

Official Transcript of Proceedings

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Title: Duke Energy Corporation

Docket Number: 50-413/414-OLA; ASLBP No.: 03-815-03-OLA

Location: (telephone conference)

DOCKETED
USNRC

December 21, 2004 (4:10pm)

Date: Friday, December 17, 2004

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
RULEMAKINGS AND
ADJUDICATIONS STAFF

Work Order No.: NRC-155

Pages 3783-3836

NEAL R. GROSS AND CO., INC.
Court Reporters and Transcribers
1323 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 234-4433

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

+ + + + +

ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

(ASLB)

+ + + + +

PRE-HEARING CONFERENCE

+ + + + +

In the Matter of: ||

|| Docket Nos. 50-413-OLA

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION ||

50-414-OLA

Catawba Nuclear Station, ||

ASLBP No. 03-815-03-OLA

Units 1 and 2 ||

Friday, December 17, 2004

Via Teleconference

BEFORE:

ANN MARSHALL YOUNG, Chairperson

ANTHONY J. BARATTA, Administrative Law Judge

THOMAS S. ELLEMAN, Administrative Law Judge

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 APPEARANCES:

2 On Behalf of the Licensee:

3 MARK J. WETTERHAHN, ESQ.

4 of: Winston & Strawn, LLP

5 1400 L Street, N.W.

6 Washington, D.C. 20005

7 (202) 371-5703

8

9 On Behalf of the Intervenor, Blue Ridge
10 Environmental Defense League:

11 DIANE CURRAN, ESQ.

12 of: Harmon, Curran, Spielberg & Eisenberg, LLP

13 1726 M Street, N.W., Suite 600

14 Washington, D.C. 20036

15 (202) 328-3500

16

17 On Behalf of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

18 ANTONIO FERNANDEZ, ESQ.

19 SUSAN L. UTTAL, ESQ.

20 Office of the General Counsel

21 Mail Stop O-15 D21

22 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

23 Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

24 (301) 415-8339

25

NEAL R. GROSS

 COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 ALSO PRESENT:

2 SHERRI CROSS, NSIR

3 JOSEPH SHEA

4 ALBERT GARRETT

5 FRANCIS YOUNG, NRC

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

(10:10 a.m.)

CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: Let's go on the record.

This is Judge Ann Marshall Young. I'm the Chair of the Board. I have here with me Judge Baratta and Francis Young, our security expert advisor.

Judge Elleman, you are also present, right?

JUDGE ELLEMAN: This is Judge Thomas Elleman. Yes, I'm here.

CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: And if we could start with Staff. Could Sue introduce who is present?

MS. UTTAL: Yes. This is Susan Uttal, Staff counsel. Also with me is Antonio Fernandez, Staff counsel, some members of NSIR staff, Joseph Shea and Sherri Cross.

CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: And BREDL?

MS. CURRAN: This is Diane Curran, representing Blue Ridge Environmental Defense League, and I'm alone.

MR. WETTERHAHN: This is Mark Wetterhahn with Winston & Strawn, Counsel for Duke Energy Corporation.

CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: Okay. Thank you.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 All right. We were just -- just to put on
2 the record what we were just discussing, we will,
3 during the hearing -- we're going to try to have
4 available for all parties locking file cabinets in
5 your respective conference rooms, around the hearing
6 room.

7 MS. UTTAL: Excuse me. Judge Young?

8 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: Yes.

9 MS. UTTAL: This is Susan Uttal. We're
10 losing part of what you're saying when the papers are
11 rustling.

12 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: Could everybody try to
13 avoid rustling papers, because we really need to use
14 speakerphone, and that's -- so we're -- that requires
15 that everyone keep especially quiet when -- well, all
16 the way through, except for talking.

17 Okay. Just to confirm what we said
18 beforehand on the record, for the hearing we are going
19 to -- we are making an effort -- and I think that
20 we're going to be able to provide locking file
21 cabinets in each of the parties' conference rooms
22 around our hearing room to be used during that week.

23 In addition, if there's any incidental
24 needs for copying of exhibits that come up, obviously,
25 please try to disclose anything as soon as you have it

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 in advance of the hearing, if something comes up at
2 the last minute.

3 We also discussed whether the -- Ms.
4 Curran asked whether the hearing might start on
5 Tuesday, January 11th, and we're not going to do that.
6 We're going to go ahead and start on the 10th unless
7 we -- we did talk about maybe the middle of the week
8 of January -- of the first week of January we could
9 get together on the phone to address any last-minute
10 things before the hearing.

11 And if at that point it looked as though
12 we really didn't need the whole week, and that was
13 certain, we could reconsider that. But at this point,
14 we need to maintain the whole week as -- including the
15 possibility of a need for a site visit on the 14th.

16 Was there anything else that we talked
17 about before we went on the record that we need to
18 just confirm on the record? All right.

19 And I don't think that the parties had
20 anything else to talk about, so we were just going to
21 address two things. First, on the -- on BREDL -- the
22 Staff -- I hear some papers rustling now. I don't
23 know if we're --

24 On BREDL's appeal of the Staff's Need to
25 Know Determination, we are ready to make our ruling,

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 and we've talked with Mr. Young to make sure that we
2 state it in such a way that we're not disclosing any
3 safeguards information.

4 Our ruling is this. With regard to the
5 documents that BREDL seeks access to, the Commission
6 did consider that document, and they approved it in
7 part and disproved it in part. There were two areas
8 that the Commission approved, and we would direct the
9 Staff to provide the sections of the document at issue
10 that address those two areas to BREDL. We do not --
11 and since those are Commission policy relating to the
12 insider threat.

13 We do not find the other portions, since
14 they were not adopted by the Commission, to be
15 Commission policy, and we don't find them to be
16 relevant such that there is a need for them by BREDL,
17 balancing out all of the relevant factors. We're not
18 getting into the privilege issue raised by the Staff.
19 We're simply placing our ruling on the factors that
20 I've stated.

21 Now, I guess -- and one of the reasons we
22 wanted to tell you that today was so that that could
23 -- that could be done today, assuming the Staff had no
24 problem with doing that, which we wanted to find out,
25 to just sort of see where we were.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 I guess we're anticipating that given that
2 the Staff had gotten access to the same SRM as
3 indicated in my e-mail of yesterday, we anticipated
4 that the Staff probably would not have a problem with
5 that. Could you address that, Staff counsel?

6 MR. FERNANDEZ: Your Honor, we're not
7 ready to address that right now. We would endeavor to
8 resolve that issue today and inform the parties of
9 whether the Staff is going to move to appeal the
10 Board's decision and move for a stay of the Board's
11 order.

12 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: Okay. Yes, that would
13 help us, to let everybody know that, so that things
14 could move along if -- with full knowledge of what's
15 going to be happening. And we will try to get an
16 order confirming that out today.

