



U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

**STANDARD REVIEW PLAN**  
OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

17.2 QUALITY ASSURANCE DURING THE OPERATIONS PHASE

REVIEW RESPONSIBILITIES

Primary - Quality Assurance Branch (QAB)

Secondary - Mechanical Engineering Branch  
Instrumentation & Control Systems Branch  
Power Systems Branch  
Accident Evaluation Branch  
Radiological Assessment Branch  
Hydrologic & Geotechnical Engineering Branch  
Containment Systems Branch

I. AREAS OF REVIEW

QAB reviews and evaluates the applicant's operational quality assurance (QA) program as described in the FSAR. The review at the operating license stage addresses both the "offsite" and "onsite" QA controls to be applied to those activities that may affect the quality of items important to safety during the operation, maintenance, and modification of a nuclear power plant. The review covers the QA controls to be applied to those activities (e.g., designing, constructing, purchasing, fabricating, handling, shipping, storing, cleaning, erecting, installing, maintaining, modifying, operating, inspecting, and testing) that may affect the quality of structures, systems, and components important to safety. The secondary review branches review the listing of structures, systems, and components (QA list) covered by the QA program for their areas of review responsibility in accordance with 2A1 of this section of the Standard Review Plan and documents the acceptability of the listing including any items that should be added or clarified by memo to the QAB. The review by MEB in this regard also addresses the areas of review responsibility normally assigned to ASB, RSB, CEB, PSB (except electrical), and SEB.

The review extends to the determination of how the applicable requirements of the 18 criteria of Appendix B to 10 CFR Part 50 are satisfied by the proposed QA program.

Rev. 2 - July 1981

---

USNRC STANDARD REVIEW PLAN

Standard review plans are prepared for the guidance of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation staff responsible for the review of applications to construct and operate nuclear power plants. These documents are made available to the public as part of the Commission's policy to inform the nuclear industry and the general public of regulatory procedures and policies. Standard review plans are not substitutes for regulatory guides or the Commission's regulations and compliance with them is not required. The standard review plan sections are keyed to the Standard Format and Content of Safety Analysis Reports for Nuclear Power Plants. Not all sections of the Standard Format have a corresponding review plan.

Published standard review plans will be revised periodically, as appropriate, to accommodate comments and to reflect new information and experience.

Comments and suggestions for improvement will be considered and should be sent to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, Washington, D.C. 20555.

---

Where an NRC-accepted QA topical report is referenced in the application, the referenced QA program is not re-reviewed except for conformance to the applicable staff positions in this SRP section and the Regulatory Guides in effect at the time of docketing the application.

The review will not involve an evaluation of the QA program for the design and construction phase and, therefore, the QAP description for design and construction should not be addressed in the FSAR except for a commitment for continued implementation of the PSAR QA program for the remaining design and construction activities and the preoperational test program or referenced as applicable for repair and modifications only during the operations phase. However, as desired, changes to the QA program for design and construction may be presented in the FSAR for staff review and approval. Staff review will only address the program changes.

The areas of review for this SRP section are the same as those described in SRP Section 17.1 except:

1. Organization (item 1) delete from part A: "including the applicant's organization and principal contractors (architect engineer, nuclear steam supply system vendor, constructor, and construction manager when other than the constructor)."
2. Audits (item 18) add a part C: "Provisions for the audit of operating activities important to safety independent of the operating organization."

## II. ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA

The applicant must establish a QA program for the operations phase, including activities such as operation, maintenance, and modification of the nuclear power plant, in accordance with Appendix B to 10 CFR Part 50, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants and Fuel Reprocessing Plants." The QA program description presented in the FSAR must discuss how each criterion of Appendix B will be met. The acceptance criteria used by the QAB to evaluate the program are listed below. The acceptance criteria include commitments to comply with the regulatory positions presented in the appropriate issue of the Regulatory Guides including the requirements of ANSI Standard N45.2.12 and the Branch Technical Position listed in subsection V of SRP Section 17.1. Thus, these commitments constitute an integral part of the QA program description and requirements. Exceptions and alternatives to these acceptance criteria may be taken by applicants provided adequate justification is given; and the QAB review allows for considerable flexibility in defining methods and controls for satisfying pertinent regulations. When the QA program description meets the acceptance criteria of this SRP section or provides acceptable exceptions or alternatives, the program is considered to be in compliance with pertinent NRC regulations. The review will ascertain that the commitments and the description of how the commitments are implemented, to the extent necessary, are objective and stated in inspectable terms.

The Organization (SRP Section 17.2.1) elements responsible for the QA program are acceptable if:

1. The criteria described in 17.1.1\* are satisfied except for:

\* Refers to the acceptance criteria given in subsection II of SRP Section 17.1.

- a. Item 1A4.
- b. The organizational elements within the parenthesis in item 1A5 be expanded to include operations and maintenance.
- c. The requirements that principal contractors describe QA responsibilities be deleted in Item 1A6.
- d. The requirements that a QA position be identified for principal contractors as described in Item 1B1, be deleted.
- e. "The person at the construction site responsible for directing and managing the site QA program..." described in Item IC3, be changed to "The person...responsible for...the onsite QA program," and continue on with remaining sentence starting with "has appropriate organizational...."

