

50-275/323

JAMES L. LOPES (No. 63678)  
JEFFREY L. SCHAFER (No. 91404)  
GARY M. KAPLAN (No. 155530)  
HOWARD, RICE, NEMEROVSKI, CANADY,  
FALK & RABKIN  
A Professional Corporation  
Three Embarcadero Center, 7th Floor  
San Francisco, California 94111-4024  
Telephone: 415/434-1600  
Facsimile: 415/217-5910

Attorneys for Debtor and Debtor in Possession  
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

In re

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC  
COMPANY, a California corporation,

Debtor.

Federal I.D. No. 94-0742640

Case No. 01-30923 DM

Chapter 11 Case

Date: September 26, 2003  
Time: 10:30 a.m.  
Place: 235 Pine Street, 22nd Floor  
San Francisco, California  
Judge: Hon. Dennis Montali

NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR AUTHORITY TO ENTER INTO CERTAIN  
HEDGING TRANSACTIONS IN CONNECTION WITH FINANCING UNDER  
PROPOSED PLAN OF REORGANIZATION, AND TO INCUR SECURED DEBT  
RELATED THERETO;

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT THEREOF

[SUPPORTING DECLARATIONS OF MICHAEL J. DONNELLY, JOSEPH SAUVAGE  
AND WALTER S. HULSE FILED SEPARATELY]

BKRP01

MOTION FOR AUTHORITY TO ENTER INTO HEDGING TRANSACTIONS RE PLAN FINANCING

HOWARD  
RICE  
NEMEROVSKI  
CANADY  
FALK  
& RABKIN  
A Professional Corporation

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION	1
MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES	2
I.    INTRODUCTION	2
II.   FACTUAL BACKGROUND	4
A.   General Background	4
B.   PG&E's Reorganization Plan	4
C.   Interest Rates and Risk	5
D.   Interest Rate Hedge Descriptions	6
1.   Interest Rate Swaps	6
2.   Interest Rate Caps, Floors and Collars	7
3.   Forward Rate Agreements	7
4.   Option Contracts	8
E.   Combination, Notional Amount and Timing of Interest Rate Hedges	9
F.   Sensitivity Analysis With Respect To The Interest Rate Hedges Based on Changes in Market Rates	11
G.   Counterparties for the Interest Rate Hedges and Hedge Agreements	13
H.   Secured Debt Requirements Related to the Interest Rate Hedges	16
I.   Lien Of Indenture Trustee Under 1920 Indenture	18
III.  PG&E SHOULD BE AUTHORIZED TO ENTER INTO THE INTEREST RATE HEDGES PURSUANT TO BANKRUPTCY CODE SECTION 363(b)(1)	19
IV.  PG&E SHOULD BE AUTHORIZED TO INCUR SECURED DEBT PURSUANT TO BANKRUPTCY CODE SECTION 364	20
CONCLUSION	22

# TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

## Cases

Comm. of Equity Sec. Holders v. Lionel Corp. (In re Lionel Corp.), 722 F.2d 1063 (2d Cir. 1983)	19
Commission Decision 02-11-027, 2002 Cal. PUC LEXIS 718	5
In re Ames Dep't Stores, Inc., 115 B.R. 34 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1990)	22
In re Crouse Group, Inc., 71 B.R. 544 (Bankr. E.D. Pa. 1987)	22
In re Simasko Prod. Co., 47 B.R. 444 (D. Colo. 1985)	22
In re Tenney Village Co., Inc., 104 B.R. 562 (Bankr. D.N.H. 1989)	22
Norris Square Civic Ass'n v. St. Mary Hosp. (In re St. Mary Hosp.), 86 B.R. 393 (Bankr. E.D. Pa. 1988)	22
Official Comm. of Subordinated Bondholders v. Integrated Res., Inc. (In re Integrated Res., Inc.), 147 B.R. 650 (S.D.N.Y. 1992)	20
Pistole v. Mellor (In re Mellor), 734 F.2d 1396 (9th Cir. 1984)	21
Smith v. Van Gorkom, 488 A.2d 858 (Del. 1985)	20
Stephens Indus., Inc. v. McClung, 789 F.2d 386 (6th Cir. 1986)	19
Travelers Ins. Co. v. Plaza Family P'ship (In re Plaza Family P'ship), 95 B.R. 166 (E.D. Cal. 1989)	21

## Statutes

11 U.S.C.	
§63	19
§361	19
Bankr. Code	
§1107	4
§1108	4
§362	16
§363	19
§363(b)(1)	2, 19
§364	2, 17
§364(d)	19
§364(d)(1)	2, 20, 23
§364(e)	15, 16

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

Fed. R. Bankr. P. 4001

19

B.L.R. 9014-1(c)(1)

1

Other Authorities

3 Lawrence P. King, Collier on Bankruptcy ¶363.02[1][g] (15th ed. rev. 1998)

19

HOWARD  
RICE  
NEMEROVSKI  
CANADY  
FALK  
& RABKIN

A Professional Corporation

1                                   **NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION**

2                   **PLEASE TAKE NOTICE** that on September 26, 2003 at 10:30 a.m., or as soon  
3 thereafter as the matter may be heard, in the Courtroom of the Honorable Dennis Montali,  
4 located at 235 Pine Street, 22nd Floor, San Francisco, California, Pacific Gas and Electric  
5 Company, the debtor and debtor in possession in the above-captioned Chapter 11 case  
6 ("PG&E" or the "Debtor"), will and hereby does move the Court for authority to enter into  
7 certain interest rate hedging transactions in connection with financing under the plan of  
8 reorganization for PG&E, to enter into and perform its obligations under related agreements,  
9 and to incur secured debt related thereto (the "Motion"). The Motion is based on this Notice  
10 of Motion and Motion, the accompanying Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the  
11 Declarations of Michael J. Donnelly ("Donnelly Declaration"), Joseph Sauvage ("Sauvage  
12 Declaration") and Walter S. Hulse ("Hulse Declaration") filed concurrently herewith, the  
13 record of this case and any evidence or argument presented at or prior to the hearing on this  
14 Motion.

15                   **PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE** that pursuant to Rule 9014-1(c)(1) of the  
16 Bankruptcy Local Rules of the United States District Court for the Northern District of  
17 California, any written opposition to the Motion and the relief requested herein must be filed  
18 with the Bankruptcy Court and served upon appropriate parties (including counsel for  
19 PG&E, the Office of the United States Trustee and the Official Committee of Unsecured  
20 Creditors) at least 14 days prior to the scheduled hearing date. If there is no timely  
21 opposition to the requested relief, the Court may enter an order granting such relief by  
22 default and without further hearing.

# MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES<sup>1</sup>

## I.

### INTRODUCTION

By this Motion, PG&E requests authority, pursuant to Section 363(b)(1) and Section 364 of the Bankruptcy Code, to enter into certain interest rate hedging transactions in connection with financing under the reorganization plan for PG&E, and to incur secured debt related thereto. More specifically, and as explained in more detail below, PG&E seeks authorization to enter into interest-rate swaps, caps, collars, forward rate agreements, options and floors (collectively, the "Interest Rate Hedges") in connection with debt that PG&E contemplates issuing to implement a reorganization plan in this case, and the agreements with counterparties to the Interest Rate Hedges with respect thereto (collectively, the "Hedge Agreements"), subject to an aggregate liability limitation amount for all Interest Rate Hedges and Hedge Agreements as described below. Further, PG&E by this Motion seeks an order authorizing PG&E to incur secured obligations and post collateral to secure its obligations under certain Interest Rate Hedges and Hedge Agreements pursuant to Bankruptcy Code Section 364(d)(1), as described more specifically below.