17 But in any event, the parties know what
18 our ruling is, and it is on the record now. And so if
19 you let us know what you're going to do, that should
20 give everyone the information they need to move
21 forward. We'll try to get an order out on that today.
22 If not today, then it will be next week, probably not
23 until Tuesday.

24 MS. CURRAN: Judge Young?

25 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: Yes.

1 MS. CURRAN: This is Diane Curran. I was
2 just wondering, if it turns out that this new document
3 is something that Dr. Lyman needs to address in his
4 testimony, should we put in a piece of supplemental
5 direct written testimony, or should he just address it
6 when he gets to the hearing, or --

7 MR. WETTERHAHN: Well, he's going to have
8 rebuttal testimony.

9 MS. CURRAN: Well, I guess he could put it
10 -- he could address it in his rebuttal testimony.

11 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: Yes.

12 MR. WETTERHAHN: Your Honor, just for
13 clarification, I would expect your ruling also applies
14 to Duke, so Duke would have access to this document
15 also.

16 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: Yes. Thank you for
17 pointing that out.

18 MR. WETTERHAHN: Thank you.

19 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: Okay. Then, on the
20 Motion to Amend the Protective Order, I guess we would
21 just like to hear briefly from the Staff on what the
22 situation is with that, because we were a little
23 puzzled by the circumstances that were described.

24 MR. FERNANDEZ: Your Honor, let me first
25 begin by clarifying some representations made by BREDL

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 counsel with regard to what the Staff stated prior to
2 reviewing what facility protections and what handling
3 Ms. Curran was conducting at her facility for the
4 documents that she already had.

5 Prior to making a decision on whether
6 additional documents should be kept at BREDL's
7 offices, the Staff wanted to have an understanding of
8 what measures Ms. Curran was applying and how she was
9 complying with the order before we made a decision.
10 Once the Staff communicated that to me, I talked to
11 Ms. Curran, and I expressed that the Staff wanted to
12 inspect her facilities prior to making a decision on
13 whether further access should be granted.

14 At no point did the Staff make a
15 representation of what the outcome of that assessment
16 was going to be and what further actions were going to
17 be taken subsequent to that inspection.

18 So for BREDL counsel to state that it was
19 assured that upon successful completion of the
20 inspection that further actions would be granted is a
21 misstatement of my recollection of that conversation.
22 In fact, I remember quite specifically saying that
23 before making a decision the Staff wanted to conduct
24 this inspection.

25 JUDGE BARATTA: All right. Now, Mr.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Fernandez, the question is: what was the outcome of
2 the inspection?

3 MR. FERNANDEZ: The Staff found that Ms.
4 Curran is in compliance with the order and -- hold on
5 one second. And as Mr. Stapleton communicated to Ms.
6 Curran, that Ms. Curran was adequately protecting, in
7 compliance with the order, the documents that she
8 already had in her possession.

9 JUDGE BARATTA: In other words, she does
10 have adequate storage and procedures for ensuring that
11 disclosure of protected information inadvertently --
12 there would be no inadvertent disclosure of protected
13 information. Is that correct?

14 MR. FERNANDEZ: For the documents that she
15 has right now, that's correct, yes.

16 JUDGE BARATTA: And was there any
17 indication that that capacity of -- was limited such
18 that additional documents would not be adequately
19 stored?

20 MR. FERNANDEZ: We did not reach that
21 issue. We did not consider that. What we considered
22 was the sensitivity of the further documents that
23 could be housed at her facility.

24 JUDGE BARATTA: And these are all
25 safeguards, so they're -- they are comparable in their

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 sensitivity?

2 MR. FERNANDEZ: Well, to the extent that
3 some of the documents are merely documents generated
4 by BREDL, and the documents that -- and, of course,
5 we're doing this in a vacuum, because we really don't
6 know what the exhibits are yet. But some examples of
7 documents that could be exhibits are maps that have
8 identified all the locations of the CCTV cameras at
9 the Catawba Nuclear Station, all of the locations of
10 the microwaves at the Catawba Nuclear Station, the
11 responder procedures where if there were an attack on
12 the facility.

13 So although all of the documents are
14 marked "safeguards," because under the Act that is the
15 required marking, there is additional sensitivities
16 with regard to other documents that are -- that could
17 be exhibits in this proceeding.

18 JUDGE BARATTA: And the adequacy of
19 storage is the same, though, regardless of whether
20 there are those documents or the documents that she
21 currently has. Is that not correct?

22 MR. FERNANDEZ: Could you please ask your
23 question again, Judge?

24 JUDGE BARATTA: Documents that -- those
25 documents and the documents that she currently has all

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 require identical storage, is that correct?

2 MR. FERNANDEZ: That's correct.

3 JUDGE BARATTA: And they all require the
4 same handling procedures, is that correct?

5 MR. FERNANDEZ: That's true.

6 JUDGE BARATTA: Thank you.

7 MR. FERNANDEZ: I would like to add
8 something, because I -- I can see where the Board is
9 going with its questioning. And even though one could
10 argue, as Ms. Curran has, that she is already
11 protecting safeguards documents adequately, as the
12 Staff found, that there's no reason, then, why she
13 shouldn't be allowed to have this other type of
14 information at her facility.

15 I would refer the Board to an Atomic
16 Safety and Licensing Board -- Atomic Safety and
17 Licensing Appeals Board decision found that ASLAB 410,
18 5 NRC 1398, dated 1977, in the Diablo Canyon
19 proceeding --

20 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: What's the cite again?

21 MR. FERNANDEZ: 5 NRC 1398. The citation
22 is 1406. In that case, which has been cited by the
23 Commission as a source of guidance for boards in
24 considering how to issue protective orders,
25 particularly in the Statement of Considerations found

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 at 46 Fed Reg 51718, and a final rulemaking under
2 Protection of Unclassified Safeguards Information.

3 The Commission cites this decision as
4 providing guidance to the Board, and in that decision
5 the Licensing Board stated that -- I'm sorry, the
6 Appeals Board stated, "Licensing Board may wish,
7 however, to include additional or different terms and
8 conditions in such an order," and referenced the
9 protective order.

10 For example, "It may appear to be
11 desirable to limit the locations at which the
12 Intervenor may examine relevant portions," and here is
13 in reference to the security plan, "of the plan to the
14 offices of the applicant at the sites of any hearing
15 or prehearing conference convened to consider the
16 plan. It would also appear desirable to preclude the
17 Intervenor from copying or taking notes about any
18 portions of the plan."

19 And then the part which I think bears
20 relevance upon the arguments made by BREDL. "Such
21 restriction could result in a lengthened hearing.
22 Since the Intervenor's representatives might not --
23 might have" -- I'm sorry -- "might have to refresh
24 their recollections about what they had examined
25 earlier. But that may be an acceptable price to pay

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 for the added security obtained."

