

United States Government

Department of Energy

memorandum

WASTE MANAGEMENT CONTROL CENTER

DATE: DEC 9 1985

REPLY TO
ATTN OF: RW-24

'85 DEC 12 P3:28

WM Record File

106

WM Project: 16

Docet No.

PDR ✓

LPDR ✓

SUBJECT:

Evaluation of Responses to Audit 85-S-1

Distribution:

Kennedy

DeMigall

Ligehan

Johnson

(Return to WM, 623-SS)

TO:

Jeff Neff, SRPO

We have reviewed the responses contained in your memo of September 16, 1985 to Audit 85-S-1. Your responses to the audit findings and observations need some clarification as discussed below.

Your responses to audit findings number 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9 and observations number 1 and 2 all make reference to a revised SRPO QA Plan and/or SRPO Quality Assurance Administrative Procedures which are currently under review by SRPO or under preparation. Please advise this office of the date(s) by which these documents will be available for DOE-HQ review and approval. Your commitment to make the changes indicated in your responses to meeting these commitments is satisfactory, provided a reasonable date for meeting these commitments is specified. These findings and observations will remain open until we have confirmed that the indicated changes to these documents have in fact been made.

Your response to audit finding number 1 needs clarification. Please: (1) confirm that there will be a SRPO design control program, (2) specify where the requirements for the design control program will be documented, (3) specify the date by which the design control document will be furnished to DOE-HQ for review, and (4) provide a commitment that the design control document will specify performance requirements for repository systems components to support identification of which items are important to waste isolation and the establishment of a graded QA approach. The NRC QA review plan, Appendix A, Section 3.2 contains these requirements and audit finding number 1 noted that SRPO was not in compliance.

Your response to audit finding number 2 made commitments relative to Design Review Boards, approval of quality assurance specifications, and audit of the design process. However, it failed to clearly make the commitment that the QA organization would review design drawings, specifications, and criteria as required by Paragraph 3.b of Appendix A of the NRC QA Plan. Please modify your response to include such a commitment and indicate how the QA organization's review of design drawings, specifications, and criteria will be documented.

8401130032 851209
PDR WASTE
WM-16 PDR

1232

- 2 -

Please submit a revised response to audit findings number 1 and 2, and a date for submittal of your revised QA Plan and QAPP's, to HQ within 30 days of your receipt of this memo. Please contact Carl Newton of my staff if you have any questions about evaluation.



William Purcell
Associate Director
Office of Geologic Repositories

cc: C. Head, RW-24
M. E. Langston, RW-43
Ed Sulek, Weston
H. Steinberg, RW-33
Jim Kennedy, NRC