

November 15, 2004

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of)	
)	
DOMINION NUCLEAR NORTH ANNA, LLC)	Docket No. 52-008-ESP
)	
(Early Site Permit for North Anna ESP Site))	ASLBP No. 04-822-02-ESP

NRC STAFF ANSWER OPPOSING INTERVENORS' MOTION TO SUSPEND PROCEEDING
PENDING REINSTATEMENT OF AGENCYWIDE DOCUMENTS ACCESS AND
MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (ADAMS)

INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to 10 C.F.R. § 2.323(c), the staff of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission ("Staff") herein answers the motion of intervenors Blue Ridge Environmental Defense League, Nuclear Information and Resource Service, and Public Citizen (collectively, "Intervenors") to suspend this proceeding in view of NRC's temporary suspension of access to the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System ("ADAMS").¹ For the reasons set forth below, the Staff submits that the Motion should be denied.

BACKGROUND

On October 25, 2004, the NRC initiated an additional security review of publicly available documents to ensure that potentially sensitive information is removed from public access on the agency Web site.² As a result, during this review, ADAMS is, and will continue to be, temporarily unavailable to the public. The review, when complete, is intended to ensure that documents that might be useful to terrorists will be inaccessible, while maintaining public access to information regarding NRC activities. The agency has not announced when public access to ADAMS can be

¹ See "Intervenors' Motion to Suspend Proceeding Pending Reinstatement of Agencywide Document [sic] Access and Management System" dated November 3, 2004 ("Motion").

² See Letter from Brooke D. Poole to Administrative Judges, dated October 25, 2004.

restored.³ However, documents pertaining to the North Anna early site permit application that were publicly available prior to October 25, 2004, are currently available by contacting the NRC public document room.

The Intervenor filed the instant Motion on November 3, 2004.

DISCUSSION

In their Motion, the Intervenor fundamentally argue that to proceed with this early site permit ("ESP") proceeding while the Intervenor are unable to access the ADAMS system would deprive them of a meaningful opportunity for a hearing.⁴ Motion at 3. In particular, they state that they have no access to either generic NRC documents regarding advanced reactor siting and design issues, or to documents related to other ESP applications, both of which could pertain to admitted contentions or provide a basis for late-filed contentions. *Id.* Intervenor argue that if they are unable to present their case in an effective manner in this ESP proceeding they "are likely to be foreclosed" from raising those issues later in a construction permit proceeding. *Id.* at 4. For

³ On November 4, 2004, the NRC issued a press release in which it stated that public access to certain documents pertaining to the Licensing Support Network (in connection with a possible application for a high-level waste repository) had been restored. *See* Press Release, "NRC Restores Various Documents Removed from Web Site for Security Review," dated November 4, 2004. In that press release, the NRC also stated that the agency expects to restore the remaining documents, in the following order, over the next few weeks: (1) additional hearing-related documents (*i.e.*, non-high-level waste); (2) time-sensitive documents related to opportunities for hearing or needed for public review and comment, including license amendment applications; and (3) other nuclear reactor documents, and other documents not related to specific facilities, in ADAMS. As stated in our October 25, 2004, letter to the Administrative Judges, the Staff will update the Licensing Board and the parties to this proceeding as this effort progresses.

⁴ Intervenor also note that the unavailability of ADAMS would prejudice members of the public who seek to become informed about the ESP proceeding, and who may seek to use licensing information to comment on NRC safety or environmental documents. Motion at 4. The Intervenor do not have standing to represent the general public's interest in this proceeding. *See Chemetron Corp.* (Bert Avenue, Harvard Avenue, and McGean-Rohco Sites, Newburgh Heights and Cuyahoga Heights, Ohio), LBP-94-20, 40 NRC 17, 19 (1994) ("[N]othing in the Commission's regulations authorizes requestors to undertake to represent the general public as if they were private attorneys general"); *see also Babcock & Wilcox Co.* (Pennsylvania Nuclear Services Operations, Parks Township, Pennsylvania), LBP-94-4, 39 NRC 47, 50 (1994); *Long Island Lighting Co.* (Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1), LBP-77-11, 5 NRC 481, 484 (1977).

these reasons, Intervenor request that the Licensing Board suspend this proceeding until 30 days after the ADAMS system is restored in its entirety. *Id.* at 5. As discussed below, this request is unnecessary, and should be denied.