Pursuant to this Motion, PG&E seeks authority to enter into Interest Rate Hedges and Hedge Agreements with maturity dates (in the case of Performance Interest Rate Hedges as defined below) or expiration dates (in the case of Straight Option Transactions as defined below) through June 30, 2004.<sup>2</sup> PG&E reserves the right to seek further authority with

<sup>1</sup> The evidentiary basis and support for the facts set forth in this Motion are contained in the Donnelly Declaration filed concurrently herewith.

<sup>2</sup> PG&E anticipates a March 31, 2004 Effective Date for the currently pending Plan of Reorganization under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code for Pacific Gas and Electric Company Proposed By Pacific Gas and Electric Company, PG&E Corporation, and the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors Dated July 31, 2003 (the "PG&E Plan"). (By its Order dated July 31, 2003, the Bankruptcy Court approved the Disclosure Statement with respect to the PG&E Plan and scheduled a confirmation hearing on the PG&E Plan to commence on November 3, 2003.) However, PG&E believes it is prudent to provide for some flexibility in the event this anticipated effective date of a plan of reorganization is delayed for any reason. PG&E believes that obtaining authorization to enter into Interest Rate Hedges with a settlement or exercise date of up to June 30, 2004 provides PG&E with adequate and reasonable flexibility, for the following reasons:

(continued . . .)

1 respect to any Interest Rate Hedges and Hedge Agreements as appropriate, including,  
2 without limitation, in the event that the PG&E Plan fails to be confirmed and become  
3 effective before the settlement dates of any Performance Interest Rate Hedges and  
4 corresponding Hedge Agreements entered into pursuant to this Motion, or in connection  
5 with an alternative reorganization plan for PG&E.<sup>3</sup>

6 The relief sought by this Motion reflects the fact that market interest rates are  
7 near historically low levels when viewed over the last 30 years. Securing the benefits of

8 ( . . . continued )

9 In the case of a Straight Option Transaction, the longer the period before the option  
10 expiration date the greater the cost of the option. The reason for this increased cost is that  
11 the longer exercise period increases the timeframe during which market movements can  
12 occur. As a result, the amount of risk protection provided by the option increases, since the  
13 probability that an option might be exercised increases, and the cost of obtaining such  
14 increased protection correspondingly increases. In short, a Straight Option (as defined  
15 below) with an expiration date of June 30, 2004 necessarily will cost more than a Straight  
16 Option with an expiration date of March 31, 2004.

17 Notwithstanding this increased cost, PG&E believes it is good business judgment to  
18 purchase an option with an expiration date that allows for some slippage in the Effective  
19 Date of the PG&E Plan, because the price of the option is based in part on current interest  
20 rate parameters as of the option purchase date, and the premium for the longer period is  
21 therefore fixed and known at the time of purchase. If, on the other hand, PG&E were to  
22 purchase a Straight Option with an expiration date of March 31, 2004 and there were some  
23 slippage in the Effective Date of the PG&E Plan, PG&E at that time would have to purchase  
24 a new Straight Option with a new expiration date, the price of which is unknowable at the  
25 present time because the second option necessarily would be priced based on interest rate  
26 parameters at the time of such future purchase. This is not a presently quantifiable risk.  
27 Accordingly, if PG&E determines to purchase a Straight Option, PG&E believes that it  
28 generally makes better business sense to purchase a Straight Option with an expiration date  
allowing for some flexibility, with a cost that is fully determinable and known at the time of  
purchase.

21 In the case of Interest Rate Hedges that combine one or more of the non-option  
22 hedging devices with an option hedging device, the same reasoning applies. Because  
23 virtually any Interest Rate Hedges entered into by PG&E pursuant to this Motion beyond a  
24 Straight Option Transaction will involve a combination of one or more non-option hedging  
25 devices with an option hedging device, PG&E by this Motion seeks authority to enter into  
26 Interest Rate Hedges with a settlement date of up to June 30, 2004.

27 <sup>3</sup> On July 25, 2003, PG&E petitioned the California Public Utilities Commission (the  
28 "Commission") for authorization to hedge interest rates for fixed rate long-term debt  
anticipated as part of the financing to implement a confirmed plan of reorganization in  
PG&E's bankruptcy case, prior to confirmation of a reorganization plan. PG&E's July 25,  
2003 petition to the Commission builds on the Commission's prior decision (D.02-11-030)  
and seeks to modify that decision to expand the types of hedges and plans of reorganization  
covered by the Commission's authorization for pre-confirmation hedging. PG&E's ability to  
engage in the Interest Rate Hedges will be contingent upon receipt of appropriate approval  
from the Commission.

1 these low rates for the debt contemplated to be issued by PG&E under its reorganization  
2 plan will enhance the efficiency of such plan and will be beneficial to the estate and  
3 interested parties. Authorizing PG&E to enter into the Interest Rate Hedges and Hedge  
4 Agreements while forward interest rates are relatively low will provide a tool to help protect  
5 against potential significant increases in interest rates that might occur before its  
6 reorganization plan is implemented, thereby mitigating against potentially higher borrowing  
7 costs that may prevail when debt is issued as anticipated under PG&E's reorganization plan.  
8 This is in the interests of PG&E, its creditors and ratepayers alike.

## 9 II.

### 10 FACTUAL BACKGROUND

#### 11 A. General Background

12 PG&E filed a voluntary petition for relief under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy  
13 Code on April 6, 2001. A trustee has not been appointed, and PG&E continues to function  
14 as a debtor in possession pursuant to Sections 1107 and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code.

#### 15 B. PG&E's Reorganization Plan

16 PG&E will be required to issue and/or reinstate significant amounts of long-term  
17 debt as part of its implementation financing under virtually any reorganization plan that will  
18 resolve this Chapter 11 case. Under the PG&E Plan, such long-term debt is anticipated to  
19 aggregate \$8.8 billion, although contingencies could increase the amount to approximately  
20 \$10.5 billion. Of the \$8.8 billion, approximately \$7.7 billion is estimated to be new long-  
21 term debt, of which approximately \$7.4 billion is anticipated to be fixed-rate, long-term  
22 debt.<sup>4</sup> Entering into agreements to preserve the benefits of the currently low market interest  
23

24 <sup>4</sup> Similar amounts of debt would be required under each of the reorganization plans  
25 previously proposed by (i) PG&E and PG&E Corporation (the "Original PG&E Plan"), and  
26 (ii) the California Public Utilities Commission and the Official Committee of Unsecured  
27 Creditors (the "Commission Plan"). In view of the PG&E Plan, the Bankruptcy Court has  
28 stayed confirmation proceedings with respect to the Original PG&E Plan and the  
Commission Plan. PG&E anticipates that regardless of which reorganization plan is  
confirmed in this case, PG&E will be required to issue significant amounts of long-term debt  
to implement such plan.



