumented overtime. The allegor asserted that this undocumented overtime could potentially lead to nonconformances with PSEG procedures on the control of overtime. If the overtime abuse was excessive, it could also lead to violations of NRC requirements on the control of overtime.

Allegor contacted prior to referral to licensee (if applicable)? Yes

**ALLEGATION REVIEW BOARD DECISIONS**

**Attendees:** Chair - Blough Branch Chief (AOC) - Barber SAC - Vito, Harrison  
OI Rep. - Wilson RI Counsel - Farrar Others - Passarelli

**DISPOSITION ACTIONS:**

- 1) Acknowledgment letter

Responsible Person: SAC  
Closure Documentation: \_\_\_\_\_

ECD: 6/23/04  
Completed: \_\_\_\_\_

- 2) Refer allegation to licensee. DRP to provide words for Enclosure 1 to referral letter.

Responsible Person: Holody  
Closure Documentation: \_\_\_\_\_

ECD: 6/17/04  
Completed: \_\_\_\_\_

- 3) Review information from licensee on referred allegation. If acceptable, draft closeout letter to allegor.

Responsible Person: Holody  
Closure Documentation: \_\_\_\_\_

ECD: 8/2/04  
Completed: \_\_\_\_\_

- 4) If licensee response is acceptable, draft closeout letter to allegor. If not, resolve concerns with PSEG.

Responsible Person: Holody  
Closure Documentation: \_\_\_\_\_

ECD: 8/23/04  
Completed: \_\_\_\_\_

**SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE ASSESSMENT:** The initial safety significance of the issue appears to be low because the overtime issue was documented in an internal licensee assessment (USA) of the

**PRIORITY OF OI INVESTIGATION:**

If potential discrimination or wrongdoing and OI is not opening a case, provide rationale here (e.g., no prima facie, lack of specific indication of wrongdoing):

Rationale used to defer OI discrimination case (DOL case in progress):

**ARB MINUTES ARE REVIEWED AND APPROVED AT THE ARB**

419  
F

**ENFORCEMENT STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS CONSIDERATION (only applies to wrongdoing matters (including discrimination issues) that are under investigation by OI, DOL, or DOJ):**

What is the potential violation and regulatory requirement? \_\_\_\_\_

When did the potential violation occur? \_\_\_\_\_

(Assign action to determine date, if unknown)

Once date of potential violation is established, SAC will assign AMS action to have another ARB at four (4) years from that date, to discuss enforcement statute of limitations issues.

**NOTES: (Include other pertinent comments. Also include considerations related to licensee referral, if appropriate. Identify any potential generic issues)** \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

**Distribution:** Panel Attendees, Regional Counsel, OI, Responsible Individuals (original to SAC)