2 JUDGE BARATTA: In any case, it's quite
3 clear that the security that is in effect at BREDL is
4 adequate.

5 MR. FERNANDEZ: Well, actually, it is
6 quite clear to the Staff that the security in place at
7 BREDL is not adequate, and we do not feel comfortable
8 having plans that have all of the CCTV cameras for a
9 nuclear powerplant, all of the microwave locations for
10 a nuclear powerplant, the response measures that a
11 security force would have to take to be located at her
12 offices. That has been the determination of the staff
13 in this proceeding.

14 JUDGE BARATTA: It's stated that the --
15 that your own expert said that the -- it was adequate
16 for the storage of safeguards material. Now, it's
17 either adequate, or it's inadequate.

18 MR. FERNANDEZ: No. He found that the
19 measures that are in place are adequate to protect the
20 information that she currently has. There was no
21 finding made by the inspector with regard to any
22 additional types of safeguards information.

23 MS. CURRAN: That's not what he said to
24 me.

25 JUDGE BARATTA: That doesn't make any

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 sense.

2 JUDGE ELLEMAN: Mr. Fernandez, this is
3 Judge Elleman. You said just a minute ago that it was
4 not adequate. Can you identify what about the storage
5 capability is inadequate?

6 MR. FERNANDEZ: Well, what I would respond
7 to that, Your Honor, is that I don't have that type of
8 information.

9 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: But you have your --
10 you have that type of information from your expert who
11 did the inspection, didn't you?

12 MR. FERNANDEZ: No. The inspector only
13 looked to see what were the current measures at the
14 facility with regard to the -- I'm sorry, with regard
15 to the currently held information at the facility.

16 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: And what's the basis
17 of your saying that they should not be allowed to keep
18 those documents there? And then, I want to ask
19 another question in the context of that. This case
20 that you have pointed us to talks about the -- this
21 kind of restriction resulting in a lengthened hearing.

22 What we're talking about now is BREDL's
23 ability not only to participate in the hearing but to
24 prepare for the hearing. And we are moving this case
25 forward as quickly as possible in order to meet, if

1 possible, Duke's needs with regard to their schedule.

2 And this case seems to say that with this
3 kind of restriction this may result, in effect, in a
4 hearing not only taking longer, but it would seem to
5 me by an analogy our preparation taking longer.

6 Now, obviously, not having these documents
7 in their own office would be a significant impairment
8 to BREDL in preparing for the hearing in this case.
9 So if you could address that. And also, what about
10 BREDL's ability to protect the documents do you find
11 insufficient with regard to the documents that they're
12 asking to keep there?

13 Actually, I'm told by Mr. Young that we
14 should not talk about inadequacies in terms of --
15 address vulnerabilities.

16 MR. YOUNG: It's either adequate or
17 inadequate. That's all -- either -- that's as far as
18 I think we should discuss it in an open forum.

19 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: And --

20 MR. FERNANDEZ: Your Honor, let me address
21 the timeliness issue first, and --

22 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: Excuse me. Excuse me.
23 Court Reporter, that was Mr. Francis Young who just
24 spoke.

25 MR. FERNANDEZ: With regard to the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 timeliness issue, all of the parties in this
2 proceeding have been very well aware of what the
3 schedule is going to be in this proceeding since the
4 Board set it. And Ms. Curran waited until this week
5 to file a request to modify where she should have
6 access to safeguards information.

7 She was aware that the protective order,
8 since it's been in place, limited the sites where she
9 should have access. So if -- if any party that's
10 before you today has abused the process and now is
11 hiding behind the fact that it's not timely and it's
12 handicapping them in any way, it's clearly BREDL,
13 because Ms. Curran, from the very first time that we
14 sent out the order, and all parties agreed to it, has
15 known that her access is limited to the NRC and
16 Winston & Strawn.

17 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: Mr. Fernandez, I'm
18 going to interrupt you right there. Over the course
19 of preparing for this hearing, we have had, as you
20 know, as is clear from the record, numerous need --
21 numerous situations where various of us, including the
22 Board and even the Commission, have been called upon
23 to make need-to-know determinations.

24 This has been a developing situation with
25 regard to how much information we're talking about and

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 how many documents. Apparently, Ms. Curran last week
2 requested this from you, and an inspection was done,
3 and the result of the inspection was that Ms. Curran's
4 law firm had adequate measures in place to protect
5 safeguards material. And --

6 MR. FERNANDEZ: Can I clarify that for the
7 record, Your Honor?

8 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: Mr. Fernandez, let me
9 finish what I'm saying to you. You just cited to us
10 a case in which you quoted language saying that
11 requiring this results in a lengthened hearing. I
12 don't want to get into any argument about who -- he
13 said/she said and what happened when.

14 It's clear that all parties, including the
15 Board and the Commission, in this case have put in a
16 lot of time and energy to addressing these types of
17 security issues, and we are -- make every effort as we
18 go to address the needs as they arise.

19 For example, that is why we gave you our
20 ruling on the need-to-know this morning, so you would
21 not be delayed, so that the parties would not be
22 delayed in addressing the outcome of that, whichever
23 way that may go.

24 So let's not get into that kind of back
25 and forth about what has happened in the past. We are

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 at a point in the process of this hearing where we are
2 getting close to the hearing, in the process of
3 proceeding where we are very close to the hearing, and
4 the parties are engaged in preparation for the
5 hearing.

6 You and I both were here at the NRC last
7 night after hours. You told me that you were working
8 on the testimony. And, obviously, this is the kind of
9 separation that is -- becomes quite intensive at this
10 point on the part of the parties. And it needs to be
11 recognized that -- that BREDL, like other parties, are
12 in that intensive preparation period.

13 And that's what we need for this. That --
14 along with the fact that the Staff has made a
15 determination that BREDL's facilities are -- or that
16 Ms. Curran's law firm facilities are adequate for
17 protecting safeguards materials.

18 Now, we can't -- Mr. Young has told us we
19 cannot get into the details about any inadequacies or
20 vulnerabilities that the Staff might see with regard
21 to these additional documents. It seems to me that
22 what this -- what the Board is saying here, and what
23 the Commission has cited it for, is it talks about
24 something being desirable. In other words, over and
25 above the requirements -- desirable. And it talks

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 about the results of the -- of any restrictions like
2 that.

3 In addition, in that case, the Appeals
4 Board and, presumably, the Commission by -- by
5 referring to this, left it to the Board -- it stated
6 that the Board was -- that the Licensing Board was in
7 the best position to determine the most appropriate
8 circumstance in which the plan may be viewed, and,
9 therefore, left it to the Board to formulate the exact
10 terms and conditions of the order.

11 And by the way, I need to get that -- the
12 cite from you. You gave another cite in which the
13 Commission cited this case. What was that?

14 MR. FERNANDEZ: It was in the publication
15 of the final rule amending the section of unclassified
16 safeguards information. The Federal Register cite is
17 46 Fed Reg 51718. The date is October 22, 1981.

