

Allegation No.: RI-2002-A-0113
Site/Facility: Salem & Hope Creek
ARB Date: 1/8/2003

Branch Chief (AOC): Meyer
Acknowledged: Yes
Confidentiality Granted: No

Issue discussed: The allegor was originally concerned with his ability to perform duties in accordance with the Transient Assessment Response Plan (TARP) team formation procedure. When contacted by OI he chose not be interviewed and stated that he would try to resolve his concerns within the PSEG corrective action process. The NRC issued a conditional closeout letter to the allegor stating that the NRC will consider this allegation closed unless he contacts us within 30 days of the date of the letter. He did contact NRC in a November 20, 2002, letter and stated that he wanted the NRC to keep the issue open.

In a telephone call and subsequent letter dated 12/20/02, the allegor wants the NRC to pursue his H&I claim and wants to address the TARP issue.

Allegor contacted prior to referral to licensee (if applicable)? Yes

ALLEGATION REVIEW BOARD DECISIONS

Attendees: Chair - Rogge Branch Chief (AOC) - Barber (Actg BC) SAC - Vito
OI Rep. - Monroe, Rzepka RI Counsel - Fewell Others - Meyer, Frechette, Caron, Lanning

DISPOSITION ACTIONS: (List actions for processing and closure. Note responsible person(s), form of action closure document(s), and estimated completion dates.)

- 1) Determine if a prima facie case has been demonstrated to pursue investigating the allegor's H&I complaint.

Responsible Person: Fewell
Closure Documentation: _____

ECD: _____
Completed: 1/8/03

- 2) OI to open investigation on H&I (1-2003-010)

Responsible Person: Wilson
Closure Documentation: _____

ECD: TBD
Completed: _____

- 3) Status letter to allegor informing him that OI will open a case on H&I and asking for more information about the TARP procedure issue.

Responsible Person: SAC
Closure Documentation: _____

ECD: 1/30/03
Completed: _____

SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE ASSESSMENT: Potential H&I. The risk significance of this concern appears low since the allegor's concern involves his ability to function as an effective member of a TARP team. His contention is that the procedure is inadequate because it does not list specific training and/or experience requirements, and if the procedure did list these requirements, then he believes that he not meet them (a subjective judgement).

ARB MINUTES ARE REVIEWED AND APPROVED AT THE ARB

F-20

PRIORITY OF OI INVESTIGATION: Normal

Alleger initially declined to have the NRC pursue his discrimination issue. Thus, OI Case 1-2002-033 was closed since the alleger agreed no immediate safety issues existed when he was contacted by OI. During this initial contact, he told OI he did not want to be interviewed. In his 12/20 letter, no new technical information was provided to warrant raising OI's priority on this case.

NOTES: (Include other pertinent comments. Also include considerations related to licensee referral, if appropriate. Identify any potential generic issues)

Regional Counsel made determination that prima facie case has been articulated.

Distribution: Panel Attendees, Regional Counsel, OI, Responsible Individuals (original to SAC)