1 rates is anticipated to provide long-lasting benefits to the estate and interested parties.<sup>5</sup>  
2 Because the window of opportunity to secure the benefits of current low forward interest  
3 rates is uncertain, PG&E seeks authorization to promptly enter into the Interest Rate Hedges  
4 and Hedge Agreements pursuant to this Motion.

5 **C. Interest Rates and Risk**

6 The attractiveness of current market interest rates is exemplified by the closing  
7 yields on 5-year and 10-year U.S. Treasury notes, which were 3.34% and 4.48% per annum,  
8 respectively, as of August 26, 2003. These U.S. Treasury note rates, which are illustrative of  
9 similar trends in other interest rate benchmarks, are at levels close to the lowest rates seen in  
10 decades. On August 26, 2003, the 30-year U.S. Treasury bond yield was 5.27% per annum,  
11 which again is among the lowest rates prevailing since the United States Treasury began  
12 issuing 30-year bonds on a regular basis.

13 As is typical with corporate long-term debt, the interest rate on PG&E's  
14 anticipated long-term debt issuances under its reorganization plan will be based on a  
15 combination of the yield on a comparable maturity U.S. Treasury note or bond, which  
16 reflects the time-value of money (referred to as the "risk-free" rate), and a credit spread,  
17 which reflects a premium for PG&E's credit risk. The financial markets offer various  
18 mechanisms for PG&E to hedge the U.S. Treasury yield portion of the interest rate on its  
19 future long-term debt. However, these markets do not offer instruments that would allow  
20 PG&E to hedge the credit-spread portion of the debt. Thus, PG&E could only hedge for the  
21 impact of changes on a component of the interest rates on PG&E debt to be issued in the  
22 future. Financial market instruments appropriate for that purpose would enable the Debtor  
23 to hedge rates related to U.S. Treasury yields for such a future date (which is referred to as  
24

---

25 <sup>5</sup>Generally speaking, PG&E's borrowing costs are recoverable in retail gas and  
26 electric rates (see Commission Decision 02-11-027, 2002 Cal. PUC LEXIS 718, at \*11), so  
27 controlling those costs is in the interest of ratepayers. The Settlement Agreement that is the  
28 basis for the PG&E Plan expressly provides that the actual reasonable cost of PG&E's  
interest rate hedging activities with respect to the financing necessary for the PG&E Plan  
shall be reflected and recoverable in PG&E's retail gas and electric rates.

1 the "forward yield"), although it is not possible to lock in today's current yields (referred to  
2 as the "spot yield") for debt that will be issued in the future.

3 Under most circumstances, forward U.S. Treasury yields are higher than current  
4 spot U.S. Treasury yields. As of August 26, 2003, the market's expectation of the yield of a  
5 10-year U.S. Treasury note on March 31, 2004 was approximately 0.35% above the current  
6 spot yield, and for January 1, 2005, the market expectation of the yield was approximately  
7 0.75% above the current spot yield. These expected rates could change dramatically if  
8 market rates and expectations of future U.S. Treasury rates change.

9 Although it would be difficult to project with any certainty the movement of U.S.  
10 Treasury yields in the next year, the current levels are among the lowest in decades. Since  
11 there is a general belief that interest rates normally increase in a growing or recovering  
12 economy, should the U.S. economy rebound from its current slump, interest rates are likely  
13 to increase further. Hedging interest rates at or near levels expected in the near term will  
14 partially reduce the exposure to higher debt costs faced by PG&E's estate and its  
15 constituents at the time that the long-term debt is anticipated to be issued.

#### 16 **D. Interest Rate Hedge Descriptions**

17 PG&E proposes to minimize its exposure to potential future interest rate  
18 increases by using the Interest Rate Hedges to hedge, in part, its cost of long-term debt  
19 financing upon implementation of a confirmed reorganization plan. By this Motion, PG&E  
20 requests authority to enter into Hedge Agreements to engage in the following types of  
21 Interest Rate Hedges: interest rate swaps, caps, floors, collars, forward rate agreements and  
22 options, each of which is described below.

##### 23 **1. Interest Rate Swaps**

24 An interest rate swap is an agreement between two parties in which one party  
25 agrees to pay the other party a certain fixed rate of interest, and the other party agrees to pay  
26 the first party a certain variable (or "floating") rate of interest, for a specified time period.  
27 PG&E would make or receive cash payments that reflect the difference between the fixed  
28 and floating rates for the applicable time period. For example, if PG&E agrees to pay the

1 fixed rate and receive the floating rate and interest rates increase beyond the fixed rate  
2 during the applicable time, then the counterparty would pay PG&E the difference between  
3 the floating and fixed rates. Conversely, if PG&E agrees to pay the fixed rate and receive  
4 the floating rate and interest rates decrease, then PG&E would pay the counterparty the  
5 difference. Interest rate swaps can also involve parties who both pay floating rates, but  
6 based on different indexes.

## 7 **2. Interest Rate Caps, Floors and Collars**

8 An interest-rate cap is a financial instrument that sets a maximum rate of interest  
9 on variable-rate obligations. An interest-rate floor sets a minimum rate of interest on  
10 variable-rate obligations. An interest rate collar is a financial instrument that sets both  
11 minimum and maximum rates of interest on variable-rate obligations.

## 12 **3. Forward Rate Agreements**

13 PG&E may choose to enter into forward contracts with respect to U.S. Treasury  
14 securities and/or interest rate swaps. A forward contract with respect to U.S. Treasury  
15 securities is an agreement between two parties to buy and sell a specific U.S. Treasury note  
16 or bond at a specified price on a forward basis (at the settlement date). On the settlement  
17 date, PG&E would either make or receive a cash payment that reflects the difference  
18 between the expectation of rates at the time of the agreement (the contractual rate), and the  
19 actual level of rates on the date of settlement (the market rate). If PG&E agrees to sell a  
20 specified U.S. Treasury security and the market price for the particular security is below the  
21 specified contractual price on the settlement date (i.e., market interest rates for Treasury  
22 notes have increased above the contractual rate), then the counterparty would pay PG&E the  
23 difference. Conversely, if PG&E agrees to sell a specified U.S. Treasury security and the  
24 applicable U.S. Treasury security market price on the settlement date is above the specified  
25 contractual price (i.e., market interest rates have decreased below the contractual rate), then  
26 PG&E would pay the counterparty the difference.

27 A forward interest rate swap is an agreement between two parties to enter into an  
28 interest rate swap at a later settlement date. As with a forward contract with respect to U.S.

1 Treasury securities, on the settlement date, the parties cash settle the difference between the  
2 contractual rate and the actual (market) interest rate, instead of actually entering into the  
3 interest rate swap. If the swap provided for PG&E to pay a fixed interest rate and receive a  
4 floating rate from the counterparty and the applicable market interest rate is above the fixed  
5 contractual rate, then the counterparty would pay PG&E the difference. On the other hand,  
6 if the applicable market interest rate is below the fixed contractual rate, then PG&E would  
7 pay the counterparty the difference.

8 In either of the forward rate agreements described above, a payment to PG&E  
9 would offset the higher interest expense resulting from an increase in the risk-free rate  
10 component of the long-term debt to be issued under its reorganization plan, and a payment  
11 by PG&E to the counterparty would be offset by the lower interest expense resulting from a  
12 decrease in the risk-free rate component of such long-term debt. The net result under either  
13 arrangement is management of the risk-free interest rate applicable to the portion of PG&E's  
14 anticipated debt issuance that is hedged.