18 MS. CURRAN: 1981?

19 MR. FERNANDEZ: That's correct.

20 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: Okay. Thank you.

21 Now, in light of what I've said, I think
22 we need to wrap up the argument on this very quickly,
23 and then it may be that Judge Baratta and Judge
24 Elleman and I need to talk and come back to you on
25 that.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 MR. FERNANDEZ: Your Honor, can I briefly
2 summarize the Staff's position for the Board?

3 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: Keep in mind Mr.
4 Young's caution.

5 MR. FERNANDEZ: Yes. What we're trying to
6 tell the Board today is that the Staff, as the
7 Commission's security expert, has found that the
8 exhibits in this case, which could include maps of
9 CCTVs for this facility, response procedures for this
10 facility, maps of the microwave locations, should not
11 be stored at Harmon Curran. And that that finding is
12 based on our expertise, and that we feel that those
13 documents should not be stored there, those types of
14 documents. And --

15 JUDGE BARATTA: Can I ask you one question
16 before you go on, Mr. Fernandez?

17 MR. FERNANDEZ: Sure, Your Honor.

18 JUDGE BARATTA: Are those types of
19 documents stored in the offices where Mr. Wetterhahn
20 is?

21 MR. WETTERHAHN: The answer is yes,
22 because we make them available to BREDL at our
23 location here.

24 JUDGE BARATTA: Thank you.

25 MR. FERNANDEZ: And that summarizes our

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 position before the Board.

2 MR. WETTERHAHN: Your Honor, may I be
3 heard for a second? This is Mark Wetterhahn.

4 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: Go ahead.

5 MR. WETTERHAHN: We would agree that the
6 documents in question, although we have enumerated
7 them, are the most detailed kinds relating to the
8 security of the plant. Any loss or disclosure would
9 be devastating and really diminish the security of the
10 facility.

11 I can't speak to the preparation at Ms.
12 Curran's law firm. All I can say is that any time you
13 put such documents in another location it tends to
14 diminish the overall security. It's a fact of life.

15 We here have always cooperated in making
16 documents available to the point of saying already we
17 would make them -- we would come down here and make
18 them available on weekends before the hearing to
19 facilitate their preparation.

20 So while there's a slight additional
21 difficulty in coming here -- we're only a few blocks
22 away -- the fact that we've made them available for
23 all this period of time during business hours, and we
24 would make them available at -- during weekends,
25 diminishes their need for it.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Again, I can't say anything about the
2 track record at Ms. Curran's offices. All I can do is
3 quote you from an e-mail I received from Duke in
4 Charlotte. They documented the fact that this week
5 they received a package from Harmon, Curran,
6 Spielberg & Eisenberg, whose contents, although they
7 were double-wrapped, the interior didn't indicate that
8 they were safeguards information. That could have led
9 to a disclosure of safeguards information. It did
10 not, but it's an example of things that happen if you
11 increase the distribution of these sensitive
12 documents.

13 I would ask that the Board consider these
14 and deny the -- giving copies of these documents to
15 BREDL for the next few weeks.

16 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: Mr. Wetterhahn, did I
17 understand you correctly? You said that apart from
18 the weekends you only are providing access during
19 business hours?

20 MR. WETTERHAHN: During business hours.
21 Our business hours extend -- I get here very early,
22 6:00 in the morning, and there's always someone here
23 until at least 6:00 at night. So 12 hours a day is a
24 considerable period of time of the day to give them
25 access.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: But not in the
2 evening.

3 MR. WETTERHAHN: Not in the evening. But
4 if there's one evening they need access, we'll give
5 them access. But we believe that the time period that
6 we've given them access is reasonable, and we've gone
7 out of our way to compensate for any problem in their
8 not having those documents.

9 MS. CURRAN: Judge Young, I'd like to be
10 heard if I could.

11 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: Go ahead.

12 MS. CURRAN: I just have a couple of
13 points. I just want to make clear why it is, first,
14 that we want to see these documents in my office, and
15 it's only in my office. And I do have a steel file
16 cabinet that has sufficient capacity for any
17 additional documents.

18 It is very cumbersome to go to Winston &
19 Strawn. Certainly, we've done it, but it means
20 dragging a lot of other documents along with us,
21 because you're doing an integrated review. And
22 there's only so much paper that you can carry, and
23 it's -- it's just -- it's very -- it's difficult. It
24 is cumbersome, it's time-consuming, and we really
25 don't have that much time to prepare for the hearing.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 Between the filing of the rebuttal testimony and the
2 hearing, there's just a weekend.

3 And the other point I want to make is
4 we're not talking about an endless amount of time. We
5 have only asked for the period of time between when
6 the initial testimony is filed and when proposed
7 findings are filed, so that we can really spend the
8 time that we need to spend doing a good job on this.

9 I want to address the issue of timeliness,
10 too. The issue of -- of serving exhibits on us came
11 up not at a -- a conference. I think it was before
12 the one on the -- well, I know I raised it a while
13 ago. At the most recent conference, it was said -- we
14 said the parties would try to work it out. And the
15 way we tried to work it out was I got a call from Mr.
16 Fernandez on the 9th, which -- I mean, maybe he said
17 we're not going to decide until Bern Stapleton looks
18 at your offices.

19 But we all knew that the issue before us
20 was whether to amend the protective order, which
21 clearly required that we could only look at the
22 documents at Winston & Strawn and the NRC Staff
23 offices.

24 So, and when I got the response, after Mr.
25 Stapleton examined my office, when I spoke to Ms.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Uttal and asked her, "Why are you denying me access to
2 the documents?" she said, "Because the protective
3 order says you have to look at them at Winston &
4 Strawn and our office."

5 But that was the whole thing we were
6 talking about changing. So it was kind of like, why
7 did I go through this if -- if the reasoning of the
8 staff was going to be the same, and they weren't going
9 to consider anything different?

10 Well, and I also want to clarify that Bern
11 Stapleton said to me, "I don't see any reason why you
12 can't get these exhibits at your office." So --

13 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: We would like to
14 ask --

15 JUDGE BARATTA: I have one last question,
16 if I could. Mr. Fernandez?

17 MR. FERNANDEZ: Yes, Your Honor.

18 JUDGE BARATTA: Do you know when material
19 commonly gets -- is at the highest risk of disclosure?
20 Is it during storage? Is it during use? Is it during
21 transmission? Do you have any idea? I don't know the
22 answer. I'm just --

23 MR. FERNANDEZ: I do not know, and the
24 Staff is shaking their heads. So I'm assuming they
25 don't know either.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 JUDGE BARATTA: Thank you.

2 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: Okay. We're sorry.
3 We'd like to ask everyone to hold on.

4 Judge Elleman, if you could hang up, and
5 we're going to call you back on another line. And
6 we're just going to put you on hold for a few minutes
7 while we confer.

