

Sac

WM Record le WM Project 16  
106 Docket No. \_\_\_\_\_  
PDR ✓  
LPDR ✓(5)

SEP 04 1985

~~JT/85/08/28~~

- 1 -

Distribution: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
(Return to WM, 623-SS) \_\_\_\_\_

MEMORANDUM FOR: Malcolm R. Knapp, Chief  
Geotechnical Branch  
Division of Waste Management

**DISTRIBUTION:**  
WM s/f PJustus  
NMSS r/f MKnapp  
WMGT r/f JOBunting  
JTrapp & r/f MJBell  
RLee & r/f REBrowning  
FRoss & r/f PDR & LPDR  
MFliegel

FROM: John Trapp, Richard Lee, and Fred Ross  
Geotechnical Branch  
Division of Waste Management

SUBJECT: TRIP REPORT - PERMIAN BASIN CORE EXAMINATION MEETING

On August 5 - 9, 1985 members of the NRC staff and their contractors met with DOE at the Texas Bureau of Economic Geology Offices in Austin, Texas to examine the core obtained from DOE drilled wells in the Palo Duro Basin.

While the primary purpose of this meeting was to obtain familiarization with the stratigraphy and lithofacies of the Permian evaporite sequences, additional discussions were conducted on other stratigraphic units as well as in the structural framework of the Palo Duro Basin, dissolution phenomena groundwater flow, geochemistry, geomechanical properties and the status of ongoing investigations.

Enclosed with this report is a copy of the signed meeting minutes, the agenda and list of participants. Handouts for this meeting were quite extensive so they have not been included, however copies are available for review from the DCC and in R. Johnson and J. Trapp's office.

151  
John Trapp, WMGT

151  
Richard Lee, WMGT

151  
Fred Ross, WMGT

Enclosure:  
As stated

8509300208 850904  
PDR WASTE  
WM-16 PDR

OFFC	:WMGT	kd	:WMGT	:WMGT	:JL	:	:	:	:
NAME	:JTrapp	:RLee	:FRoss	:	:	:	:	:	:
DATE	:85/08/28	:85/08/30	:85/08/29	:	:	:	:	:	:

1159

NRC/DOE PERMIAN BASIN CORE  
EXAMINATION SUMMARY

Date/Location

August 5-9, 1985  
Texas Bureau of Economic Geology Offices  
Austin, Texas

Attendees/Organizational Affiliation

A list of attendees and their organizational affiliations is attached as Enclosure 1.

Background/Facts

The primary purpose of this data review was to obtain a familiarization with the Palo Duro Basin stratigraphy and lithofacies through core examination and presentations of interpretations of core, logs, and cross sections. Also discussed were structural framework core observations and interpretations relating to dissolution, groundwater flow and engineering properties. An overview was given of ongoing work being conducted by Stone & Webster and the Texas Bureau of Economic Geology which aided in discussions of future data reviews and technical meetings. The agenda (Enclosure 2) gives a more detailed listing of the topics discussed and the activities during the course of this data review. Enclosure 3 consists of all of the handouts and copies of viewgraphs presented; each package is identified by the person making the presentation and date shown on the agenda. A representative of the Governor's Office of the State of Texas (see Enclosure 1) was present during the first day and did not make any observations for this summary.

Observations

The NRC general observations are given below. More detailed observations on geology, hydrogeology, rock mechanics, and quality assurance are included in the review sheets completed by NRC during the course of the data review and will be transmitted to SRP in a follow-up package. No specific geochemistry observations were made.

1. Interactions such as this core examination serve as one kind of excellent mechanism to transfer current information (data interpretations, methods, etc.) on SRP programs to NRC in a timely fashion. Such information transfer and exchange of ideas is greatly enhanced by including all the key investigators involved with the work as was done for this data review. The ability of NRC to comment in a timely manner to SRP on the various plans being developed is dependent on keeping current with the work in certain key technical areas. NRC noted that keeping up with current work using published reports in some cases is difficult and large time lags have and do exist for release of subcontractor reports (with their QA review completed) due to the additional technical review process.