The Quality Assurance Program (SRP Section 17.2.2) description is acceptable if:

1. The criteria described in 17.1.2 are satisfied except for:
  - a. Item 2A1b.
  - b. The requirement for the principal contractors to provide a commitment to comply with the regulations and regulatory positions in the Regulatory Guides addressed in Item 2B3.
  - c. Item 2C2.
  - d. Item 2C3.
2. Provisions are established for assuring the QA program for operations is implemented at least 90 days prior to fuel loading.
3. Confirmation is provided to commit to continued implementation of the PSAR QA program for the remaining design and construction activities and the preoperational test program or an acceptable alternative is provided.

Activities related to Design Control (SRP Section 17.2.3) are acceptable if:

1. The criteria described in 17.1.3 are satisfied.
2. Measures are provided to assure that responsible plant personnel are made aware of design changes/modifications which may affect the performance of their duties.

Activities related to Procurement Document Control (17.2.4) are acceptable if:

1. The criteria described in 17.1.4 are satisfied.

Activities related to Instructions, Procedures, and Drawings (17.2.5) are acceptable if:

1. The criteria described in 17.1.5 are satisfied.

Activities related to Document Control (17.2.6) are acceptable if:

1. The criteria described in 17.1.6 are satisfied.
2. Maintenance, modification and inspection procedures are reviewed by qualified personnel knowledgeable in QA disciplines (normally the QA organization) to determine:
  - a. The need for inspection, identification of inspection personnel, and documentation of inspection results.
  - b. That the necessary inspection requirements, methods, and acceptance criteria have been identified.

Activities related to Control of Purchased Material, Equipment, and Services (17.2.7) are acceptable if:

1. The criteria described in 17.1.7 are satisfied.

Activities related to Identification and Control of Materials, Parts, and Components (17.2.8) are acceptable if:

1. The criteria described in 17.1.8 are satisfied.

Activities related to the Control of Special Processes (17.2.9) are acceptable if:

1. The criteria described in 17.1.9 are satisfied.

Activities related to Inspection (17.2.10) are acceptable if:

1. The criteria described in 17.1.10 are satisfied.
2. When inspections associated with normal operations of the plant (such as routine maintenance, surveillance, and tests) are performed by individuals other than those who performed or directly supervised the work, but are within the same group, the following controls are met:
  - a. The quality of the work can be demonstrated through a functional test when the activity involves breaching a pressure retaining item.
  - b. The qualification criteria for inspection personnel are reviewed and found acceptable by the QA organization prior to initiating the inspection.

Activities related to Test Control (17.2.11) are acceptable if:

1. The criteria described in 17.1.11 are satisfied.

Activities related to Control of Measuring and Test Equipment (17.2.12) are acceptable if:

1. The criteria described in 17.1.12 are satisfied.

Activities related to Handling, Storage, and Shipping (17.2.13) are acceptable if:

1. The criteria described in 17.1.13 are satisfied.
2. Provisions are described for the storage of chemicals, reagents (including control of shelf life), lubricants, and other consumable materials.

Activities related to Inspection, Test, and Operating Status (17.2.14) are acceptable if:

1. The criteria described in 17.1.14 are satisfied.

Activities related to Nonconforming Materials, Parts, or Components (17.2.15) are acceptable if:

1. The criteria described in 17.1.15 are satisfied.

Activities related to Corrective Action (17.2.16) are acceptable if:

1. The criteria described in 17.1.16 are satisfied.

Activities related to Quality Assurance Records (17.2.17) are acceptable if:

1. The criteria described in 17.1.17 are satisfied.
2. QA records include operating logs, maintenance and modification procedures, and related inspection results, reportable occurrences, and other records required by Technical Specifications.

Activities related to Audits (17.2.18) are acceptable if:

1. The criteria described in 17.1.18 are satisfied.
2. Where the "onsite" QA organization does not report to the "offsite" organization:
  - a. The "offsite" QA organization conducts audits sufficient to verify adequacy of activities conducted by the "onsite" QA organization.
  - b. The "offsite" QA organization reviews and concurs in the schedule and scope of audits performed by the "onsite" QA organization.
  - c. Results of audits performed by the "onsite" QA organization are provided to the "offsite" QA organization for review and assessment.

### III. REVIEW PROCEDURES

Same as SRP Section 17.1 except that the Office of Inspection & Enforcement (I&E) does not provide a position statement to QAB relative to their assessment of the QA program implementation for SER input. I&E provides this assessment to the Licensing Project Manager. QAB reviews a description of the I&E summary

of completed QA program activities to further determine that the facility has been designed and constructed in accordance with PSAR program commitments.

#### IV. EVALUATION FINDINGS

Same as SRP Section 17.1.

#### V. IMPLEMENTATION

Same as SRP Section 17.1.

#### VI. REFERENCES

Same as SRP Section 17.1 except replace item 8, Regulatory Guide 1.28, "Quality Assurance Program Requirements (Design and Construction)" (endorses N45.2) with Regulatory Guide 1.33, "Quality Assurance Program Requirements (Operation)" (endorses N18.7); replace 10 CFR Part 50, §50.34(a.7) with 10 CFR Part 50, §50.34 (b.6ii), "Final Safety Analysis Report"; and delete 10 CFR Part 50, §50.55(e), "Conditions of Construction Permits."