8 JUDGE ELLEMAN: All right. Are you going
9 to call me on my cell phone, or on my other hard line
10 into my office?

11 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: We could call you on
12 your cell phone, and then that way you wouldn't break
13 this connection.

14 JUDGE ELLEMAN: Okay. And you've got that
15 number, don't you?

16 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: Yes, I do.

17 JUDGE ELLEMAN: Okay. Give me just a
18 minute, then.

19 (Whereupon, the proceedings in the
20 foregoing matter went off the record at
21 10:46 a.m. and went back on the record at
22 11:31 a.m.)

23 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: All right. Again, we
24 apologize.

25 Would everyone be available to get back

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 together at 3:00 this afternoon?

2 MS. CURRAN: What time did you say?

3 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: 3:00.

4 MS. UTTAL: Your Honor, I leave at 2:30
5 today. And I can't stay because I have no child care
6 for my children.

7 MR. FERNANDEZ: And I'm getting a root
8 canal done at 2:00.

9 (Laughter.)

10 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: Judge Elleman?

11 JUDGE ELLEMAN: Yes.

12 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: What other time --
13 you're going -- you're going to be leaving shortly,
14 and you won't be back until about 3:00, right?

15 JUDGE ELLEMAN: Well, it looks like my
16 luncheon engagement is going to have to be cancelled,
17 so I can move forward the 3:00 time if we need to.

18 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: 1:00? Would 1:00 --

19 JUDGE ELLEMAN: Okay. Yes, I can do 1:00.

20 MS. CURRAN: Judge, 1:00 is all right for
21 me.

22 MR. WETTERHAHN: 1:00 is fine for me, too.
23 It's Mark Wetterhahn.

24 MS. UTTAL: Susan Uttal. 1:00 is fine for
25 me.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: Well --

2 MS. UTTAL: Mr. Fernandez will not be
3 here, though.

4 MR. WETTERHAHN: He'd rather have a root
5 canal.

6 MS. UTTAL: Yes, he would.

7 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: Before we do that, we
8 need to make sure that we can get the Court Reporter.
9 The Court Reporter isn't done now. I'm thinking we
10 originally scheduled for you to be available until
11 1:00. I don't know if we have to go through formal --
12 now, there's a message on my phone right now. I
13 wonder who --

14 MS. CURRAN: Judge Young?

15 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: Yes.

16 MS. CURRAN: This is Diane Curran. It's
17 my understanding that the Staff is going to -- if you
18 do give us access to the documents, the Staff is going
19 to appeal it. So I'm just wondering if we could have
20 this conversation on Monday, because they're not going
21 to send the documents to Winston & Strawn anyway. And
22 I'm just concerned about the time for producing the
23 testimony

24 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: Right. What we're
25 trying -- what we're working on we're hoping might

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 address some of the issues. And I'm sorry, I just
2 don't want to go further than that --

3 MS. CURRAN: Yes.

4 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: -- at this point. But
5 just give us a few minutes more. In a moment or two,
6 we should know whether 1:00 will work, and then -- and
7 once we get on the phone at 1:00. We should not take
8 more than just long enough to basically tell you what
9 our ruling is.

10 MS. CURRAN: Okay.

11 MR. FERNANDEZ: Sorry for having a root
12 canal. I apologize.

13 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: They're not really as
14 bad as everyone --

15 (Laughter.)

16 I mean, the drilling part is the part that
17 hurts. The actual root --

18 MR. WETTERHAHN: I don't think you're
19 helping him.

20 (Laughter.)

21 MS. UTTAL: The thing with the drilling
22 is, if you get enough novocaine and other things, then
23 you don't feel it.

24 MR. FERNANDEZ: I'm happy to inform you
25 that my dentist is one of these new-fangled dentists

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 that believes in putting you completely out. So I'm
2 looking forward to it.

3 (Laughter.)

4 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: Take a little nap.

5 MR. FERNANDEZ: Yes.

6 MR. WETTERHAHN: I can only think of the
7 Marathon Man.

8 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: Oh, gosh. Thanks for
9 that thought.

10 (Laughter.)

11 I'm going to give you the pass code right
12 now. It's 4534.

13 MS. CURRAN: Say it again, please.

14 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: 4534.

15 MS. CURRAN: Okay.

16 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: Did everyone get that?

17 MR. WETTERHAHN: And same dial-in number.

18 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: Same dial-in number.

19 MS. CURRAN: Okay.

20 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: So we're off the
21 record for now. We apologize for the delays.

22 (Whereupon, the proceedings in the
23 foregoing matter went off the record at
24 11:35 a.m. and went back on the record at
25 1:10 p.m.)

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: Okay. Let's go on the
2 record.

3 I'm Ann Marshall Young, the Chair of the
4 Board. I have here with me Judge Anthony Baratta and
5 our security expert adviser, Mr. Francis Young.

6 Judge Elleman, you're also present?

7 JUDGE ELLEMAN: I'm present.

8 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: And if we could just
9 go through the Staff and BREDL representatives again.

10 MS. UTTAL: This is Susan Uttal
11 representing the Staff. I have with me Joseph Shea,
12 Sherri Cross, and Albert Garrett.

13 MS. CURRAN: This is Diane Curran
14 representing the Blue Ridge Environmental Defense
15 League.

16 MR. WETTERHAHN: This is Mark Wetterhahn
17 with Winston & Strawn representing Duke.

18 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: All right. We said
19 that we'd give you our ruling, and we're ready to do
20 that. And I've written out part of it, and I'm going
21 to try to read that to you and then address any
22 specifics that we need to.

23 All right. Without going through a
24 summary of what BREDL is requesting, we note that
25 BREDL had stated that its -- that its request is

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 necessary in order to allow it a sufficient
2 opportunity to review exhibits in preparation for
3 hearing, and we note the time period requested, again,
4 through the filing of the reply for proposed findings
5 of fact and conclusions of law.

6 After hearing all the arguments of counsel
7 this morning, we find that BREDL's request is a
8 reasonable one if this proceeding is to be conducted
9 without further delay. We've consistently endeavored
10 in this proceeding to move forward without undue
11 delay, both to further the general desirability of
12 conducting adjudication of proceedings in a timely and
13 efficient manner, and to accommodate as much as
14 possible Duke's planning and schedule with regard to
15 the proposed MOX lead test assemblies.

16 The case cited by the Staff counsel in
17 support of its argument against the request of BREDL
18 provides that it may be desirable to limit locations
19 where sensitive materials may be examined. But the
20 case also recognizes -- and I should say it's the case
21 of Diablo Canyon, 5 NRC 1398, and I'm going to be
22 quoting from page 1406 cited to us by the Staff.

23 "It may be desirable to limit locations
24 where sensitive materials may be examined, but the
25 case also recognizes in so providing that this may

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 result in a lengthened hearing."

