

From: Richard Barkley *RT*  
To: A. Randolph Blough; Brian Holian; Christopher Cahill; David Vito; Diane Screnci; Fred Bower; Glenn Meyer; Gregory Smith; John White; Joseph Schoppy; Neil Sheehan; Raymond Lorson  
Date: 11/20/01 10:44AM  
Subject: Re: Fwd: PSEG Nuclear security in the news

Dave,

Diane Screnci learned through PSEG's Public Affairs Department that PSEG is having their Employee Concerns Program people interview a range of security personnel to see if there are underlying problems in the security department that management is not aware of. In addition, Diane heard that PSEG was considering a "blue ribbon" panel to look comprehensively at security measures at Artificial Island.

It is my understanding that Dave Garchow talked with Hub this morning on this subject, presumably to detail these plans to him.

Unless Hub or our security inspectors have some current concerns with security measures, staffing or performance at PSEG, I suggest that we review the results of PSEG's efforts in this matter in the course of the next security inspection (or earlier in the event we anticipate press or political interest in this story) **unless the individuals who were questioned by KYW (or other PSEG security personnel) come forth to us with these concerns.** So far, I have heard only positive comments from DRS regarding the security measures in place at Artificial Island to protect them against a design basis attack. We have not received any concerns from an allegor so far today - moreover, Branch 3 has not had a new security program concern brought to us in over 2 years. While there were a number of allegations/performance concerns in the security area in the 1995-1997 time frame, the allegation load in this area has completely dried up in recent years following extensive management changes and performance improvements in this area. Thus I see no reason at this time to track this concern via the allegation system, particularly since we have no allegor(s) to communicate the results of our review to once it is completed. I would instead prefer to track any review that management elects to perform via another administrative process. Thanks!

Rich

>>> David Vito 11/20/01 07:55AM >>>

I saw the report on KYW last night, too. In addition to Fred Bower's summary, I heard the anonymous individuals say that the temporary guards that have been brought on since 9/11/01 are not armed and they believe they should be. Also, with regard to the 1998 OSRE, they did acknowledge that the plant received good marks on it, while there were some occasions that vital areas were reached by the OSRE intruders. From what I know about OSREs, I believe that a target set can be successful, even when certain vital areas may be reached. Let me know if you want to do anything with this. The stated issues were pretty broad-based, and I would assume that DRP/DRS may already know the answers, but if the staff wants to obtain a formal response from PSEG, we can open an allegation file to prompt that response, if desired. Or you can ask that they respond to it via some other forum.

CC: Daniel Holody; Hubert J. Miller; James Wiggins; Sharon Johnson

*329*  
*f'*