2. Detailed lithologic logging of the DOE core by TBEG appears to be of extremely high quality.
3. Correlation of major units between DOE wells appears to be well established and documented by TBEG.
4. Correlation of minor units between DOE wells by TBEG appears reasonable. Continued efforts to strengthen the correlations by using geophysical logs from intermediate petroleum exploration wells is encouraged.
5. The core appears to be well treated within the TBEG facilities. Storage appears to be well organized and preservation techniques appear to be adequate.
6. The characteristics of the San Andres salts are such that considerable variation in their properties on the size scale of a repository is expected. Vertical and lateral lithologic variations probably will be present.
7. The preliminary investigation of the Dockum Group appears to be well thought out and focused. Information developed by this study should be integrated with hydrologic and structural geologic studies by others.
8. The structural framework of the basin is well known with respect to major structures. Minor structures are not as well known.
9. Significant work still remains to be done to understand dissolution phenomena. Problems still remain on understanding the relationship of interior to peripheral dissolution, timing of dissolution episodes, relationship to structural features, dissolution rates, and effects on waste isolation.
10. SWEC and TBEG are preparing several types of lithologic and geotechnical logs based on different classification schemes. A method of relating all classification schemes to each other should be developed.
11. Basin-wide correlations of individual stratigraphic units, based on the cyclicity interpreted from the core, provides a powerful means of interpreting the stratigraphic details between widespread drill holes. Further resolution of the extent and importance of sabkha-like versus marine influences would enhance the ability to predict the likely magnitude of local anomalies.
12. The DOE has not published information on the Quaternary Blackwater Draw formation, an eolian-lacustrine deposit. The extent and characteristics of this formation are important to the resolution of issues such as Quaternary dissolution and warping and ages of latest movement on faults. Information on this unit is also needed for foundation engineering.
13. The existing seismic network, as described in this meeting, does not appear to be properly deployed to accurately locate events within potentially seismogenic areas such as the Oldham Nose, Matador Arch, Amarillo Uplift and eastern New Mexico.

14. The nature and extent of fracturing that may have been induced by interior dissolution needs to be determined and its influence on hydrologic properties of strata above the base of dissolution assessed.
15. The geophysical logs appear to be sufficient to aid in stratigraphic correlations and geotechnical studies. The influence of halite cement on the values of geotechnical parameters so obtained is not yet fully understood.
16. At present, there is no document that synthesizes and integrates the stratigraphic, structural and hydrogeologic research by all DOE contractors.
17. With respect to quality assurance, SRP should improve their overview of TBEG work in the areas of surveillance, records management, TBEG QA organization, and supplier control. It is believed that these concerns would be surfaced and corrected in a timely manner if the SRP implemented a planned, disciplined program of surveillance and monitoring of work activities as well as the audit which is conducted annually.
18. NRC Rock Mechanics/Design staff and contractors observed core custody, core storage, and protection procedures pertinent to several borings within the Palo Duro Basin. In addition discussions with representatives of SWEC and RE/SPEC addressed such topics as core protection, rationale for selection of samples for testing, representativeness of samples, sample transportation, type of tests and documentation of core selection, handling procedures, test procedures and results. Observations relative to these activities will be part of the follow-up material to be provided by NRC.
19. NRC, SRP, ONWI, and TBEG discussed ideas for future interactions in the areas of geology, hydrogeology, geochemistry, and rock mechanics. NRC and SRP discussed the advantages and disadvantages to having large meetings covering many topics/issues versus meetings more focused on issues and the information pertinent to understanding the issue. NRC in general favors the more focused approach to interactions. The following summarizes NRC's current ideas on interactions for fiscal year 1986:.

Geology:

1. The only presently scheduled interaction between SRP and NRC is the surface based test plan. The NRC needs to discuss with the SRP contact the general philosophy which will be used in preparation of this plan prior to NRC and SRP setting a firm date for interaction.
2. Specific topical meetings are needed in the fields of structural geology, near surface stratigraphic units and dissolution.
3. The specific topical meetings while focused must be broad enough so that all disciplines which have input are represented.

#### Hydrogeology:

The following are topics to be included in one or more interactions.

1. Hydrogeologic conceptual model(s) - integration of current interpretations of all aspects of the existing data base including structure, stratigraphy, hydrochemistry, isotopes, and hydrogeologic properties.
2. Explanation and examination of hydrochemical and isotopic data.
3. Core data - how will core data be used to develop hydrogeologic properties?
4. Potentiometric head data
  - a) error estimation
  - b) fluid density variations with respect to head
5. Hydrogeologic properties of evaporite section including unit 4 dolomite.