2 The Appeals Board in that case also noted
3 that the Licensing Board is in the best position to
4 determine the most appropriate circumstance in which
5 a document may be viewed and left it to the Board to
6 formulate the exact terms and conditions of the order.

7 We make our ruling, then, in this matter
8 in this light, i.e. in view of the goals of protecting
9 the security of very sensitive material as well as
10 minimizing the possibility of any lengthening of the
11 hearing in this matter or any related delays, and
12 recognizing also the very reasonable and legitimate
13 need of counsel to prepare its case adequately in
14 order to participate in the proceeding and evidentiary
15 hearing in a meaningful way.

16 To be in a position of not being able to
17 examine exhibits in preparation for the hearing or
18 proposed findings during the evening hours as needed,
19 and without having to carry voluminous amounts of
20 documents, many of a sensitive nature themselves, back
21 and forth to opposing counsel's office, which seems to
22 be essential if this proceeding is to be conducted in
23 a timely manner without further delay.

24 Part of the concern for protecting the
25 security of sensitive materials that particularly

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 concerns us with regard to BREDL's request is the
2 desirability of avoiding risks associated with
3 repeated transport of sensitive safeguards materials
4 now in BREDL's possession back and forth to opposing
5 counsel's office, which we find increases the
6 likelihood of losing control of sensitive material.

7 Thus, to fulfill these three goals --
8 protecting the security of very sensitive materials,
9 avoiding delay, and permitting the meaningful
10 participation of Intervenor BREDL in this proceeding,
11 we herein order with regard to BREDL's request
12 amendment to the protective order to allow the holding
13 of the exhibits at the law office of BREDL's counsel
14 during the time period requested, with the following
15 proviso. And this is what we've been working on, and
16 we have just had it confirmed that this is a possible
17 -- that it will be possible to do this, and,
18 specifically, to do it on next Tuesday morning.

19 A member of the NRC Staff who is
20 knowledgeable in physical security and handling and
21 storage of safeguards material, and who has been
22 assigned to conduct an independent inspection, finds
23 after such inspection and consultation with BREDL
24 counsel that BREDL counsel can effectively, with
25 measures now in place, along with any additional

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 reasonable measures arrived at in consultation with
2 BREDL counsel, ensure the effective safeguarding of
3 the exhibits in question in her law office.

4 The Board requests the Staff to coordinate
5 this activity and expect that in doing so the Staff
6 will operate in good faith to ensure that -- I'm sorry
7 -- expect that in doing so the Staff will operate in
8 good faith to ensure that appropriate measures are
9 taken to separate the functions of the independent
10 inspector from those of the Staff working on the
11 Catawba proceeding, and that this, along with the
12 provision to the inspectors of the parties' agreed
13 description of the documents in question -- and we
14 would ask you to work together on that -- will be
15 sufficient to assure the appropriateness of the
16 inspection.

17 We expect that BREDL counsel will operate
18 in good faith to accommodate the inspection and work
19 with the inspector regarding any reasonable additional
20 measures recommended to ensure appropriate protection
21 of the exhibits.

22 Finally, we expect that all parties will
23 cooperate in this matter as we have described in order
24 to avoid delay and ensure the furtherance of this
25 proceeding, including the evidentiary hearing and

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 related preparation for it, and the proposed findings
2 of fact and conclusions of law, all in a meaningful
3 manner.

4 We don't know who may -- who in OGC the
5 people in physical security may have spoken with, but
6 Judge Baratta can give you more details on the
7 arrangements that he has been communicating with the
8 person at that division on. And we do understand that
9 OGC has been involved in it in some manner, and we
10 don't know whether that is with you, Ms. Uttal, or
11 not.

12 MS. UTTAL: I'm sorry. Who consulted with
13 who?

14 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: Judge Baratta is going
15 to explain the discussion.

16 JUDGE BARATTA: Yes. As you know, the
17 Office of Facilities and Security actually has
18 personnel within the Security Division who conduct
19 assist visits for contractor personnel -- or
20 contractors to look at their -- whether or not their
21 -- they have adequate physical security procedures to
22 safeguard safeguards information, as well as
23 classified information.

24 So we made a request to Mark Lombard, who
25 is Acting Director of the office while Tom Martin is

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 out, and he then consulted with OGC, as well as his
2 staff, and agreed that it was appropriate for them and
3 that they could, in fact, do an assist visit to Ms.
4 Curran's office with the intent of ensuring that the
5 physical security and procedures that she has are
6 adequate to safeguard material of this sort.

7 And he has agreed to have that done on
8 Tuesday morning, and we're asking that the Staff work
9 with them. We're going to be making a request of all
10 of you with respect to the type of material and such
11 that we -- that you anticipate would be stored there,
12 so that that's clear.

13 We'll also -- we may want to, in fact,
14 have our -- Mr. Young meet with whoever the individual
15 is that will be doing that assist visit to instruct
16 them on what -- you know, what the purpose of that
17 visit is, etcetera, and it has to be as specific as
18 possible by Mr. Lombard.

19 MS. UTTAL: So I understand what happened,
20 the Board went outside -- outside the record and
21 outside the parties to consult with and have
22 consultation with another part of OGC regarding what's
23 going on in this case?

24 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: No. No, let me
25 explain. The first thing the Board did was speak to

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 the Chief Judge and ask -- the first thing that the
2 Board -- Judge Baratta thought of this idea, and the
3 Board consulted with the Chief Judge, which I actually
4 meant to mention earlier, to discuss whether it might
5 be appropriate to see whether we could get an
6 independent inspection.

7 After speaking with the people in physical
8 security, after Judge Baratta spoke with the people in
9 physical security, they on their own apparently
10 contacted someone at OGC about the appropriate process
11 to do this. We were concerned that -- wanted to make
12 sure that any process that we put in place was
13 appropriate in view of consideration such as whether
14 we -- whether we should go through the Commission and
15 thought that if the Staff would cooperate in
16 coordinating this activity that that would not be
17 necessary.

18 And so, obviously, we're asking all of you
19 to cooperate in this. We don't know what your
20 responses are going to be, but with regard to
21 consultation with OGC, do you know who that was with?
22 That was done by -- we don't know who that was with.
23 That was done by --

24 MS. UTTAL: Mr. Lombard?

25 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: Mr. Lombard?

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 JUDGE BARATTA: Yes.

2 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: Mr. Lombard. And
3 so --

4 JUDGE BARATTA: After he consulted with
5 his boss.

6 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: Mr. Martin?

7 JUDGE BARATTA: No, Martin is not here.
8 Okay. I'm sorry. He consulted with his superior. I
9 don't remember the name.

10 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: So basically what
11 we're proposing here is a process that would allow for
12 an inspection. Frankly, we suspect that Mr. Stapleton
13 knew what type of materials were involved when he did
14 his inspection. But to -- to make sure that the
15 concerns of the Staff are met, the idea of having an
16 independent inspection, and that the arrangements to
17 see how that could be done in a timely manner, because
18 what -- what we are concerned with here are the three
19 goals.

