


From: John Grobe, 
To: Christine Lipa; Dave Passehl; Laura Dudes
Date: 8/4/03 2:48PM
Subject: Re: Question: Dwight Cates

I'm not sure that this answers the question....Dave, please discuss this with me.

Jack

>>> Dave Passehl 08/04/03 02:41PM >>>
Laura et. al.,

I spoke with the licensee today and got the following info:

According to Messrs. Dave Gudger (reg affairs manager) and Ken Byrd (engineering) and John Grabnar (manager design engineering) of the licensee's staff, the licensee completed a design basis validation review back in 1999 to address the 10 CFR 50.54(f) letter. A total of 85 condition reports (CRs) were initiated as a result of the licensee's review. Of the 85 CRs, 67 CRs have been evaluated for corrective actions (CAs) and 18 CRs have not been evaluated for CAs. Of the 18 CRs that have not been evaluated for CAs, 17 CRs were categorized as non-restart required and 1 CR was categorized as restart required.

A total of 116 corrective actions (CAs) remain open from the 67 CRs that were already evaluated. Of the 116 CAs, 96 are categorized as non-restart required and 20 are required for restart. The 96 non-restart CAs consist of system description updates (about 30) and revising the status of historical calculations (about 20) that no longer support the current licensing basis of the plant. The remainder 40 or so CAs are miscellaneous documentation discrepancies.

Regarding the 20 CAs required for restart, 14 of these 20 are associated with the ongoing electrical distribution work and the remaining 6 are miscellaneous mechanical system calculations (e.g., relief valve sizing, control room emergency ventilation system, etc.) The mechanical calculations have been prepared and reviewed by the licensee's contractor and are awaiting final owner acceptance review by Davis-Besse.

The licensee stated that they have prioritized the open condition reports and corrective actions to concentrate first on those involving restart required modifications.

Thanks,
David Passehl
630.829.9872

>>> John Grobe 08/04/03 08:03AM >>>
NOt right now. We'll try to get the answers.

>>> Laura Dudes 08/01/03 11:06AM >>>
Jack,

I received a question from Dwight Case (through OCA) regarding the number (and nature if possible) of open items remaining in response to the NRC's 1996 50.54(f) letter.

The question arises from a newspaper article (Cleveland Plain Dealer) dated June 23, 2003. Page 2 of the article references the 1996 design basis letter and indicates that as of February 2003, there were 200 remaining deficiencies that had not been resolved.

Questions:

1. How many of these items remain open?
2. If possible can you describe the nature of the items?

Thank you,

Laura A. Dudes

B13

ROPMS

Phone: (301) 415-1730