#### 15 4. Option Contracts

16 In general, an option contract is an agreement giving the purchaser the right, but  
17 not the obligation, to buy (call) or sell (put) an asset at a given price (referred to as the  
18 "strike price"). The option's strike price and maturity date are determined when the contract  
19 is entered into, and an "upfront premium" is established for payment from the purchaser to  
20 the seller.

21 PG&E may choose to purchase a floor or a cap, which may be in the form of a  
22 single put or call option or a series of put or call options on a specified financial instrument,  
23 such as a U.S. Treasury security or an interest rate swap. Used in this way, options may be  
24 thought of as analogous to an insurance policy, in that an upfront premium is paid in order to  
25 limit total payments. In the case of a put option, PG&E would be purchasing the right to sell  
26 the underlying asset to the counterparty at the strike price; therefore, if interest rates  
27 increase, thereby decreasing the price of the asset below the put option's strike price, PG&E  
28 would exercise the put option and receive from the counterparty a payment based on the

1 difference between the market price and the strike price. Conversely, if interest rates  
2 decrease, thereby increasing the market price of the asset above the strike price, PG&E  
3 would not exercise the put option and no payment would be exchanged. In such a case,  
4 PG&E would have paid the upfront premium but would receive no offsetting payment. Call  
5 options offer analogous protection against falling interest rates and rising asset prices. The  
6 purchase of only an option hedging device as described in this paragraph, whereby PG&E  
7 pays the purchase price upfront at the time of purchase and has no future payment  
8 obligations to the counterparty, is referred to in this Motion as a "Straight Option  
9 Transaction", and the product so purchased is referred to as a "Straight Option".

10 **E. Combination, Notional Amount and Timing of Interest Rate Hedges**

11 PG&E may choose to enter into combinations of the above-described Interest  
12 Rate Hedges. For example, PG&E may purchase a floor in combination with a forward rate  
13 agreement to limit to a defined dollar amount any potential settlement payment to the  
14 counterparty that would be required. In this scenario, if interest rates were to decrease, the  
15 floor would protect against the significant settlement payment that would otherwise be  
16 required as a result of a precipitous interest rate drop. The premium on the floor would  
17 either be paid upfront or embedded into the forward rate agreement by increasing its fixed  
18 contractual rate. Additionally, PG&E might utilize a combination of floors and caps to  
19 create a collar—a limitation on interest costs to a pre-defined range.

20 PG&E is seeking approval to transact up to \$7.4 billion in notional amount of  
21 Interest Rate Hedges, although the notional amount of the actual Interest Rate Hedges may  
22 be less. This maximum \$7.4 billion in notional amount would cover all or a substantial  
23 portion of the principal amount of long-term fixed rate debt anticipated to be issued by  
24 PG&E under its reorganization plan.

25 Other than a Straight Option Transaction, for all other types of Interest Rate  
26 Hedges a payment may be due from either party to the other upon the settlement/maturity  
27 date of the transactions (all such other types of Interest Rate Hedges being referred to in this  
28

1 Motion as "Performance Interest Rate Hedges").<sup>6</sup> To maximize the benefits to PG&E from  
2 any Performance Interest Rate Hedges, the ending date should be selected with reference to  
3 the anticipated time of issuance of the implementation financing for PG&E's reorganization  
4 plan, but with some reasonable flexibility for slippage.<sup>7</sup> While the precise date of such  
5 financing implementation is uncertain, PG&E submits that the relatively low forward  
6 interest rates available today with respect to the approximate period when PG&E expects to  
7 implement financing under its reorganization plan, and the risk of increasing interest rates  
8 justify entering into any Performance Interest Rate Hedges and corresponding Hedge  
9 Agreements before the precise reorganization plan financing implementation date is  
10 determined.

11 If the implementation financing occurs prior to a Performance Interest Rate  
12 Hedge's settlement date, the Performance Interest Rate Hedge can be unwound early or sold.  
13 In the case of a forward rate agreement, the settlement amount would then be based upon the  
14 difference between the Performance Interest Rate Hedge's contractual interest rate and the  
15 then-effective forward rate as determined by the capital markets. For example, if the  
16 implementation financing occurred three months prior to the Performance Interest Rate  
17 Hedge's settlement date, then the settlement amount would be based on the difference  
18 between the contractual interest rate and the three-month forward interest rate at the time of  
19 the unwind.

20 As discussed above, PG&E seeks authority to enter into Interest Rate Hedges and  
21

22 <sup>6</sup>It is critical to the definition of "Performance Interest Rate Hedges" in this Motion  
23 that a payment may be due from either party to the other upon the maturity or earlier  
24 termination of the hedge. Accordingly, a Straight Option Transaction, as defined in Part  
25 II.D.4. above, is not a Performance Interest Rate Hedge because although PG&E's  
26 counterparty to a Straight Option Transaction may have a payment obligation to PG&E upon  
27 the exercise of the option, PG&E would not have any potential payment obligation to the  
28 counterparty beyond the purchase price that is paid in full by PG&E "up front" at the time  
the Straight Option is purchased.

<sup>7</sup>See footnote 2 above for an explanation of the justification for permitting PG&E to  
enter into Interest Rate Hedges and Hedge Agreements with settlement (and, in the case of  
Straight Option Transactions, expiration) dates a modest period beyond the anticipated  
Effective Date of the PG&E Plan.

1 corresponding Hedge Agreements with settlement dates (in the case of Performance Interest  
2 Rate Hedges) and expirations dates (in the case of Straight Option Transactions) through  
3 June 30, 2004, while reserving all rights to seek additional authority with respect to the  
4 Interest Rate Hedges and corresponding Hedge Agreements, as appropriate. For example, if  
5 it becomes clear that the PG&E Plan is not likely to be confirmed and become effective by  
6 June 30, 2004, PG&E expects to seek authority to enter into one or more new Interest Rate  
7 Hedges and corresponding Hedge Agreements with a new settlement/maturity date (in the  
8 case of a Performance Interest Rate Hedge) or expiration date (in the case of a Straight  
9 Option Transaction), depending on market conditions at that time. Alternatively, if it is  
10 determined that it is appropriate to terminate a Performance Interest Rate Hedge, then such  
11 Performance Interest Rate Hedge could be unwound prior to its original maturity date.

12  
13 **F. Sensitivity Analysis With Respect To The Interest Rate Hedges Based on**  
14 **Changes in Market Rates**

15 On the settlement date of a Performance Interest Rate Hedge, one party typically  
16 pays the other party a certain amount pursuant to the agreement based on the difference  
17 between the contract and market rates of interest. If the market interest rate fell below the  
18 Performance Interest Rate Hedge contract rate, PG&E would make an appropriate payment  
19 to the counterparty out of cash on hand and issue additional debt pursuant to its  
20 reorganization plan. The cost of this additional debt would be expected to be effectively  
21 offset by the lower interest rate on the long-term debt to be issued by PG&E pursuant to its  
22 reorganization plan. Conversely, if the market interest rate increased above an Interest Rate  
23 Hedge contract rate (including either a Performance Interest Rate Hedge or a Straight  
24 Option), the counterparty would make appropriate payment to PG&E, thereby increasing  
25 PG&E's cash on hand and presumably decreasing the amount of debt to be issued pursuant  
26 to the reorganization plan, which would be expected to reduce the overall interest expense  
on such debt issued at the then-applicable market rate.