The provisions of 10 C.F.R. § 2.309(c) and (f)(2) with respect to the submission of late-filed contentions take into account the unavailability of information upon which a new or amended contention is based. Provided that Intervenor are able to make the requisite showing under those provisions, they will have an opportunity to pursue issues based on previously unavailable information. A stay of the proceeding is, however, not warranted. As stated above, information that was previously available via ADAMS regarding the North Anna ESP application can be obtained from the public document room pending restoration of access to the ADAMS system. If new information comes to Intervenor's attention after the re-opening of ADAMS, § 2.309 provides sufficient procedural safeguards to prevent prejudice to their interests.⁵ Furthermore, there are no impending procedural deadlines in this proceeding that need to be extended in order to allow full participation by all parties.

For the information of the Licensing Board and the parties, the next scheduled Staff action in this matter is issuance of the Staff's draft environmental impact statement ("DEIS") prepared in connection with the North Anna ESP application. The Staff intends to provide hard copies of the

⁵ Intervenor did not, in their Motion, identify a need for any particular document that they have been unable to obtain; rather, the Motion makes only a sweeping, general complaint.

DEIS to all parties in this proceeding and, in addition, make the DEIS electronically available via the NRC Web site.⁶ In this way, the parties to this proceeding – as well as interested members of the public – will be able to review and comment upon the DEIS.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, the Motion should be denied.

Respectfully submitted,

Robert M. Weisman

Robert M. Weisman
Brooke D. Poole
Counsel for NRC Staff

Dated at Rockville, Maryland
this 15th day of November, 2004

⁶ To be clear, although the Staff will place the DEIS in ADAMS, it also intends to make the DEIS available via a stand-alone link on the NRC Web site, such that ADAMS need not be available to access the document. Revision 3 of the applicant's environmental report continues to be available via a stand-alone link on the NRC Web site. See <<http://www.nrc.gov/reactors/new-licensing/esp/north-anna.html>>, accessed November 9, 2004.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of)	
)	
DOMINION NUCLEAR NORTH ANNA, LLC)	Docket No. 52-008-ESP
)	
(Early Site Permit for North Anna ESP Site))	ASLBP No. 04-822-02-ESP

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of the "NRC STAFF ANSWER OPPOSING INTERVENORS' MOTION TO SUSPEND PROCEEDING PENDING REINSTATEMENT OF AGENCYWIDE DOCUMENTS ACCESS AND MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (ADAMS)" in the captioned proceeding have been served on the following through deposit in the NRC's internal mail system, with copies by electronic mail, as indicated by an asterisk, or by deposit in the U.S. Postal Service, as indicated by double asterisk, with copies by electronic mail this 15th day of November, 2004:

Alex S. Karlin, Chairman*
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel
Mail Stop: T-3F23
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001
(E-mail: ASK2@nrc.gov)

Office of Commission Appellate
Adjudication
Mail Stop 0-16C1
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Thomas S. Elleman**
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel
5207 Creedmoor Road, # 101
Raleigh, NC 27612
(E-mail: TSE@nrc.gov)

Office of the Secretary*
ATTN: Docketing and Service
Mail Stop: 0-16C1
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001
(E-mail: HEARINGDOCKET@nrc.gov)

Richard F. Cole*
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel
Mail Stop: T-3F23
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001
(E-mail: RFC1@nrc.gov)

Diane Curran, Esq.**
Harmon, Curran, Spielberg
& Eisenberg LLP
1726 M Street NW, Suite 600
Washington, DC 20036
(E-mail: dcurran@harmoncurran.com)

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel*
Mail Stop: T-3F23
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

David R. Lewis, Esq.**
Robert B. Haemer, Esq.**
Shaw Pittman, LLP
2300 N Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20037
(E-mail: david.lewis@shawpittman.com
robert.haemer@shawpittman.com)

Lillian M. Cuoco, Esq.**
Dominion Resources Services, Inc.
Rope Ferry Road
Waterford, CT 06385
(E-mail: Lillian_Cuoco@dom.com)

Paul Gunter**
Director, Reactor Watchdog Project
Nuclear Information and Resource Service
1424 16th Street, NW #404
Washington, DC 20036
(E-mail: nirsnet@nirs.org)

Michele Boyd**
Legislative Representative, Public Citizen
215 Pennsylvania Avenue, SE
Washington, DC 20003
(E-mail: mboyd@citizen.org)

Richard A. Parrish, Esq.**
Southern Environmental Law Center
201 West Main Street
Charlottesville, VA 22902
(E-mail: rparrish@selcva.org)

Robert M. Weisman
Robert M. Weisman
Counsel for NRC Staff