

September 2, 2005

Mr. Randall K. Edington
Vice President-Nuclear and CNO
Nebraska Public Power District
P. O. Box 98
Brownville, NE 68321

SUBJECT: COOPER NUCLEAR STATION - REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
RE: LICENSE AMENDMENT REQUEST TO ADOPT GENERIC CHANGES TO
STANDARD TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS (TAC NO. MC6760)

Dear Mr. Edington:

Nebraska Public Power District (the licensee) requested the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff's approval for an amendment to revise the Cooper Nuclear Station Technical Specifications regarding the adoption of NRC-approved Technical Specification Task Force (TSTF) Travelers TSTF-222-A, TSTF-275-A, TSTF-300-A, and portions of TSTF-225 incorporated in the latest issued version of BWR/4 Standard Technical Specifications (NUREG-1433, Revision 3).

The NRC staff has reviewed the information provided in the April 13, 2005, submittal and has determined that the additional information identified in the enclosed request for additional information (RAI) is required in order for the NRC staff to complete its review. As agreed upon with Ronald E. Rodgers on August 11, 2005, the licensee will respond to the RAI within 30 days from the date of this letter.

Sincerely,

/RA by M.Fields for M.Honcharik/

Michelle C. Honcharik, Project Manager, Section 1
Project Directorate IV
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-298

Enclosure: RAI

cc w/encl: See next page

Mr. Randall K. Edington
Vice President-Nuclear and CNO
Nebraska Public Power District
P. O. Box 98
Brownville, NE 68321

September 2, 2005

SUBJECT: COOPER NUCLEAR STATION - REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
RE: LICENSE AMENDMENT REQUEST TO ADOPT GENERIC CHANGES TO
STANDARD TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS (TAC NO. MC6760)

Dear Mr. Edington:

Nebraska Public Power District (the licensee) requested the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff's approval for an amendment to revise the Cooper Nuclear Station Technical Specifications regarding the adoption of NRC-approved Technical Specification Task Force (TSTF) Travelers TSTF-222-A, TSTF-275-A, TSTF-300-A, and portions of TSTF-225 incorporated in the latest issued version of BWR/4 Standard Technical Specifications (NUREG-1433, Revision 3).

The NRC staff has reviewed the information provided in the April 13, 2005, submittal and has determined that the additional information identified in the enclosed request for additional information (RAI) is required in order for the NRC staff to complete its review. As agreed upon with Ronald E. Rodgers on August 11, 2005, the licensee will respond to the RAI within 30 days from the date of this letter.

Sincerely,

/RA by M.Fields for M.Honcharik/

Michelle C. Honcharik, Project Manager, Section 1
Project Directorate IV
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-298

Enclosure: RAI

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

PUBLIC

PDIV-1 Reading

RidsNrrDlpmLpdiv1 (DTerao)

RidsNrrPMMHoncharik

RidsNrrLADBaxley

RidsAcraAcnwMailCenter

RidsOgcRp

RidsRgn4MailCenter (KKennedy)

RJenkins

APal

DDuvigneaud

RidsNrrDlpmDpr

RidsNrrDeDpr

ACCESSION NO: ML052290451

OFFICE	PDIV-2/PE	PDIV-1/PM	PDIV-1/LA	EEIB-B/SC	PDIV-1/SC
NAME	DDuvigneaud	MFields for MHoncharik	DBaxley	RJenkins	DTerao
DATE	8/29/05	8/30/05	8/29/05	9/2/05	9/2/05

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

Cooper Nuclear Station

cc:

Mr. William J. Fehrman
President and Chief Executive Officer
Nebraska Public Power District
1414 15th Street
Columbus, NE 68601

Mr. Michael T. Boyce
Nuclear Asset Manager
Nebraska Public Power District
1414 15th Street
Columbus, NE 68601

Mr. John C. McClure
Vice President and General Counsel
Nebraska Public Power District
P. O. Box 499
Columbus, NE 68602-0499

Mr. Paul V. Fleming
Licensing Manager
Nebraska Public Power District
P.O. Box 98
Brownville, NE 68321

Mr. Michael J. Linder, Director
Nebraska Department of Environmental
Quality
P. O. Box 98922
Lincoln, NE 68509-8922

Chairman
Nemaha County Board of Commissioners
Nemaha County Courthouse
1824 N Street
Auburn, NE 68305

Ms. Cheryl K. Rogers, Program Manager
Nebraska Health & Human Services
System
Division of Public Health Assurance
Consumer Services Section
301 Centennial Mall, South
P. O. Box 95007
Lincoln, NE 68509-5007

Mr. Mike Wells, Deputy Director
Department of Natural Resources
P.O. Box 176
Jefferson City, MO 65102

Senior Resident Inspector
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
P. O. Box 218
Brownville, NE 68321

Regional Administrator, Region IV
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400
Arlington, TX 76011

State Emergency Management Agency
P. O. Box 116
Jefferson City, MO 65101

Chief, Radiation and Asbestos
Control Section
Kansas Department of Health
and Environment
Bureau of Air and Radiation
1000 SW Jackson
Suite 310
Topeka, KS 66612-1366

Mr. Daniel K. McGhee
Bureau of Radiological Health
Iowa Department of Public Health
401 SW 7th Street
Suite D
Des Moines, IA 50309

Mr. Scott Clardy, Director
Section for Environmental Public Health
P.O. Box 570
Jefferson City, MO 65102-0570

Jerry C. Roberts, Director of Nuclear
Safety Assurance
Nebraska Public Power District
P.O. Box 98
Brownville, NE 68321

REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

ISSUES RELATED TO THE LICENSE AMENDMENT REQUEST TO REVISE TECHNICAL

SPECIFICATIONS - ADOPTION OF GENERIC CHANGES TO STANDARD TECHNICAL

SPECIFICATIONS

COOPER NUCLEAR STATION

DOCKET NO. 50-298

1. The proposed note 2 to surveillance requirement (SR) 3.8.2.1 states that "SR 3.8.1.11 is considered to be met without the ECCS initiation signals OPERABLE when the ECCS initiation signals are not required to be OPERABLE per Table 3.3.5.1-1." This is not consistent with TSTF 300-A, Rev. 0 which states "SR 3.8.1.12 and SR 3.8.1.19 are not required to be met when associated ECCS subsystem(s) are not required to be OPERABLE per LCO 3.5.2, "ECCS - Shutdown."
 - a.) The proposed note states that SR 3.8.1.11 is considered to be met. Provide a justification for this modification. In addition, explain what does "considered to be met" mean? Also, provide the status of SR 3.8.1.11 during "ECCS - Shutdown."
 - b.) Explain why the proposed note did not include the statement that associated ECCS subsystem(s) are not required to be OPERABLE per LCO 3.5.2, "ECCS - Shutdown."
2. In Section 4.3 of the amendment request, you stated that although the DG is not required to start in response to ECCS initiation signals, the DG is still required to meet the other attributes of SR 3.8.1.11 when associated ECCS initiation signals are not required to be operable per TS Table 3.3.5.1-1. Provide which attributes will be met and how this SR will be performed.

Enclosure