27 PG&E's potential risk and liability with respect to any Performance Interest Rate  
28 Hedges and corresponding Hedge Agreements will necessarily be based on current forward

1 interest rates and volatility at the time that the Performance Interest Rate Hedge is  
2 commenced. Under recent market conditions, for a \$1 billion forward contract on a 10-year  
3 interest rate swap (designed to hedge against changes in the interest rate of securities with a  
4 10-year maturity) at a fixed contractual rate of 5.27%, a decline in the swap rate to 4.27%  
5 would result in PG&E paying approximately \$80.7 million to the counterparty. Conversely,  
6 an increase of in the swap rate from 5.27% to 6.27% would result in the counterparty paying  
7 approximately \$73.5 million to PG&E.

8 This would indicate that if PG&E were to enter into comparable hedging  
9 transactions with a notional amount aggregating approximately \$7.4 billion (the maximum  
10 authority sought by this Motion), its potential liability would amount to close to \$600  
11 million for a decline in interest rates of 100 basis points.<sup>8</sup> However remote the possibility  
12 of such a decline in interest rates when rates are already near historic lows, PG&E would not  
13 be comfortable undertaking anywhere near this level of risk. Accordingly, PG&E intends to  
14 engage in transactions which would limit its potential liabilities under any and all Interest  
15 Rate Hedges to no more than \$90 million in the aggregate, and PG&E by this Motion  
16 therefore seeks authority to enter into Interest Rate Hedges and Hedge Agreements in a  
17 notional amount of up to \$7.4 billion outstanding at one time, provided that PG&E in so  
18 doing uses devices that limit its maximum liability to an aggregate of \$90 million.<sup>9</sup> PG&E  
19 believes that this \$90 million maximum liability amount provides PG&E with adequate  
20 authority to carry out a reasonable hedging program to carry out the objectives of this  
21

22  
23 <sup>8</sup>For technical reasons, the impact is not completely proportional to the change in  
24 rates. For example, a decline in interest rates of 50 basis points would result in a potential  
25 liability of approximately \$290 million, and decline in interest rates of two hundred basis  
points would result in a potential liability of approximately \$1.25 billion. In any event, the  
exact nature and structure of the Interest Rate Hedges that PG&E enters into may be  
different from the examples contained in this Motion.

26 <sup>9</sup> This maximum \$90 million amount includes the aggregate of (a) the costs of the  
27 hedging devices that PG&E may utilize to limits its potential liability under the Interest Rate  
28 Hedges, (b) PG&E's potential liability to counterparties under the Interest Rate Hedges and  
corresponding Hedge Agreements resulting from changes in applicable interest rates, and (c)  
the purchase price for any Straight Options).



1 Motion.<sup>10</sup>

2 In addition to considering PG&E's maximum potential liability under the  
3 proposed Interest Rate Hedges, it is important to consider the potential impact of not  
4 entering into Interest Rate Hedges in the current favorable interest rate environment. If  
5 PG&E does not enter into any Interest Rate Hedges and PG&E issues the maximum  
6 potential \$7.4 billion amount of fixed-rate debt anticipated under the PG&E Plan, each  
7 increase of 200 basis points in interest rates would increase PG&E's annual pre-tax interest  
8 expense by \$148 million. Assuming (for illustration purposes only) that the maturities of all  
9 fixed-rate debt issued under the PG&E Plan were 10 years, such an unhedged 200-basis-  
10 points increase in interest rates would amount to additional interest expense to PG&E with a  
11 present value of approximately \$1 billion. Under any reasoned analysis, PG&E believes  
12 that it is sound business judgment to use the available financial tools to hedge against this  
13 interest rate risk, particularly in view of the currently low market interest rates. This risk  
14 mitigation benefits the bankruptcy estate and interested parties alike.

15 **G. Counterparties for the Interest Rate Hedges and Hedge Agreements**

16 Consistent with the Settlement Agreement that is the basis for the PG&E Plan (a  
17 copy of which is attached as Exhibit D to the PG&E Plan), PG&E has agreed to name UBS  
18 Securities LLC and Lehman Brothers Inc. as exclusive book runners, lead managers and  
19 hedging providers of all financings pursuant to the PG&E Plan with equal economics for 80  
20 percent of the aggregate total fees and commissions payable on such financings.<sup>11</sup>

21 <sup>10</sup>Using a \$7.4 billion aggregate notional amount of comparable hedging transactions,  
22 an increase in interest rates of 100 basis points above a locked-in rate for a Performance  
23 Interest Rate Hedge or a strike rate in a Straight Option Transaction would result in the  
24 hedging counterparties having payment obligations to PG&E aggregating approximately  
25 \$500 million. Because, theoretically, there is no limit to the amount that interest rates can  
26 increase, there is no upper limit to the hedging counterparties' potential obligations to  
27 PG&E. For this reason, and in order to address and mitigate the risk of any counterparty  
28 not being able to perform its obligations upon the settlement of any interest rate hedge  
transaction, PG&E is requiring that, subject to negotiated uncollateralized thresholds, each  
hedging counterparty's potential obligations to PG&E under the Interest Rate Hedges be  
secured by collateral consisting of cash or relatively liquid securities.

<sup>11</sup> PG&E also has been directed by the Commission to work with UBS Securities  
LLC in Commission Decision 02-11-030 on implementation financing for PG&E's

(continued . . .)

MOTION FOR AUTHORITY TO ENTER INTO HEDGING TRANSACTIONS RE PLAN FINANCING

1 Accordingly, PG&E anticipates that Lehman Brothers Special Financing Inc., together with  
2 Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. as guarantor, or any affiliates thereof agreed to in writing by  
3 PG&E (collectively, "Lehman") and/or UBS AG or any affiliates thereof agreed to in  
4 writing by PG&E (collectively, "UBS") will be PG&E's counterparties with respect to 80  
5 percent of the Interest Rate Hedges, provided that such Interest Rate Hedges and  
6 corresponding Hedge Agreements are on commercially reasonable terms and rates. PG&E  
7 under all circumstances may enter into Interest Rate Hedges and Hedge Agreements with  
8 any institutional counterparties for the remaining 20 percent of the hedging transactions.

9 To the extent that PG&E enters into Interest Rate Hedges and Hedge Agreements  
10 with Lehman and/or UBS, PG&E notes that affiliates of such parties (i.e., Lehman Brothers  
11 Inc. and UBS Securities LLC) are acting as the financial advisor and capital markets  
12 arranger to PG&E and the Commission, respectively. PG&E also notes that it anticipates  
13 that the function of "Calculation Agent" under any Interest Rate Hedge and Hedge  
14 Agreement that PG&E enters into — i.e., the agent that performs certain settlement  
15 calculations under a Hedge Agreement, except if a defined "Event of Default" or  
16 "Termination Event" occurs, in which case the calculations are performed by the non-  
17 defaulting party (in the case of Event of Default) or the non-affected party (in the case of  
18 certain Termination Events) under the applicable Hedge Agreement — may be performed by  
19 one of the parties to the Hedge Agreement or an independent third party. These matters are  
20 still under discussion and have not been finally determined. Thus, it is possible that under  
21 certain circumstances Lehman, UBS, or other counterparties may act as the Calculation  
22 Agent under one or more Hedge Agreements pertaining to one or more Interest Rate Hedges,  
23 and in such role would be responsible for the calculation of certain settlement amounts with  
24 respect to those Interest Rate Hedges. In any event, each counterparty acting as a  
25 Calculation Agent is obligated to perform its calculations in good faith and in a  
26 commercially reasonable manner.

