

g:\alleg\panel\20040027arb2.wpd ALLEGATION REVIEW BOARD DISPOSITION RECORD

Allegation No.:	RI-2004-A-0027	Branch Chief (AOC):	G. Scott Barber (Actg)				
Site/Facility:	Salem/Hope Creek	Acknowledged:	Yes		No	X	N/A
ARB Date:	April 30, 2004	Confidentiality Granted:	Yes		No	X	
<p>Issue Discussed: The USA (Utility Services Alliance) reported in a March 5 exit meeting that the condition of the Hope Creek CRD (control rod drive) system represents a major issue. The CRD system and its hydraulics are being operated in a condition that other facilities would not accept.</p>							
Alleger contacted prior to referral to licensee?		Yes		No		N/A	X
ALLEGATION REVIEW BOARD DECISIONS:							
Attendees:							
Chair:	Blough	Br. Chief (AOC):	Barber (Actg)		SAC:	Vito, Harrison	
Ol Rep:	Wilson	RI Counsel:			Others:		
Disposition Actions:							
(List actions for processing and closure. Note responsible person(s), form of action closure document(s), and estimated completion dates.)							
1. Document operation of HC CRD system during restart from the recent forced outage							
Responsible Person:		HC Resident Inspector			ECD:		
Closure Documentation:					Completed:	4/29/04	
2. Panel determined that no additional referral is required based on results of resident review and planned ICRD rebuilds during the next refueling outage.							
Responsible Person:		Barber			ECD:		
Closure Documentation:					Completed:	4/30/04	
3. Closeout letter - Branch 3 to provide words for Enclosure 1 to closeout letter.							
Responsible Person:		Barber			ECD:	5/12/04	
Closure Documentation:					Completed:		

SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE ASSESSMENT							
Provide narrative assessment of safety significance; should be commensurate with estimated action plan completion dates.							
The initial safety significance of the issue is low to moderate because PSEG is aware of it and has taken some action to address them the effectiveness of which has yet to be determined. This issue is related to the SCWE which is part of an ongoing review by NRC.							
PRIORITY OF OI INVESTIGATION							
High		Normal		Low			
If potential discrimination or wrongdoing and OI is not opening a case, provide rationale here (e.g. no prima facie, lack of specific indication of wrongdoing):							
Rationale used to defer OI discrimination case (DOL case in progress):							

238  
P

<b>ENFORCEMENT STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS CONSIDERATION</b> (only applies to wrongdoing matters (including discrimination issues) that are under investigation by OI, DOL, or DOJ):	
What is the potential violation and regulatory requirement?	
When did the potential violation occur?	
(Assign action to determine date, if unknown) Once date of potential violation is established, SAC will assign AMS action to have another ARB at four (4) years from that date, to discuss enforcement statute of limitations issues.	
<b>NOTES:</b> (Include other pertinent comments. Also include considerations related to licensee referral, if appropriate. Identify any potential generic issues)	

Distribution: Panel Attendees, Regional Counsel, OI, Responsible Individuals (original to SAC)

**ARB MINUTES ARE REVIEWED AND APPROVED AT THE ARB**