

Allegation No.: RI-2002-A-0034  
Site/Facility: Salem 2  
ARB Date: 5/29/2002

Branch Chief (AOC): Meyer  
Acknowledged: Yes  
Confidentiality Granted: No

Issue discussed: Adequacy of PSEG response to concern regarding their refusal to provide a whole body count record to an former contract employee and the alleged's assertion that they are covering up the incident and the resulting exposures to workers.

Alleger contacted prior to referral to licensee (if applicable)? Yes

**ALLEGATION REVIEW BOARD DECISIONS**

Attendees: Chair - Blough Branch Chief(AOC) - Barkley (Act) SAC - Vito  
OI Rep. - Teator RI Counsel - Fewell Others - Crlenjak, McFadden, Nimitz

**DISPOSITION ACTIONS:** (List actions for processing and closure. Note responsible person(s), form of action closure document(s), and estimated completion dates.)

- 1) DRS (Furia/Nimitz) to confirm what HP records were actually provided to the alleger and what records are required to be provided by law. If records required by 19.13 were not provided to the alleger as required, resolve this enforcement issue as necessary.

Responsible Person: Furia/Nimitz  
Closure Documentation: DRS Inspection Feeder

ECD: 6/14/2002  
Completed: \_\_\_\_\_

- 2) Upon completion of the above action, issue a closeout letter to the alleger. DRP/DRS to provide words for Enclosure 1 to closeout letter.

Responsible Person: Meyer/JWhite  
Closure Documentation: \_\_\_\_\_

ECD: 6/30/2002  
Completed: \_\_\_\_\_

**SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE ASSESSMENT:** The risk significance of this allegation is very low based on the NRC's past inspection of the HP incident in question and the lack of a current performance issue in the HP area.

**PRIORITY OF OI INVESTIGATION:** N/A

If potential discrimination or wrongdoing and OI is not opening a case, provide rationale here (e.g., no prima facie, lack of specific indication of wrongdoing):

Rationale used to defer OI discrimination case (DOL case in progress):

**ENFORCEMENT STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS CONSIDERATION (only applies to wrongdoing matters (including discrimination issues) that are under investigation by OI, DOL, or DOJ):**

What is the potential violation and regulatory requirement?  
When did the potential violation occur?

Once date of potential violation is established, SAC will assign AMS action to have another ARB at four (4) years from that date, to discuss enforcement statute of limitations issues.

**ARB MINUTES ARE REVIEWED AND APPROVED AT THE ARB**

46

NOTES: \_\_\_\_\_

Distribution: Panel Attendees, Regional Counsel, OI, Responsible Individuals (original to SAC)