

From: William Reckley *NRR*
To: Francine Goldberg *CIO*
Date: Tue, Jan 8, 2002 10:34 AM
Subject: Withholding of Information from Public
Place: NRR_INFOREVIEW

Shortly after the staff-level meeting last Thursday (Wayne Davis attended for OCIO), we received a draft SRM (attached) re: criteria for withholding information from public disclosure (due to sensitivities post-9/11). The offices will be working out a plan to respond to the SRM when it is officially sent down. Based on the draft SRM and discussions within NRR, I do not believe there is a technical concern with the release of the STS or other information we put on the Web site. I acknowledge that we did have a process issue in that we should have cross-checked to make sure we were being consistent with the same documents in ADAMS. We talked a little about this on Thursday and the draft SRM specifically calls for our processes to ensure consistent application of the criteria. Within the next couple of weeks (after the final SRM and initial discussions with OEDO, program offices, OCIO, etc on revised criteria), NRR will start its review of the ADAMS document types (the follow-up to our meeting of weeks ago with John Z on FSAR-related documents). We plan to address the STS (by asking them to be profiled as public) as one of the first steps in our ADAMS reviews.

CC: NRR_INFOREVIEW; Robert Dennig

2-91