

NRC FORM 218 (4-76) NRCM 0240		U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	
TELEPHONE OR VERBAL CONVERSATION RECORD		DATE <u>7/1/85</u>	
		TIME <u>4:30</u> <input type="checkbox"/> A.M. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> P.M.	
<input type="checkbox"/> INCOMING CALL <input type="checkbox"/> OUTGOING CALL		Distribution: WM sf WMGT rf NMSS rf RBrowning MBell MKnapp PJustus KWestbrook & rf PDR LPDR (B)	
PERSON CALLING	OFFICE/ADDRESS		
S. Price	RHO BWIP		
PERSON CALLED	OFFICE/ADDRESS		
K. Westbrook	NRC HQ		
CONVERSATION			
SUBJECT			
Geoscience Activities and Plans FY 85-86			
SUMMARY The BWIP Geology Communicator, S. Price (as named in Appendix-1 BWIP of the September 18, 1984 DOE/NRC Site Specific Procedural Agreement) placed a conference call to discuss the details of a few open items on the above subject. Participating in the call were: S. Price, RHO; B. Hurley, DOE; A. Tallman, RHO; and A. Lasila, DOE. All of the questions I had were given in advance to S. Price in a phone conversation on 6/25/85 and primarily involved very simple questions such as who the Rockwell subcontractor is for about 6 work items and the status and planned release of results for about 10 work items.			
One of the open items I had asked S. Price about on 6/25/85 and had asked about at the 5/30/85 meeting in Richland was a meeting between Dr. R. Couch of Oregon State U. and DOE/RHO in late April on Tectonic Modeling of the Columbia Plateau. I was told that this topic would not be discussed because it involves unpublished work that is not currently funded by DOE/RHO.			
I made the following comments on work plans: 1. For the seismic reflection(1984) data further analyses are needed to relate the released data compilations to tectonic and natural resource evaluations and the implications for siting a nuclear waste repository. Also, impacts on earthquake locations needs evaluation and consultation with NRC seismologists. 2. The two magnetotelluric lines across RAW at Rattlesnake Mtn. are unlikely to have any significance to determining if RAW is segmented. 3. The updated top of basalt contour map is of interest to NRC hydrologists north of Emerson Nipple, between holes DH-5 and DB-12 as a possible recharge or discharge area.			
REFERRED TO: J. Linehan		<input type="checkbox"/> ADVISE ME OF ACTION TAKEN.	
ACTION REQUESTED			
Review this telephone record and compare with page 2 of O.L. Olson's Aug. 16, 1983 letter to R. Wright. Also, note that at the 5/30/85 Richland Meeting A. Tallman agreed to speak from her notes about Dr. R. Couch's model, but we ran out of time. I have information that R. Couch has presented his ideas at American Geophysical Union Meetings.		INITIALS <u>[Signature]</u>	
		DATE <u>7/2/85</u>	
WM Record File <u>101.2</u> WM Project <u>10</u> Docket No. _____ PDR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> LPDR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		INITIALS _____	
		DATE _____	

NRC FORM 218 (4-76)

Distribution: _____

(Return to WM, 623-SS)

 8508010389 850701
 PDR WASTE
 WM-10 PDR

14/16