27 ( . . . continued )  
28 emergence from Chapter 11.

1 PG&E has been advised by Lehman Brothers Inc. that, consistent with what the  
2 financial markets would require for financial institutions to enter into these types of complex  
3 finance-related agreements with a Chapter 11 debtor, the Hedge Agreements pertaining to  
4 one or more Interest Rate Hedges will require the Bankruptcy Court to have entered a final  
5 order (in form and substance satisfactory to the institutional counterparty):

6 (1) Authorizing PG&E to enter into the Interest Rate Hedges and the  
7 corresponding Hedge Agreements, and, with respect to any Performance Interest Rate Hedge  
8 and corresponding Hedge Agreements, to incur secured debt pursuant to Bankruptcy Code  
9 Section 364(d);

10 (2) Finding that the counterparty to each Hedge Agreement pertaining to a  
11 Performance Interest Rate Hedge is extending credit to PG&E in good faith within the  
12 meaning of Bankruptcy Code Section 364(e),<sup>12</sup> and

13  
14 <sup>12</sup> Because PG&E anticipates entering into 80% of the Interest Rate Hedges and  
15 corresponding Hedge Agreements with Lehman and UBS, the evidentiary basis for the good  
16 faith of Lehman and UBS as prospective hedge counterparties are contained in the Sauvage  
17 and Hulse Declarations, respectively, and PG&E by this Motion seeks a good faith  
18 determination respecting Lehman and UBS pursuant to Section 364(e). Further, as discussed  
19 above, PG&E also may enter into Interest Rate Hedges and Hedge Agreements with other  
20 institutional counterparties (each an "other institutional counterparty"). PG&E understands  
21 that any such other institutional counterparty, like Lehman and UBS, will require a "good  
22 faith" determination pursuant to Bankruptcy Code Section 364(e) as a condition to entering  
23 into any Performance Interest Rate Hedge and corresponding Hedge Agreement with PG&E.  
24 Because all such other institutional counterparties have not yet been selected, to facilitate  
25 such other institutional counterparties entering into Hedge Agreements with PG&E  
26 consistent with this Motion, PG&E by this Motion also seeks the Court's approval of a  
27 streamlined procedure for such proposed other institutional counterparties to seek a good  
28 faith determination pursuant to Bankruptcy Code Section 364(e), as follows: Any proposed  
other institutional counterparty with whom PG&E intends to enter into a Performance  
Interest Rate Hedge and corresponding Hedge Agreement pursuant to this Motion would file  
and serve on counsel for each of the Debtor, the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors,  
the Commission and the United States Trustee (collectively, the "Served Parties") a  
declaration establishing such proposed other institutional counterparty's good faith,  
discussing the types of matters addressed in the Sauvage and Hulse Declarations; the Service  
Parties would have until five calendar days after service of such declaration to file with the  
Court and serve upon the Served Parties any opposition to a good faith determination with  
respect to such proposed other institutional counterparty; if no timely opposition is submitted  
by one of the Served Parties, the Court (in its discretion) may enter an order determining the  
good faith of such proposed other institutional counterparty without further proceedings. In  
the event that any of the Served Parties files and serves on objection, the Court, in its  
discretion, may do either of the following: (1) overrule the objection and issue its order  
determining the good faith of the proposed other institutional counterparty, or (2) advise the  
(continued . . . )

1 (3) Authorizing the counterparty to each Hedge Agreement to exercise its rights  
2 and remedies under the Hedge Agreement(s) with such counterparty, notwithstanding the  
3 automatic stay provisions of Bankruptcy Code Section 362.<sup>13</sup>

4 **H. Secured Debt Requirements Related to the Interest Rate Hedges**

5 As a matter of prudent business practice, and consistent with PG&E's risk  
6 management policies and practices with respect to hedge counterparties, PG&E expects to  
7 require its counterparties to post collateral to secure their potential payment obligations to  
8 PG&E with respect to certain Interest Rate Hedges based on market movements adverse to  
9 the counterparty's position, which obligations, as indicated in footnote 10 above, may be  
10 quite substantial in view of the magnitude of the contemplated transactions. PG&E believes  
11 and represents that if PG&E so requires its hedge counterparties to post collateral, the  
12 counterparties will require PG&E to post collateral to secure PG&E's potential payment  
13 obligations to the counterparty with respect to Performance Interest Rate Hedges based on  
14 market movements adverse to PG&E's position.

15 For example, in the situation where the market interest rate rises above the rate  
16 used in a Performance Interest Rate Hedge, the counterparty may need to post collateral in  
17 an amount that represents all or a portion of the payment to PG&E that would be due if the  
18 hedge were to cash settle on the exposure measurement date. Conversely, in the situation  
19

20 (. . . continued)

21 Served Parties that it is declining to further consider or to determine the good faith of the  
22 proposed other institutional counterparty without a noticed hearing. Notwithstanding the  
23 foregoing, in addition to the Declarations on behalf of UBS and UBS Securities LLC and  
24 Lehman and Lehman Brothers Inc filed and served herewith, PG&E prior to the hearing on  
25 the Motion may file and serve good faith declarations of one or more proposed other  
26 institutional counterparties who are identified after the Motion is filed but prior to the  
27 hearing on the Motion, and PG&E will request at the hearing on the Motion that the Court  
28 include in its Order granting the Motion good faith determinations pursuant to Bankruptcy  
Code Section 364(e) with respect to such proposed other institutional counterparties.

<sup>13</sup> As will be provided in the Hedge Agreements pertaining to any Performance  
Interest Rate Hedges, time is of the essence in respect of any payment or collateral-posting  
obligations due from PG&E or any applicable counterparty. Accordingly, each of PG&E  
and any applicable counterparty shall be entitled to demand and receive prompt payment of  
collateral calls and termination payments in accordance with the provisions of the Hedge  
Agreements pertaining to Performance Interest Rate Hedges.

1 where the forward interest rate curve drops below the forward rate used in a Performance  
2 Interest Rate Hedge, PG&E may be required to post collateral that represents the payment to  
3 the counterparty that would be required if the hedge were to cash settle on the exposure  
4 measurement date.

5 As part of this Motion, PG&E seeks authorization to enter into Performance  
6 Interest Rate Hedges and corresponding Hedge Agreements with collateral-posting  
7 requirements, granting liens on collateral in favor of the counterparties, and obtaining liens  
8 on collateral from the counterparties (and, with respect to Straight Option Transactions,  
9 obtaining liens on collateral from the counterparties), as follows: PG&E would be required  
10 to post collateral from time to time to the extent of PG&E's potential payment obligations as  
11 a result of changes in applicable market interest rate parameters in any Performance Interest  
12 Rate Hedges, and would be entitled to the return of collateral from time to time to the extent  
13 that PG&E potential payment obligations dropped below the amount of collateral then  
14 posted as a result of changes in applicable market interest parameters.

