

**Entergy**

Entergy Nuclear Northeast  
Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.  
440 Hamilton Avenue  
White Plains, NY 10601  
Tel 914 272 3200  
Fax 914 272 3205

Michael R. Kansler  
President

**(4)**

**DOCKET NUMBER**  
**PROPOSED RULE** **50**  
**(68FR 43673)**

October 7, 2003  
NL-03-157  
JPN-03-026  
ENO Ltr. 2.03.119  
BVY 03-93

**DOCKETED**  
**USNRC**

October 8, 2003 (7:29AM)

Secretary  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, DC 20555-0001  
Attn: Rulemaking and Adjudications Branch

**OFFICE OF SECRETARY**  
**RULEMAKINGS AND**  
**ADJUDICATIONS STAFF**

**SUBJECT:    Comments on Emergency Planning and Preparedness for**  
**Production and Utilization Facilities, Proposed Rule**

Reference:    1.    Federal Register, Volume 68, July 24, 2003, pp. 43673-43681

                 2.    A. Nelson (Nuclear Energy Institute) letter to USNRC Secretary, dated  
                 October 2, 2003 regarding the same subject.

Dear Sir:

Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. (ENO), as operator of the Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station, the James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Plant, the Vermont Yankee Power Station and the Indian Point Units 2 and 3 Nuclear Power Plants, takes this opportunity to comment on the proposed rule to amend the current regulations as they relate to NRC approval of licensee changes to Emergency Action Levels (EALs) and exercise requirements for co-located licensees, as published for public comment in the July 24, 2003 Federal Register (Reference 1).

ENO supports and endorses both of the changes to 10 CFR Part 50 proposed by the NRC. The first change resolves an apparent inconsistency in 10 CFR 50.54(q) and allows licensees to update their EALs, while ensuring an adequate level of regulatory oversight. The second change provides the functional equivalent of a biennial exercise where multiple nuclear power plants, each licensed to a different licensee, are co-located at the same site. Both of these changes are clear improvements to the rule. They reflect twenty years of experience with emergency preparedness exercises and the EAL change process.

Entergy also supports the comments submitted by the Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) submitted on behalf of the nuclear industry (Reference 2).

Template=SECY-067

SECY-02

While ENO supports the promulgation of the proposed changes to 10 CFR Part 50, we do have two comments regarding the July 24, 2002 Federal Register notice.

#### Example Of Emergency Preparedness Training For Two Co-Located Licensees

There is a possible ambiguity in Table 1 — *Example Of Emergency Preparedness Training For Two (2) Co-Located Licensees*, page 43676. The table, as well as the text of the proposed changes, does not indicate that in those years when a licensee participates in a full-participation exercise that licensee also participates in activities and interactions (A&I) with offsite response organizations. The result of this ambiguity could be an interpretation that only the non-participating licensee has any responsibility for A&I during an exercise year. The wording of the text and the table should be clarified.

#### List of Activities and Interactions

The list of activities and interactions (A&I) in the Notice contains requirements that may not apply to sites other than the James A. FitzPatrick and Nine Mile Point site, currently the only site with two power plants licensed to two separate licensees.

For instance, the last recommended interaction is "Licensee provides use of weapons firing range to local and state law enforcement (Sheriff, State Police)." While this interaction may have been negotiated as part of a support agreement for offsite response agencies at one site, it may not be appropriate at other sites. NRC intends to issue guidance on acceptable emergency planning activities and interactions through modifications to Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.101, "Emergency Planning and Preparedness for Nuclear Power Reactors." However, its inclusion in RG 1.101 could be interpreted as a requirement for a weapons range to be provided by all licensees.

As stated on page 43675 of the notice,

*"... the Commission understands that future nuclear power plant licensing concepts currently being considered by the industry include siting multiple nuclear power plants on a single site. These plants may be owned and/or operated by different licensees. Therefore, ... this rulemaking is necessary to ... clearly specify the emergency preparedness training obligations of colocated licensees."*

The inclusion of the proposed changes to RG 1.101 would be confusing and burdensome and would not provide additional benefit to the protection of public health and safety.

The language of any modifications to RG 1.101, or to Appendix E itself, should be generalized to allow for activities and interactions that are necessary and appropriate at all sites. Such guidance should include all the major areas in which support and training assistance may be appropriate without mandating specific training programs or activities. Those details should be negotiated between the licensees and offsite response organizations at each site.

ENO appreciates the opportunity to comment on this proposed rule.

There are no new commitments made in this letter. If you have any questions, please contact Ms. Charlene Faison at 914-272-3378.

Very truly yours,



Michael J. Colomb  
Acting VP, Operations Support

cc:

Mr. Hubert J. Miller  
Regional Administrator, Region I  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
475 Allendale Road  
King of Prussia, PA 19406-1415

Mr. Patrick D. Milano, Sr. Project Manager  
Project Directorate I-1  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Mail Stop: O-8-C2  
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Resident Inspector's Office  
Indian Point 3 Nuclear Power Plant  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
P. O. Box 337  
Buchanan, NY 10511

Senior Resident Inspector's Office  
Indian Point 2 Nuclear Power Plant  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
P. O. Box 38  
Buchanan, NY 10511-0038

Mr. Guy S. Vissing, Project Manager  
Project Directorate I-1  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Mail Stop: O-8-C2  
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Resident Inspector's Office  
James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
P. O. Box 136  
Lycoming, NY 13093-0136

Mr. Travis Tate, Project Manager  
Project Directorate I  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Mail Stop O-8B-1  
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Senior Resident Inspector  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station  
600 Rocky Hill Road  
Mail Stop 66  
Plymouth, MA 02360

Mr. Robert M. Pulsifer, Project Manager  
License Project Directorate I  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Mail Stop O-8B-1  
Washington, DC 20555-0001

USNRC Resident Inspector  
Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station  
320 Governor Hunt Road  
P. O. Box 157  
Vernon, VT 05354

Mr. David O'Brien  
Commissioner  
Department of Public Service  
112 State Street, Drawer 20  
Montpelier, VT 05620

Mr. Paul Eddy  
New York State Department  
of Public Service  
3 Empire State Plaza  
Albany, NY 12223-1350

Mr. Peter R. Smith, Acting President  
New York State Energy, Research, and  
Development Authority  
Corporate Plaza West  
286 Washington Avenue Extension  
Albany, NY 12203-6399