

From: Michael Mayfield
To: Gilbert Millman
Date: 3/12/02 11:15AM
Subject: Re: Fwd: Publication of NUREG/CR-4667, Vol. 31

I'm standing by my earlier statement. I'll forward the Branch Chief's review. The additional 'sensitivity review' would be nice if we all had plenty of time for 'nice to haves' but I don't. The reports are reviewed by a Senior Executive in my Division and that is enough.

Mabel - if this is something that needs more discussion let's get it on the Wed afternoon agenda.

Mike

>>> Gilbert Millman 03/12/02 09:23AM >>>

The process for sensitivity review that RES has been using for several months provides for approval of the sensitivity review by the cognizant Division Director. This is above and beyond the normal concurrence for publication. You don't have to review the document. You're approving that your staff has performed the review consistent with guidance provided by the Commission (January 25, 2002) for revising staff criteria. Your management review ensures that the sensitivity reviews performed in each of your branches are performed in a uniform manner.

The review performed by DET staff is summarized in the e-mail from DET technical staff and in the recommendation by the cognizant Branch Chief (attached). Your approval would indicate that the DET review has been performed appropriately and that you confirm your Branch Chief's recommendation. This process has been used consistently by DSARE and DRAA to approve for issue, by paper and to the web, numerous NUREGs and RGs in the last several months.

I would be pleased to discuss this with you if you need additional information.

>>> Michael Mayfield 03/12/02 08:19AM >>>

I forwarded it because that is what he said the process says I'm supposed to do. I have not reviewed this report, nor do I intend to. Consequently, I don't know if I approve or disapprove.

I have more than enough things to do and NUREG/CR review was delegated to the BC's years ago. I'm not inclined to change that process.

>>> Gilbert Millman 03/12/02 08:09AM >>>

I assume you forwarded Nileshe's package because you approve it for publication.

>>> Michael Mayfield 03/12/02 08:08AM >>>

CC: Mabel Lee; Nileshe Chokshi; Roy Zimmerman

P-49

From: Michael Mayfield
To: Gilbert Millman
Date: 3/12/02 8:08AM
Subject: Fwd: Publication of NUREG/CR-4667, Vol. 31

From: Niles Chokshi
To: Michael Mayfield
Date: 3/11/02 3:56PM
Subject: Fwd: Publication of NUREG/CR-4667, Vol. 31

The attached NUREG/CR has been reviewed for any information for potential use by terrorists and found to contain none.

From: Franklin Coffman
To: Chokshi, Niles
Date: 2/12/02 5:20PM
Subject: Publication of NUREG/CR-4667, Vol. 31

NUREG/CR-4667, Vol. 31, "Environmentally Assisted Cracking in Light Water Reactors, Semiannual Report, July 2000 - December 2000" summarizes work performed during the period by ANL on materials behavior such as (1) the effects of environment on fatigue S-N behavior in primary water, (2) IASCC of austenitic stainless steels and (3) EAC rates in Alloys 600 and 690.

I reviewed the final draft report for technical accuracy, any implied policy, and compliance with the Commission guidance provided to the staff in its SRM on COMSECY-01-0030 - GUIDANCE TO THE STAFF ON RELEASE OF INFORMATION TO THE PUBLIC, January 25, 2002. There is no clear nexus between any information that might aid a potential terrorist and the material content of NUREG/CR-4667, Vol. 31. The vast majority of the information is already available in the open scientific literature and was cited in the 119 references contained in the report. I concluded that the document is technically accurate, free of policy making, and fully compliant with the guidance provided by the Commission.

Therefore, I recommend publication of NUREG/CR-4667, Vol 31 and request that you transmit your concurrence via Email to M. Mayfield, Director, DET, to approve and further transmit approval via Email to RES OCIO Liaison (Gil Millman).

CC: Cullen, William; Moyer, Carol