15 Lehman, UBS or any other counterparty under any Interest Rate Hedge and  
16 corresponding Hedge Agreement would each have an uncollateralized exposure threshold  
17 (the "Counterparty Collateral Threshold"), as follows<sup>14</sup>: if a counterparty or a guarantor of  
18 such counterparty's obligations under the Hedge Agreement(s) with such counterparty (a  
19 "Guarantor") has a long-term senior unsecured debt rating (a "Rating") of at least "AAA"  
20 from Standard & Poor's ("S&P") and "Aaa" from Moody's Investor's Service Inc.  
21 ("Moody's"), the Counterparty Collateral Threshold for such counterparty shall be \$60  
22 million; if a counterparty or its Guarantor has a Rating of (or, after entering into a Hedge  
23

24 <sup>14</sup>Because PG&E has no potential future payment obligations under a Straight Option  
25 Transaction, there is no basis or need for PG&E to seek to incur secured debt or secured  
26 obligations under Section 364 in connection with a Straight Option Transaction. However,  
27 because the counterparty to a Straight Option Transaction does have payment obligations to  
28 PG&E if interest rates rise above the ceiling specified in the option, the counterparty to a  
Straight Option Transaction will be required to post collateral in favor of PG&E (subject to  
such counterparty's Counterparty Collateral Threshold) if interest rates rise sufficiently  
before the expiration or exercise of the option.

1 Agreement with PG&E, such counterparty's or such Guarantor's Rating is reduced to)  
2 anywhere from "AA+" through "AA-" from S&P and anywhere from "Aa1" through "Aa3"  
3 from Moody's, then the Counterparty Collateral Threshold for such counterparty shall be  
4 \$40 million; if a counterparty or its Guarantor has a Rating of (or, after entering into a Hedge  
5 Agreement with PG&E, such counterparty's or such Guarantor's Rating is reduced to)  
6 anywhere from "A+" through "A-" from S&P and anywhere from "A1" through "A3" from  
7 Moody's, then the Counterparty Collateral Threshold for such counterparty shall be \$20  
8 million; and if a counterparty and its Guarantor has a Rating of (or, after entering into a  
9 Hedge Agreement with PG&E, such counterparty's or such Guarantor's Rating is reduced  
10 to) below "A-" from S&P or below "A3" from Moody's, the Counterparty Collateral  
11 Threshold for such counterparty shall be zero.<sup>15</sup>

12 Based on PG&E's maximum obligations under the Interest Rate Hedges as  
13 discussed in Part II.F. above, PG&E by this Motion seeks authority to utilize up to \$90  
14 million in cash or cash equivalents for purposes of posting collateral with counterparties in  
15 connection with the Performance Interest Rate Hedges and corresponding Hedge  
16 Agreements.<sup>16</sup> PG&E expects to fund such collateral-posting requirements under the Hedge  
17 Agreements pertaining to Performance Interest Rate Hedges from its cash and cash  
18 equivalents on hand. Because the counterparty would have a senior lien on such collateral  
19 posted under any such Hedge Agreements, the obligations under such Hedge Agreements  
20 would constitute senior secured debt within the meaning of Bankruptcy Code Section 364(d)  
21 to the extent of the value of the collateral posted pursuant to such Hedge Agreements.

22  
23 <sup>15</sup>PG&E as a Chapter 11 debtor does not have a credit rating that would support any  
24 collateral threshold for PG&E under this collateral threshold matrix. PG&E therefore has a  
25 collateral threshold of zero and, as set forth above, must secure its potential payment  
26 obligations under the Performance Interest Rate Hedges and corresponding Hedge  
27 Agreements it enters into pursuant to this Motion.

28 <sup>16</sup>Such collateral posting obligation will include an "independent amount" that will be  
based upon assessment of risk and volatility with respect to any Performance Interest Rate  
Hedge and PG&E's ability to fulfill its potential payment obligations on such hedge in the  
event of a termination or default event with respect to PG&E. Consistent with the \$90  
million aggregate liability limitation, in no event can the total amount of collateral posted,  
including the "independent amount," exceed \$90 million.

## **I. Lien Of Indenture Trustee Under 1920 Indenture**

BNY Western Trust Company has a lien on substantially all of PG&E's real and personal property in its capacity as the successor trustee (the "Indenture Trustee") under that certain Indenture dated December 1, 1920 as amended to date (the "1920 Indenture"), which is the subject of that certain "Stipulation (I) Authorizing and Restricting Use of Cash Collateral Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §363 and Bankruptcy Rule 4001 and (II) Granting Adequate Protection Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§361 and 363" entered into between PG&E and the Trustee on May 9, 2001, as amended to date (the "Cash Collateral Stipulation") and approved by the Bankruptcy Court by its Order thereon dated the same date and by subsequent Orders approving the amendments to the Cash Collateral Stipulation.

PG&E has had discussions with the Indenture Trustee concerning this Motion and the use of cash collateral that it entails in order to provide security to the hedge counterparties pursuant to Bankruptcy Code Section 364(d). The Indenture Trustee has indicated that it has no objection to PG&E's filing of the Motion, but at the same time, pending its further consideration of the relief requested, the Indenture Trustee has reserved the right to object to the use of cash collateral and to require that PG&E carry its burden of establishing that the Indenture Trustee's interests are adequately protected.

### III.

**PG&E SHOULD BE AUTHORIZED TO ENTER INTO THE  
INTEREST RATE HEDGES PURSUANT TO BANKRUPTCY  
CODE SECTION 363(b)(1).**

In determining whether to authorize the use, sale or lease of property of the estate under Bankruptcy Code Section 363(b)(1), courts require a debtor to show that a sound business purpose justifies such actions, applying essentially the same “business judgment” test that is used in determining whether to approve the assumption or rejection of an executory contract. See, e.g., Stephens Indus., Inc. v. McClung, 789 F.2d 386, 389-90 (6th Cir. 1986); Comm. of Equity Sec. Holders v. Lionel Corp. (In re Lionel Corp.), 722 F.2d 1063, 1070-71 (2d Cir. 1983); 3 Lawrence P. King, Collier on Bankruptcy ¶363.02[1][g]

1 (15th ed. rev. 1998).

2 The burden of establishing a valid business purpose for the use of property of the  
3 estate outside the ordinary course of business falls upon the debtor. See In re Lionel Corp.,  
4 722 F.2d at 10-71. Once the debtor has articulated a rational business justification, however,  
5 a presumption attaches that the decision was made on an informed basis, in good faith and in  
6 the honest belief that the action was in the best interest of the debtor. See, e.g., Official  
7 Comm. of Subordinated Bondholders v. Integrated Res., Inc. (In re Integrated Res., Inc.),  
8 147 B.R. 650, 656 (S.D.N.Y. 1992) (citing Smith v. Van Gorkom, 488 A.2d 858, 872 (Del.  
9 1985)).

10 Sound business justifications support PG&E's decision to enter into the Interest  
11 Rate Hedges, as it deems appropriate, subject to the maximum \$90 million limit. As  
12 discussed above, authorizing PG&E to enter into Interest Rate Hedges (particularly while  
13 forward interest rates are relatively low) will provide a tool to help protect PG&E from  
14 potential increases in interest rates that might occur before its reorganization plan is  
15 implemented, thereby mitigating against potentially higher borrowing costs that may prevail  
16 when new debt is issued under PG&E's reorganization plan. This will accordingly benefit  
17 the bankruptcy estate and interested parties.