20 We're concerned with the protection of
21 sensitive information, and, quite frankly, we're very
22 concerned about the transporting back and forth, which
23 obviously would be necessary without this. We agree
24 with BREDL counsel on that.

25 We're also concerned with delays, as we

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 have repeatedly discussed here. And that's why, for
2 example, we made our earlier need-to-know ruling from
3 the bench this morning, and we're making this ruling
4 now, in order to avoid delay.

5 And the third consideration is the
6 protection of meaningful participation in this
7 proceeding by all parties, including the Intervenor.
8 So balancing all those interests, we feel that this is
9 the most appropriate manner in which to proceed, and
10 we would request Staff to assist in coordinating this,
11 and all parties to assist by coming up with an agreed
12 description of the types of documents that we're
13 talking about -- that up to this point we have found
14 it desirable to provide additional protections of --
15 by requiring inspection in the Winston & Strawn
16 offices.

17 We see that at this point in the
18 proceeding the need to prepare for the hearing, and
19 this sort of intensive period of preparation, and to
20 prepare for proposed findings of fact and conclusions
21 of law afterwards, warrants a different approach
22 consistent with furtherance of all three goals that we
23 have mentioned before.

24 So that's our ruling. Are there -- Judge
25 Baratta or Judge Elleman, do you have anything to add?

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 JUDGE ELLEMAN: No, I have nothing.

2 JUDGE BARATTA: No, I have nothing.

3 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: Do the parties have
4 any questions for us?

5 MS. UTTAL: I just have two things that
6 I'd like to say. And I don't want to belabor the
7 point that we discussed this morning, but it was not
8 the results of the inspection that necessarily drove
9 the decision. It was the nature of the documents that
10 the Staff believes requires more protection than the
11 documents that are now in Ms. Curran's possession.

12 The second thing that I wanted to ask the
13 Board -- the Staff will be requesting -- petitioning
14 for review by the Commission of the decision
15 permitting the documents to be sent -- to be stored at
16 Ms. Curran's office. We had asked for a stay pending
17 the filing of the appeal, which I will do Monday.

18 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: We, frankly, I guess
19 had hoped that providing for this additional measure
20 of an additional NRC inspection would --

21 JUDGE BARATTA: In which we were going to
22 identify the nature of the documents, so there would
23 be no question as to the adequacy of the safeguards in
24 place. That's why we chose to do it that way as
25 opposed to relying on the previous one, which

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 apparently you felt was not adequate because it only
2 covered the documents that they currently have.

3 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: And also, we had hoped
4 that this would -- this would address the concerns, so
5 as to avoid further delay, because obviously as -- as
6 the Appeals Board recognized in Diablo Canyon, when
7 the requirements for heightened inspection in a
8 different location are in place, that might lengthen
9 a hearing. So we're operating out of concern.

10 So in terms of the stay, Tuesday morning
11 is -- is the time that this should be done. Now, if
12 you're asking for a stay of our ruling pending your
13 appeal submission, we don't really have any control
14 over what that will do in terms of the timing of this.
15 And we don't know whether the person that we're
16 talking about would even be available to do the
17 inspection on another day, especially as we get closer
18 to the holidays.

19 So the Staff may want to reconsider your
20 request for stay, and assuming all -- assuming BREDL
21 is in agreement, go ahead and have the inspection, and
22 you can -- you can still pursue your appeal.

23 MS. UTTAL: Well, Judge, the portion of
24 the Board's order that concerns me most is the
25 possibility of turning over documents. As to any

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 arrangements made short of that, such as an
2 inspection, I want to -- I'm not asking for a stay of
3 that, if it can be arranged. What I'm asking for is
4 a stay of having to turn documents over.

5 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: Well, actually, just
6 conferring as you were talking, certainly if the
7 Commission feels that a stay is appropriate, then I
8 think that that would be most appropriate. But if
9 you're taking the appeal to the Commission on Monday,
10 then you should be able to get a response from them
11 prior to --

12 MS. UTTAL: Okay. Then, I'll file a
13 motion for a stay with the Commission.

14 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: And I am going to try
15 to get this order out, as well as the other one, today
16 so that you'll have them. I am not planning to be in
17 the office on Monday or on Tuesday morning. Judge
18 Baratta will be here, and I can be probably reached by
19 telephone, or at least I can get back to people within
20 a reasonable time during that time period. Judge
21 Baratta knows how to reach me.

22 JUDGE BARATTA: Is it our understanding,
23 then, that your request to the Commission, you have no
24 objection to the inspection proceeding, that you will
25 be specific in your request that it will only be to

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 turn over the material that you request a stay on?

2 MS. UTTAL: Can you hold on one second?

3 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: And we realize we
4 haven't heard from BREDL yet. After Ms. Uttal speaks,
5 we'll hear from the other parties as well.

6 MS. UTTAL: Judge, I'm going to -- Judge
7 Baratta, in answer to your question, I'm going to have
8 to consult further with the Staff about that
9 particular point. So I can't really answer the
10 question now.

11 MS. CURRAN: Judge Young?

12 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: Oh. I thought you had
13 said earlier that you did not oppose going ahead with
14 the inspection.

15 MS. UTTAL: Well, I've been -- the Staff
16 is sitting next to me, and we're having some
17 discussion about that. So I'm going to withdraw that,
18 and I have to talk further with them.

19 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: Okay. And, Ms.
20 Curran, go ahead.

21 MS. CURRAN: Well, I was just going to
22 comment that it sounded from the Board's order as
23 though the inspection was something that -- the Board
24 thought that our security measures were adequate, but
25 that to hold out an olive branch to the Staff

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 essentially the Board was willing to go through this
2 additional inspection, or have me go through it.

3 And I think I heard Ms. Uttal say that it
4 doesn't really matter how that inspection comes out.
5 Maybe that's wrong, but that makes me wonder, is this
6 inspection a good use of anybody's time, if that was
7 the point of time.

8 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: You know, if the Staff
9 -- if Staff gets a stay, and certainly you all can
10 agree to hold off on the inspection -- I guess just to
11 clarify, I think that our -- our suggestion of the
12 inspection was to address the issue of the
13 desirability of heightened additional measures as
14 discussed in the Diablo Canyon case, since apparently
15 the Staff is saying that -- that apparently Mr.
16 Stapleton's inspection was not made with that in mind.

17 Now, again, knowing how knowledgeable Mr.
18 Stapleton is, we expect that he actually would have
19 known that. But to address the needs of those
20 heightened protections, as discussed in Diablo Canyon,
21 that's the reason for the inspection by an independent
22 inspector from the Staff who has been given specific
23 information on the types of documents that we're
24 talking about, so that he can take that into
25 consideration.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 And as I said before, we were asking the
2 Staff to coordinate this, so that this could be an
3 appropriate Staff activity that would not necessitate
4 going before the Commission and requiring their time
5 and attention, which would obviously involve not only
6 their time and attention but some degree of delay in
7 going through that request process to seek to
8 accomplish this through that route.

