

g:\alleg\panel\20020137arb3.wpd **ALLEGATION REVIEW BOARD DISPOSITION RECORD**

Allegation No.: RI-2002-A-0137
Site/Facility: Salem
ARB Date: 1/22/2003

Branch Chief (AOC): Meyer
Acknowledged: Yes
Confidentiality Granted: No

Issue discussed: DRS to discuss potential SSW issue related to alleged communicating planned FFD testing of alleged's friend and their actions to ensure that individual would not be "for cause FFD tested."

Alleger contacted prior to referral to licensee (if applicable)? Not Asked

ALLEGATION REVIEW BOARD DECISIONS

Attendees: Chair - Blough Branch Chief (AOC) - Barber (Act) SAC - Urban, Vito
OI Rep. - Monroe, Neff RI Counsel - Fewell Others - Crlenjak, Lew, Pindale

DISPOSITION ACTIONS: (List actions for processing and closure. Note responsible person(s), form of action closure document(s), and estimated completion dates.)

1. OI will gather information related to potential Part 26 violation.

Responsible Person: Wilson
Closure Documentation: _____

ECD: TBD
Completed: _____

2. Repanel after OI gathers information Part 26 information.

Responsible Person: SAC
Closure Documentation: _____

ECD: TBD
Completed: _____

3. Open new allegation file # on technical issues derived from the OI transcript. (*became RI-2003-A-001*)

Responsible Person: Panel Decision
Closure Documentation: _____

ECD: _____
Completed: 1/22/03

4. Acknowledgment letter

Responsible Person: SAC
Closure Documentation: _____

ECD: 2/21/03
Completed: _____

5. Refer new issues to the licensee. DRP to provide words for Enclosure 1 to referral letter.

Responsible Person: Meyer
Closure Documentation: _____

ECD: 02/05/03
Completed: _____

SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE ASSESSMENT: Low - typical installations have substantial safety margins.

PRIORITY OF OI INVESTIGATION:

If potential discrimination or wrongdoing and OI is not opening a case, provide rationale here (e.g., no prima facie, lack of specific indication of wrongdoing):
Rationale used to defer OI discrimination case (DOL case in progress):

ARB MINUTES ARE REVIEWED AND APPROVED AT THE ARB

F-10A

ENFORCEMENT STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS CONSIDERATION (only applies to wrongdoing matters (including discrimination issues) that are under investigation by OI, DOL, or DOJ):

What is the potential violation and regulatory requirement? _____

When did the potential violation occur? _____

(Assign action to determine date, if unknown)

Once date of potential violation is established, SAC will assign AMS action to have another ARB at four (4) years from that date, to discuss enforcement statute of limitations issues.

NOTES: (Include other pertinent comments. Also include considerations related to licensee referral, if appropriate. Identify any potential generic issues) _____

Distribution: Panel Attendees, Regional Counsel, OI, Responsible Individuals (original to SAC)