

**From:** Peter Tam  
**To:** David Distel; David Helker; Denise J Wolniak; John J Dosa; pareez.golub@exeloncorp.com; Steven Leonard  
**Date:** 9/30/03 10:26AM  
**Subject:** Review of TS Authority Files (NMP: TACs MC0890, MC0891) (Oyster: TAC MC0892)

Steve Leonard (NMP)  
David Helker (Oyster Creek)

By an NRC internal memo dated 9/17/03, DLPM Director Tad Marsh instructed project managers to perform a comparison of the NRC's license authority copy of the TS with the licensee's official copy. This effort was prompted by a recent discovery, thru issuance of a renewed license, that discrepancies existed between the NRC and a licensee's copy of the TS.

The following is an excerpt from Marsh's internal memo (in quotation marks) of what I have to do:

"During your next site visit, compare the NRC's authority files with the licensees' record copies of the licenses and TS. Alternatively, if your licensee is willing to send you a copy of its records to review, either via CD or other means, you may conduct this review in your office. The licensees' records should be those records used to create the controlled copies of the license and TS. You will need to coordinate your review with your licensee contact to determine what corrections need to be made. This review should be a page check of sufficient detail to ensure that each page of the license and TS are of the same amendment number, capture the same correction letter, and that the text on the page is the same. Record needed changes using Attachment 4. For amendments that were recently issued, the licensee may not have implemented the changes, therefore, there may be some differences due to this condition. It is not necessary to correct differences resulting from deferred implementation."

"Items that need to be corrected include:

- a. Differences in the text between the licensee's records and the NRC's authority file.
- b. Improperly deleted pages (either pages that should have been removed, or crossed out, that were not, or pages that were deleted that should not have been).
- c. Different amendment numbers (usually means there is a difference in the text).
- d. Correction letters not incorporated into the licensee's records.
- e. Unreadable or poor quality copies."

Since I am already scheduled to go to **Nine Mile Point** on 10/15 to perform the audit of licensee commitments, I propose to do this TS file review in the afternoon of 10/14/03. Based on my knowledge of the condition of NMP's TS, I believe this effort could go very quickly and with minimal involvement from licensee personnel.

I expect to perform the same review at **Oyster Creek** when I go to the site to audit licensee commitments. Currently, we have not discussed the date for such, but I expect to do that later in the year or early in 2004.

Peter S. Tam, Senior Project Manager

Project Directorate I-1  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

e-mail: pst@nrc.gov Tel.: 301-415-1451

**CC:** Brian Fuller; Ed Knutson; Richard Laufer; Robert Summers

**Mail Envelope Properties**

(3F79929F.DCF : 10 : 20510)

**Subject:** Review of TS Authority Files (NMP: TACs MC0890, MC0891) (Oyster: TAC MC0892)

**Creation Date:** 9/30/03 10:26AM

**From:** Peter Tam

**Created By:** PST@nrc.gov

Recipients	Action	Date & Time
David distel (David Distel)	Transferred	09/30/03 10:26AM
kp1_po.KP_DO	Delivered	09/30/03 10:26AM
BJF CC (Brian Fuller)	Opened	09/30/03 03:59PM
ECK CC (Ed Knutson)	Opened	09/30/03 10:51AM
RJS CC (Robert Summers)	Opened	10/01/03 08:28AM
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	Delivered	09/30/03 10:26AM
RJL CC (Richard Laufer)	Opened	09/30/03 11:02AM
exeloncorp.com david.helker (David Helker) pareez.golub (Pareez Golub)	Transferred	09/30/03 10:26AM
nmp.cn.com Denise.Wolniak (Denise J Wolniak) John.Dosa (John J Dosa) Steven.Leonard (Steven Leonard)	Transferred	09/30/03 10:26AM
<b>Post Office</b> David	<b>Delivered</b>	<b>Route</b> INternet:exeloncorp. com
kp1_po.KP_DO	09/30/03 10:26AM	
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	09/30/03 10:26AM	
		exeloncorp.com nmp.cn.com

Files	Size	Date & Time
-------	------	-------------

MESSAGE

4250

09/30/03 10:26AM

**Options**

**Auto Delete:** No  
**Expiration Date:** None  
**Notify Recipients:** Yes  
**Priority:** Standard  
**Reply Requested:** No  
**Return Notification:** None

**Concealed Subject:** No  
**Security:** Standard

**To Be Delivered:** Immediate  
**Status Tracking:** Delivered & Opened