

Allegation No.: RI-2004-A-0061
 Site/Facility: Salem/Hope Creek
 ARB Date: May 20, 2004

Branch Chief (AOC): Dan Holody
 Acknowledged: No
 Confidentiality Granted: No

Issue discussed: The allegor stated instances when during his experience as a worker at Salem and Hope Creek he witnessed improper practices involving HP and FME issues. He stated that he provided all of his concerns to the ECP as follows. Allegor requested the NRC to contact ECP for concern detail.

- a supervisor directed a [redacted] to leave a contaminated area (RHR room) and enter a clean area without following the proper protective clothing change out procedures;
- when [redacted] observed sandblast material to fall on "quencher pumps" (84', auxiliary building, PSEG-ECP believes them to be *containment spray pumps*) the subsequent clean-up may not have been sufficient to ensure that sandblast material did not enter the internals;
- FME is possible in the Hope Creek torus water since graffiti was improperly painted over and maybe the paint will come off;
- there are missing or loose "ground straps" on pipes in the service water intake structure;
- and rust on a safety-related chiller "strap" was painted over and never dispositioned for corrective action.

The allegor also believes he was retaliated against for raising concerns about respirator protection. Industrial safety concern — we can give the allegor DOL rights in acknowledgment letter.

Allegor contacted prior to referral to licensee (if applicable)? Not Yet

ALLEGATION REVIEW BOARD DECISIONS

Attendees: Chair - Blough Branch Chief (AOC) - Holody SAC - Vito, Harrison
 OI Rep. - Wilson RI Counsel - Farrar Others - Barber, Passarelli

DISPOSITION ACTIONS:

- 1) Acknowledgment letter - allegor only wants verbal communication via cell phone. - *Allegor does not want correspondence, only phone. AC (L. Harrison) recontacted allegor on 4/30/04 to restate concerns & obtain any clarification.*
 Responsible Person: SAC ECD: 05/28/2004
 Closure Documentation: _____ Completed: 4/30/04
- 2) Referral to licensee. DRP to provide words for Enclosure 1 to referral letter.
 Responsible Person: Holody ECD: 05/28/2004
 Closure Documentation: _____ Completed: _____ *Since concerns have not changed since that time there is no need for an add'l "acknowledge Cell."*
- 3) Review information from licensee on referred allegation.
 Responsible Person: Holody ECD: 7/14/04
 Closure Documentation: _____ Completed: _____ *"acknowledge Cell."*

SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE ASSESSMENT: The initial safety significance of the issue is low because the Employee Concerns Manager is aware of the concerns and stated that PSEG is pursuing all technical issues with outage management.

Information in this report was prepared in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act, exemptions

FOIA- 2004-314

ARB MINUTES ARE REVIEWED AND APPROVED AT THE ARB

W/ DSHA/DC info during 4/30/04 call - J. H. H. H.

PRIORITY OF OI INVESTIGATION:

If potential discrimination or wrongdoing and OI is not opening a case, provide rationale here (e.g., no prima facie, lack of specific indication of wrongdoing):

Rationale used to defer OI discrimination case (DOL case in progress):

ENFORCEMENT STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS CONSIDERATION (only applies to wrongdoing matters (including discrimination issues) that are under investigation by OI, DOL, or DOJ):

What is the potential violation and regulatory requirement? _____

When did the potential violation occur? _____

(Assign action to determine date, if unknown)

Once date of potential violation is established, SAC will assign AMS action to have another ARB at four (4) years from that date, to discuss enforcement statute of limitations issues.

NOTES: (Include other pertinent comments. Also include considerations related to licensee referral, if appropriate. Identify any potential generic issues) _____

Distribution: Panel Attendees, Regional Counsel, OI, Responsible Individuals (original to SAC)