

g:\alleg\panel\20010049arb.wpd **ALLEGATION REVIEW BOARD DISPOSITION RECORD**

Allegation No.: **RI-2001-A-0049 (XXXX)**

Site/Facility: Salem Unit 1

ARB Date: 4/23/2001

Branch Chief (AOC): Meyer

Acknowledged: **No**

Confidentiality Granted: **No**

Issue discussed: **Concern with excessive working hours for contractor employees on April 10-11, 2001**

Alleger contacted prior to referral to licensee (if applicable)? Yes

ALLEGATION REVIEW BOARD DECISIONS

Attendees: Chair - Crlenjak Branch Chief(AOC) - Barkley (Act) SAC - Vito

OI Rep. - Teator RI Counsel - Fewell Others - Ruland

DISPOSITION ACTIONS: (List actions for processing and closure. Note responsible person(s), form of action closure document(s), and estimated completion dates.)

- 1) Issue represents a concern, not an allegation. Refer concern to PSEG for their review. No response required as the incident did not involve a violation of NRC requirements, although a minor violation of PSEG administrative procedures may or may not have occurred.

Responsible Person: Meyer

ECD: 5/11/2001

Closure Documentation: Referral letter

Completed: _____

- 2) Acknowledgement/closeout letter to the individual (track via Regional Administrator Action Item). Indicate that the concern was provided to PSEG for their review and attention, but the matter did not involve a violation of NRC regulations.

Responsible Person: Barkley

ECD: 5/11/2001

Closure Documentation: Letter to alleger

Completed: _____

SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE ASSESSMENT: As the individuals were performing inspections and cleaning of the secondary side condenser tubing and the working hours extension involved a small number of workers for one time only, the risk significance of this concern was minimal.

PRIORITY OF OI INVESTIGATION: **N/A**

If potential discrimination or wrongdoing and OI is not opening a case, provide rationale here (e.g., no prima facie, lack of specific indication of wrongdoing):

Rationale used to defer OI discrimination case (DOL case in progress):

ENFORCEMENT STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS CONSIDERATION (only applies to wrongdoing matters (including discrimination issues) that are under investigation by OI, DOL, or DOJ):

What is the potential violation and regulatory requirement? _____

When did the potential violation occur? _____

(Assign action to determine date, if unknown)

Once date of potential violation is established, SAC will assign AMS action to have another ARB at four (4) years from that date, to discuss enforcement statute of limitations issues.

ARB MINUTES ARE REVIEWED AND APPROVED AT THE ARB

408
P

NOTES: (Include other pertinent comments. Also include considerations related to licensee referral, if appropriate. Identify any potential generic issues)

Distribution: Panel Attendees, Regional Counsel, OI, Responsible Individuals (original to SAC)