

Prairie Island 2003 Initial license Examination Outline Review Comments

No.	Source	Comment	Resolution
1.	ES-401-2	Tier 1/Group 1 W/E11 Loss of Emergency Coolant Recirc - KA EK1.2 cannot be tied to 10CFR55.43 is there an SRO learning objective tied to this KA? ES-401 D.1.c	Repicked KA and selected EA2.1
2.	ES-401-2	Tier 1/Group 2 000003 Dropped Control Rod - KA AK1.03 is not tied to 10CFR55.43 is there an SRO learning objective tied to this KA? ES-401 D.1.c	Repicked KA and selected AA2.03
3.	ES-401-2	Tier 2/Group 1 012 Reactor Protection - K.302 is not tied to 10CFR55.43 is there an SRO learning objective tied to this KA? ES-401 D.1.c	Repicked KA and selected G 2.2.25
4.	ES-401-2	Tier 2/Group 1 064 Emergency Diesel Generator - K6.07 is not tied to 10CFR55.43 is there an SRO learning objective tied to this KA? ES-401 D.1.c	Repicked KA and selected G 2.2.22
5.	ES-301-1	SRO Admin Topic Conduct of Operations - JPM Review A Temporary Procedure Change. K/A's associated with reviewing procedure changes fall under the area of equipment control not conduct of operations - NUREG 1122, Rev 2. Page 2-5 KA 2.2.6	Reselected JPM Obtain and verify a controlled procedure copy - New JPM KA G 2.1.21
6.	ED-D-1 Scenario A	What's the purpose of Event 6? It's not taken credit for on ES-301-5. If the steam line rupture is inboard of the MSIV's then not being able to shut them will not change the mitigation strategy.	Event 6 forces the crew into a response not obtained and results in changing to procedure ECA 2.1
7.	ES-D-1 Scenario C/ES-301-5	Do not list the ATWS as a Major event if you are going to take credit for it as a component failure. ES-301 D.5.d	Will make editorial change.

Prairie Island 2003 Initial license Examination Outline Review Comments

8.	2003 Audit Exam Scenario Summary	Why is DI-46158C, MSIV fails, repeated in the audit exam and on Scenarios A and B?	There are different actions required from the crew between Scenarios A&B in the Exam.