9 JUDGE BARATTA: And I think, Ms. Curran,
10 the other thing I'd like to add is that this group
11 that we're talking about is part of the administrative
12 organization of the agency and is not a part of the
13 Staff. Even though we refer to them as Staff, they
14 are not part of the Staff that participates in the
15 adjudicatory process.

16 MS. CURRAN: Oh, okay.

17 JUDGE BARATTA: It's actually more like
18 the landlord, okay, for lack of a better definition.
19 Okay? So that's why we went that route. And they're
20 the ones, for example, that design the security
21 systems for the buildings and that sort of stuff.

22 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: And also do the --

23 JUDGE BARATTA: And also do the assist
24 visits for the contractors. That's a routine function
25 of theirs, but they have no -- they do not participate

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 in the adjudicatory process in any way, shape, or
2 form.

3 MS. CURRAN: Okay. And what is the name
4 of the office again?

5 JUDGE BARATTA: It's the Office of -- the
6 whole organization is Administration, and then under
7 that is the Office of Facilities and Security.

8 MS. CURRAN: Okay.

9 JUDGE BARATTA: And it's within that
10 Office of Facilities and Security that we spoke with
11 the Chief of the Security Division.

12 MS. UTTAL: Judge Baratta, I just wanted
13 to correct one thing. The admin staff is part of the
14 staff. They do all answer to the EDO. They're just
15 not part of this litigation, and they're not part of
16 NSIR, which is the staff we have been consulting with
17 regarding security. So they're not involved in this
18 case.

19 JUDGE BARATTA: It's the staff, but it's
20 not the staff. Let's just leave it at that.

21 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: It's a different part
22 of the staff.

23 MS. UTTAL: That's true. It's a different
24 part of the staff.

25 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: That's why we were

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 requesting that the staff, in coordinating it, ensure
2 the appropriate separation between the different parts
3 of the staff.

4 Well, I guess the only thing -- Duke, Mr.
5 Wetterhahn, did you have anything you wanted to say
6 about this?

7 MR. WETTERHAHN: I don't think I can give
8 Duke's position until we determine from BREDL exactly
9 what documents it would request. And we would request
10 that it identify those documents expeditiously and
11 refer to them by title and also their discovery
12 document number or Bates number.

13 MS. CURRAN: Sure.

14 MR. WETTERHAHN: So we can have a
15 definitive and specific basis of discussing this.

16 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: And that would
17 include --

18 JUDGE BARATTA: I'm sorry.

19 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: Go ahead.

20 JUDGE BARATTA: Yes. The Board will also
21 need that information, so that we can convey that to
22 whoever does the visit.

23 MR. WETTERHAHN: I will circulate an
24 updated index of all documents which have been made
25 available to BREDL for -- to the Board and all

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 parties, probably by Monday morning.

2 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: Okay. So send that to
3 Judge Baratta's attention.

4 MR. WETTERHAHN: Yes. That's not
5 safeguards. It's going to come by e-mail.

6 JUDGE BARATTA: Thank you.

7 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: Okay. Okay. And
8 then, what I was going to say -- what I was going to
9 say is that obviously this -- the motion applies to
10 the exhibits for the hearing. And so BREDL can tell
11 what their exhibits are, but if there are any
12 additional ones on the part of Duke or the Staff the
13 -- it would apply to those as well. So if you could
14 all indicate what documents you intend to submit as
15 exhibits.

16 MS. CURRAN: Okay.

17 JUDGE ELLEMAN: Judge Young?

18 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: Yes.

19 JUDGE ELLEMAN: This is Judge Elleman.
20 I'm a little confused on the status of the Tuesday
21 morning security review.

22 Ms. Curran, did you say you would prefer
23 not to go through with this if the decision on the
24 stay order is not known by Tuesday?

25 MS. CURRAN: Well, I do want to have

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 access to these documents, and I'm willing to go
2 through it just to get it out of the way, so that if
3 we get a favorable ruling I could get the documents.

4 So I will say yes to that. I was just
5 raising a question to the Board as to whether you
6 wanted to include that -- continue to include that as
7 a requirement of your order, since it didn't yield any
8 compromise by the parties.

9 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: Well, our order
10 basically -- I mean, what we're doing is we're --
11 we're ordering that.

12 MS. CURRAN: Yes.

13 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: And I don't know if I
14 indicated in the order, but I'll try to, that Tuesday
15 morning is the time when this person would be able to
16 do it. And then, depending upon what actions the
17 Staff takes and what response they get, then that
18 would occur or not occur, and you can communicate with
19 the Staff in terms of just scheduling issues.

20 MS. CURRAN: Okay. Well, I will plan to
21 go ahead with it, and I hope the Staff will give me a
22 call and let me know when this person is coming.

23 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: And do we know --

24 JUDGE BARATTA: No, we don't know the
25 exact time, but as soon as we coordinate -- or get it

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 coordinated, we'll let you know.

2 MS. CURRAN: Okay. Thank you.

3 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: And then, I'm just
4 going to -- I'm going to try to get this order and the
5 other order done, which we're all just about run out
6 of our deadline time, but -- I need to call SECY about
7 that. But then, on Monday, just direct -- if you need
8 to talk with anyone, talk with Judge Baratta, and I'll
9 give him how to reach me.

10 I'll take my cell phone with me
11 everywhere, so I'll just -- you can call me on my cell
12 phone if you need to reach me. And if I'm unavailable
13 or out of range or something, I should be able to get
14 back to anyone, you know, within a reasonable time.
15 And if I need to come in, I'll come in.

16 In any event, any other things that we
17 need to take care of? Can anyone --

18 MS. CURRAN: Not from BREDL.

19 MS. UTTAL: Not from the Staff.

20 JUDGE BARATTA: And we will be asking Mr.
21 Young -- I want to emphasize this -- to meet with
22 whoever is going to do the inspection on Tuesday, so
23 that it's clear, you know, what the purpose of such
24 is.

25 That's all I have.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 CHAIRPERSON YOUNG: Okay. Thank you, all.
2 (Whereupon, at 1:40 p.m., the proceedings
3 in the foregoing matter were adjourned.)
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the attached
proceedings before the United States Nuclear
Regulatory Commission in the matter of:

Name of Proceeding: Duke Energy Corporation

Docket Number: 50-413/414-OLA;

ASLBP No. 03-815-03-OLA

Location: Teleconference

were held as herein appears, and that this is the
original transcript thereof for the file of the
United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission taken by
me and, thereafter reduced to typewriting by me or
under the direction of the court reporting company,
and that the transcript is a true and accurate
record of the foregoing proceedings.



Eric Mollen
Official Reporter
Neal R. Gross & Co., Inc.