#### Geochemistry:

The most immediate need is to read a draft of the geochemistry program plan when it becomes available. This will provide a better understanding of the geochemistry program which will allow NRC and SRP to more intelligently plan technical meetings as soon as possible.

#### Rock Mechanics:


Between now and January 1986, three interactions have already been agreed to by NRC and SRP. These are for exploratory shaft construction and sealing, repository design, and in situ testing. No additional interactions are needed during this time period.

20. NRC stressed the importance of having staff members, while assigned to the NRC on-site representative, read and understand program plans and detailed hierarchies (milestones charts) being developed by SRP/ONWI. This background should be very useful in mutually planning out an effective and timely series of interactions.
21. The NRC is of the opinion that this data review was extremely useful to their understanding of the present basis of stratigraphic studies in the Palo Duro Basin and has provided an excellent springboard from which other more focused topical workshops can be developed. The open discussion by all parties, especially in the core examination area, was extremely helpful. The NRC wishes to thank all personnel involved, and especially TBEG for hosting this review.

Agreements and Open Items

1. NRC and SRP agreed to further discuss ways (in addition to those currently in place) for enhancing the transfer of new interpretative information. A possibility suggested by the NRC is to open-file draft reports produced by contractors and subcontractors.
2. NRC will continue discussions with SRP on the topics, schedules and most effective approach to future interactions.
3. NRC will send SRP follow-up material within one month. This material will consist of the specific observations and any concerns developed during the meeting.

 8/9/85  
Robert L. Johnson, NRC/WMRP

 8/9/85  
Jo-Ann Sherwin, DOE/SRP

 8/9/85  
John Trapp, NRC/WMG1

Participants

NRC Core Workshop  
August 5-8, 1985  
Austin, Texas

Sam Panno  
Jo-Ann Sherwin

DOE HQ/Weston  
DOE-SRPC

Steve Frishman

Office of the Governor, Texas

Don McReynolds

High Plains Water District

806/762-0181

Dick Berry  
David W. Carpenter  
Robert Cummings  
Jaak Daemen  
Claudia Hackbarth  
Dale Hedges  
John Imse  
Gary K. Jacobs  
Robert Johnson  
Walt Kelly  
Richard Lee  
Larry McKague  
Jerome Pearring  
Fred Ross  
Jack Sharp  
John S. Trapp  
Tilak (Teek) Verma  
Roy E. Williams  
Gerry Winter  
Ernst G. Zurflueh

NRC/Lawrence Livermore  
NRC/Lawrence Livermore  
NRC/Engineers International  
NRC/University of Arizona  
NRC  
NRC  
NRC/Weston Geophysical Corp.  
NRC/ORNL  
NRC/WMP  
NRC/NMSS  
NRC  
NRC/Lawrence Livermore  
NRC/WMEG  
NRC/Williams & Associates  
NRC/Williams & Assoc./Univ. Texas  
NRC/WMG  
NRC/Columbus  
NRC/Williams & Associates  
NRC/Williams & Associates  
NRC

415/422-3976  
602/884-8818  
602/621-2501  
301/427-4639  
  
617/366-9191  
615/576-0567  
301/327-4785  
301/427-4571  
  
415/422-6494  
301/427-4648  
  
301/428-4545  
208/883-0153  
617/427-4343

Walter E. Newcomb  
Owen E. Swanson

ONWI  
ONWI

614/424-7685

Francis D. Hansen  
Paul Senseny

RE/SPEC  
RE/SPEC

605/394-6400  
605/394-6400

Tom Lamb  
John Peck  
Philip J. Murphy  
Ev Washer

SWEC  
SWEC  
SWEC  
SWEC

617/589-2173

Participants-Page 2

Ed Bingler	TBEG
Roy T. Budnik	TBEG
Dow Davidson	TBEG
Alan Dutton	TBEG
Steve Fisher	TBEG
Mike Fracasso	TBEG
Thomas C. Gustavson	TBEG
Susan Hovorka	TBEG
David A. Johns	TBEG
Charles Kreidler	TBEG
H. S. Nance	TBEG
Steve Ruppel	TBEG
Jerry Wermund	TBEG

