

G:\ALLEG\IPANEL\20020132arb3.wpdALLEGATION REVIEW BOARD DISPOSITION RECORD

Allegation No.: RI-2002-A-0132
Site/Facility: Salem/HC
ARB Date: 01/08/03

Branch Chief (AOC): Meyer
Acknowledged: Yes
Confidentiality Granted: No ex 2

Issue discussed: The allegor raised five issues in the

[REDACTED] These issues were referred to PSEG who then formed an independent investigation team to review these assertions. The team's report made findings and conclusions in the same areas delineated in the original allegation, and PSEG and responded to their findings and conclusions as documented in a December 19, 2002, letter.

Allegor contacted prior to referral to licensee (if applicable)? _____

ALLEGATION REVIEW BOARD DECISIONS

Attendees: Chair - Rogge Branch Chief (AOC) - Barber (Actg BC) SAC - Vito
OI Rep. - Monroe, Rzepka RI Counsel - Fewell Others - Lanning, Caron, Frechette, Meyer

DISPOSITION ACTIONS: (List actions for processing and closure. Note responsible person(s), form of action closure document(s), and estimated completion dates.)

- 1) Preliminary discussions between DRP and DRS have indicated that questions exist regarding the appropriateness of PSEG responses to address the underlying technical issues highlighted in this allegation. We need to continue the dialogue between the divisions and develop a regional response to address the scope of PSEG's proposed corrective actions for these issues.

Responsible Person: Meyer/White
Closure Documentation: _____

ECD: 02/12/03
Completed: _____

SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE ASSESSMENT: This issue is of moderate significance since aspects of PSEG's response to the alleged concerns do fully resolve the concerns. The degree to which they do not resolve these concerns is the subject of further discussion between DRP and DRS.

PRIORITY OF OI INVESTIGATION:

If potential discrimination or wrongdoing and OI is not opening a case, provide rationale here (e.g., no prima facie, lack of specific indication of wrongdoing):
Rationale used to defer OI discrimination case (DOL case in progress):

ENFORCEMENT STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS CONSIDERATION (only applies to wrongdoing matters (including discrimination issues) that are under investigation by OI, DOL, or DOJ):

What is the potential violation and regulatory requirement? _____

When did the potential violation occur? _____

(Assign action to determine date, if unknown)

Once date of potential violation is established, SAC will assign AMS action to have another ARB at four (4) years from that date, to discuss enforcement statute of limitations issues.

Information in this record was deleted
in accordance with the Freedom of Information

Act, exemptions 2

FOIA- 2004-314

ARB MINUTES ARE REVIEWED AND APPROVED AT THE ARB

P-3

NOTES: (Include other pertinent comments. Also include considerations related to licensee referral, if appropriate. Identify any potential generic issues)

Distribution: Panel Attendees, Regional Counsel, OI, Responsible Individuals (original to SAC)