#### 18 IV.

#### 19 PG&E SHOULD BE AUTHORIZED TO INCUR SECURED 20 DEBT PURSUANT TO BANKRUPTCY CODE SECTION 364

21 Bankruptcy Code Section 364(d)(1) provides, in pertinent part, as follows:

22 "The Court, after notice and a hearing, may authorize the obtaining of  
23 credit or the incurring of debt secured by a senior or equal lien on  
property of the estate that is subject to a lien only if—

24 (A) the trustee is unable to obtain such credit otherwise; and

25 (B) there is adequate protection of the interest of the holder of the lien  
26 on the property of the estate on which such senior or equal lien is  
proposed to be granted." (11 U.S.C. §364(d)(1))

27 Thus, the only statutory prerequisites for obtaining credit on a senior secured  
28 basis is that the debtor be unable to obtain such credit otherwise, and that there be adequate



1 protection for the existing lienholder. This test is clearly satisfied in this case.

2 First, as discussed above, as a matter of prudent business practices, and consistent  
3 with PG&E's risk management policies concerning the obligations of its hedge  
4 counterparties, PG&E expects to require its counterparties to post collateral to secure at least  
5 a portion of their potential payment obligations to PG&E (subject to the Counterparty  
6 Collateral Threshold as described in Part II.H above) with respect to certain Interest Rate  
7 Hedges based on market movements adverse to the counterparty's position, and the  
8 counterparties in turn will demand and require PG&E to post collateral to secure its potential  
9 payment obligations to the counterparty with respect to Performance Interest Rate Hedges  
10 based on market movements adverse to PG&E's position. Thus, PG&E is effectively unable  
11 to engage in Performance Interest Rate Hedges unless it provides credit on a senior secured  
12 basis to its counterparties as described in Part II.H above.

13 Further, the interest of the only existing potential lienholder—the Indenture  
14 Trustee—is fully secured and adequately protected by a substantial equity cushion, as has  
15 been repeatedly demonstrated in previous motions filed by PG&E in this case. As set forth  
16 in the Debtor's Operating Report filed with this Court (Docket No. 13037), as of May 31,  
17 2003, the Debtor's total reported assets exceeded \$26 billion (including cash on hand of  
18 approximately \$3.5 billion), while the Debtor's outstanding obligations under the mortgage  
19 bond indenture, which are secured by substantially all of the Debtor's assets, aggregate  
20 approximately \$3 billion.

21 The existence of an "equity cushion" or a "value cushion"—the value of the  
22 collateral in excess of the amount of the secured claim at issue—"is the classic form of  
23 protection for a secured debt," and it is well settled that "the existence of an equity cushion,  
24 standing alone, can provide adequate protection." In re Mellor, 734 F.2d 1396, 1400 (9th  
25 Cir. 1984). Accord, Travelers Ins. Co. v. Plaza Family P'ship (In re Plaza Family P'ship),  
26 95 B.R. 166, 171 (E.D. Cal. 1989). In In re Mellor, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals held  
27 that a creditor's 20% value cushion constituted adequate protection as a matter of law, and  
28 reversed the lower court's finding to the contrary as "clearly erroneous." Pistole v. Mellor

1 (In re Mellor), 734 F.2d at 1401. The Court of Appeals also made clear that a cushion of  
2 less than 20% could constitute adequate protection, and cited with approval authorities  
3 holding that value cushions of 10% to 20% constituted adequate protection. Id. Here, by  
4 stark contrast, the Indenture Trust has an equity cushion of in excess of 700%. Thus, the  
5 Indenture Trustee's interest here is adequately protected by a substantial equity cushion,  
6 which will remain equal to many times the value of the Indenture Trustee's lien interest after  
7 giving affect to the maximum amount of collateral that PG&E seeks to grant a senior lien on  
8 pursuant to this Motion.

9 In determining whether to approve a transaction under Section 364, courts act in  
10 their "informed discretion." In re Ames Dep't Stores, Inc., 115 B.R. 34, 37 (Bankr.  
11 S.D.N.Y. 1990). Courts have established that such discretion is to be utilized to permit the  
12 debtor's reasonable business judgment to be exercised so long as the financing agreement  
13 does not contain terms that are primarily designed to benefit the secured party at the expense  
14 of the estate or leverage the bankruptcy process. Id. at 39-40; In re Simasko Prod. Co., 47  
15 B.R. 444, 449 (D. Colo. 1985). In undertaking such analysis, courts focus on the following  
16 principal factors: proposed terms that would tilt the conduct of the bankruptcy case;  
17 prejudice, at the early stages, to the powers and rights that the Bankruptcy Code confers for  
18 the benefit of all creditors; or terms that leverage the Chapter 11 process by preventing  
19 motions by parties in interest from being decided on their merits. In re Tenney Village Co.,  
20 Inc., 104 B.R. 562, 567-70 (Bankr. D.N.H. 1989); Norris Square Civic Ass'n v. St. Mary  
21 Hosp. (In re St. Mary Hosp.), 86 B.R. 393, 401-02 (Bankr. E.D. Pa. 1988); In re Crouse  
22 Group, Inc., 71 B.R. 544, 550-51 (Bankr. E.D. Pa. 1987).

23 Based on the foregoing, PG&E submits that the Court should authorize PG&E to  
24 incur post-petition secured debt of up to an aggregate of \$90 million outstanding at any one  
25 time in favor of the counterparties to Performance Interest Rate Hedges and the  
26 corresponding Hedge Agreements under Section 364(d), and to grant a senior lien in favor of  
27 such counterparties in cash or cash-equivalents of PG&E up to an aggregate of \$90 million  
28 at any one time.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

## CONCLUSION

For all of the foregoing reasons, PG&E respectfully requests that the Court make and enter its Order as follows:

1. Granting the Motion;

2. Authorizing PG&E to enter into and perform its obligations under the Interest Rate Hedges and the Hedge Agreements as described above, provided that the aggregate maximum amount of all of PG&E's liabilities thereunder does not exceed \$90 million;

3. Authorizing PG&E to post collateral and grant a senior lien on collateral consisting of cash or cash equivalents, up to a maximum aggregate amount of \$90 million outstanding at any one time, in favor of the applicable hedge counterparties to secure PG&E's obligations under Hedge Agreements pertaining to Performance Interest Rate Hedges pursuant to Bankruptcy Code Section 364(d)(1), as more particularly described above;

4. Determining that each of Lehman, UBS, and any other institutional counterparty who has submitted a satisfactory declaration as described in footnote 12 above, upon entering into one or more Hedge Agreements with PG&E pertaining to one or more Performance Interest Rate Hedges pursuant to this Motion, is extending credit to PG&E in good faith within the meaning of Bankruptcy Code Section 364(e); and

5. Granting such other and further relief as may be just and appropriate.

DATED: August 29, 2003

Respectfully,

HOWARD, RICE, NEMEROVSKI, CANADY,  
FALK & RABKIN  
A Professional Corporation

By: 

JEFFREY L. SCHAFER

Attorneys for Debtor and Debtor in Possession  
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

MOTION FOR AUTHORITY TO ENTER INTO HEDGING TRANSACTIONS RE PLAN FINANCING