Margaret Hart

Texas Dept. of Water Resources

512/463-7797

AGENDA  
PERMIAN BASIN CORE EXAMINATION

August 5

1:00 p.m.	Introductions	J. Sherwin (SRPO) E. Bingler (TBEG)
1:30 p.m.	Opening remarks and expectations of meeting.	J. Sherwin (SRPO) J. Trapp (NRC) R. Johnson (NRC)
2:00 p.m.	Overview of the Palo Duro Basin, current understandings of structural and sedimentological history.	TBD (SWEC) J. Peck (SWEC) P. Murphy (SWEC) Steve Ruppel TBEG TBD (SWEC)
3:00 p.m.	Origin of the Permian evaporites, with emphasis on LSA 4.	
4:00 p.m.	Core storage/handling/cut Palo Duro Basin stratigraphic section, locations of DOE test holes, regional correlations of major units, major hydrostratigraphic divisions.	Joe Davidson (TBEG) S. Hovorka (TBEG)

August 6

8:15 a.m.	Reconvene	
8:30 a.m.	Geologic logging of DOE drill holes, detailed logs of repository horizon (Lower San Andres Unit 4), geologic cross-sections, correlation of formations and units, description of rocks present in the core, (incl. mineralogic, petrologic, geochemical characteristics), with emphasis on evaporite section and host salt beds.	S. Hovorka (TBEG)
9:30 a.m.	Description of features noted in core from "dissolution wells," regional implications	C. Kreidler (TBEG)
10:00 a.m.	Presentation on available material related to DOE drill hole logs: lithologic logs; geophysical logs; applicable reports and data; correlations of geophysical logs with core; applications of geophysical logging to stratigraphic analysis.	<del>S. Adams (ONWI)</del> T. Lamb (SWEC)
11:00 a.m.	Development of geotechnical logs based on mechanical properties, geophysical logging and visual core logging-correlated with test results performed on drill core; index of laboratory testing for mechanical properties of rock mass; in-situ stress measurements.	T. Lamb (SWEC) <del>TBD (RE/SPEC)</del> P. Senseney
12:00 - 1:00 p.m.	LUNCH	

PERMIAN BASIN CORE EXAMINATION  
PLANNED AGENDA  
(Continued)

August 6 (Continued)

1:15 p.m. Reconvene at Balcones Research Center  
and proceed to core repository.  
Core examination:  
Grabbe #1  
J. Friemel #1  
Zeeck #1 - LSA Unit 4  
One dissolution well - TBD

\* There is not enough table space for all listed core sections to be laid out simultaneously; over two days all core will be available.

### Concurrent Quality Assurance discussions

August 7

8:15 a.m. Reconvene at Balcones Research Center  
Proceed to core repository.

8:30 a.m. Core examination continues.

Tour of TBEG research and core storage/handling facilities

12:00 - 1:00 p.m. LUNCH

1:15 p.m. Review of status of Palo Duro Studies;  
published references; on-going work;  
data availability.

TBEG (Gustavson, Kreidler)  
SWEC (Washer, Murphy, Lamb)  
ONWI  
SRPO (Sherwin)

August 8

8:15 a.m. Propose topics/agenda for Permian Basin data review.

10:15 a.m. Prepare summary of meeting.

J. Sherwin (SRPO)  
J. Trapp (NRC)

LIST OF SRP EXPECTED PARTICIPANTS

DOE

J. Sherwin

ONWI

W. Newcomb

C. Kuntz

H. Hume

A. Funk

S. Adams

SWEC

E. Washer

J. Peck

P. Murphy

T. Lamb

TBEG

E. Bingler

S. Hovorka

D. Davidson

C. Kreidler

S. Ruppel

S. Nance

D. Johns

S. Fisher

R. Budnik

T. Gustavson

A. Dutton

M. Farcasso

NRC

W. Kelly

G. Jacobs

F. Ross

R. Williams

G. Winters

J. Parrington

J. Damon

R. Cummings

S. Bilhorn

D. Hedges

E. Zurflueh

C. Hackbarth

J. Trapp

R. Lee

R. Berry

L. McKeague

D. Carpenter

J. Imse

R. Johnson

T. Verma