

From: Christopher Grimes
To: John Zwolinski
Date: Fri, Oct 19, 2001 8:53 AM
Subject: Re: Fwd: Info Disclosure Guidance

> NRR

I share your sensitivity. My focus is the applicant documents that contain this kind of info. Our PMs need to know how to arrange to excise the sensitive info, not just from the web but from future correspondence until we can get a new paper/adams system in place.

>>> John Zwolinski 10/19/01 08:36AM >>>

NRR

MY sensitivity and may be no body else is.....I am concerned that licensees are providing risk insites that may or may not lead one to acquire a better understanding of key plant vulnerabilities and in that lite...I was trying to query is there some way licensees can docket info and afford the NRC staff the opportunity to review before it goes public via ADAMS.....This is also true for risk informed license amendments. Didn't mean to create any problems jsut raising our collective sensitivities.....Z

>>> Christopher Grimes 10/19/01 08:22AM >>>

NRR

We recently sent a set of SAMA questions to Dominion that requests detailed PRA information on plant vulnerabilities (sorry, Stu, already released). The questions don't contain sensitive information, but the answers will. Please advise the applicants to send RAI responses to these questions, and any other similar correspondence, directly to the PM to prevent them from being disclosed publically until we get further guidance. Several future renewal applicants have asked for guidance on the content of their renewal applications. They should be advised to prepare their applications according to the standard form and content and, prior to or upon submittal, we will determine whether any sensitive portions of the application will be withheld from public disclosure (if detailed guidance is not established by that time).

>>> Stewart Magruder 10/18/01 04:03PM >>>

Stu

Cindi,

I spoke with Mindi Landau and Bill Reckley (for John Z) about this. Guidance for the staff is on the way soon. In the interim, they suggested that if we think some information should not be released to the public, we should mark it sensitive when it is put in ADAMS. The attached guidance for the team that scrubbed the web site is the best we have for now. Bill Reckley is the NRR rep for the Web group. An effort to scrub the public ADAMS library will start soon.

For Chris, the recommendation is that we send the RAIs directly to the licensee and not to anyone else. We recognize that this material is still FOIAble but our lawyers are willing to deal with that issue.

Stu

>>> Cynthia Carpenter 10/17/01 03:08PM >>>

NRR

Stu

Chris has a really good question. Could you dig into this and see if there's some guidance that you all can give us?

CC: Cynthia Carpenter; David Matthews; Gene Imbro; Mindy Landau; Stewart Magruder; William Beckner; William Reckley

L-15