

Allegation No.: RI-2002-A-0137
Site/Facility: Salem
ARB Date: 3/11/2003

Branch Chief (AOC): Meyer
Acknowledged: Yes
Confidentiality Granted: No

Issue discussed: Allegor contends that bolting being installed (Hilti bolts) to support a modification in which cable trays are being covered with fire wrap material, will not hold up the cable trays due to added weight of the fire wrap material. Allegor forwarded new information on the technical issues on November 12, 2002.

Allegor contends he was harassed for raising this concern. Allegor is also concerned that he will be suspended by NPS, and that this will negatively affect his ability to get work at other nuclear facilities in the near future. Allegor also indicated that he has been put on undesirable jobs since raising his concern.

Allegor contacted prior to referral to licensee (if applicable)? NA

ALLEGATION REVIEW BOARD DECISIONS

Attendees: Chair - Rogge Branch Chief (AOC) - Meyer SAC - Vito
OI Rep. - Wilson RI Counsel - _____ Others - White, McFadden

DISPOSITION ACTIONS: (List actions for processing and closure. Note responsible person(s), form of action closure document(s), and estimated completion dates.)

- 1) DRP/DRS completed review of an undated DOL complaint and did not identify any new technical issues.

Responsible Person: Barber/Lew (Schroeder)
Closure Documentation: _____

ECD: _____
Completed: 03/11/03

SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE ASSESSMENT: The risk significance of this concern appears low since additional bolting could be added at a later date, if needed.

PRIORITY OF OI INVESTIGATION: Allegor asserts that some of the reprimands he receive were justified because of a self admitted absentee problem, while the other reprimand are based on an overly critical subjective judgement of his workmanship on the job by his supervisor.

If potential discrimination or wrongdoing and OI is not opening a case, provide rationale here (e.g., no prima facie, lack of specific indication of wrongdoing):
Rationale used to defer OI discrimination case (DOL case in progress):

ENFORCEMENT STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS CONSIDERATION (only applies to wrongdoing matters (including discrimination issues) that are under investigation by OI, DOL, or DOJ):

What is the potential violation and regulatory requirement? _____

When did the potential violation occur? _____
(Assign action to determine date, if unknown)

Once date of potential violation is established, SAC will assign AMS action to have another ARB at four (4) years from that date, to discuss enforcement statute of limitations issues.

NOTES: (Include other pertinent comments. Also include considerations related to licensee referral, if appropriate. Identify any potential generic issues)

Distribution: Panel Attendees, Regional Counsel, OI, Responsible Individuals (original to SAC)

ARB MINUTES ARE REVIEWED AND APPROVED AT THE ARB

F-99