


.....
J. A. Savage

FOI/PA REQUEST

Case No: 2003-013A
Date Rec'd: 7-24-03
Action Of: Pool
Related Case: 2003-0310



July 24 2003

Carol Ann Reed

NRC FOIA Officer

NRC

Washington DC 20277-2904

Re: FOIA Appeal 2003-0310 (

Dear Ms. Reed:

This is an appeal under the Freedom of Information Act.

On June 9, 2003, I requested documents under the Freedom of Information Act. My request was assigned the following identification number: 0310. On July 21, 2003 in a document dated July 10, 2003, I received a response to my request in a letter signed by Carol Ann Reed in which one document was denied by William F. Kane, deputy executive director for reactor programs. I appeal the denial of my request.

The document, a June 13, 2002 Differing Professional Opinion authored by Ross Landsman, was withheld must be disclosed under the FOIA because it is a statement from staff and is not exempted under the "deliberative process" exemption. That exemption is meant for documents like private notes taken in meetings or notes to oneself or other staff asking questions . . .

July 24, 2003

Page 2

on outstanding issues. It is not meant to keep statements of staff that should otherwise be public out of the public eye. In addition, even if it was part of a deliberative process it is no longer under deliberation as the date to resolve the issues—June 13, 2002—was not kept and thus, the process was ended, although, apparently unofficially.

I am a reporter seeking information as part of news gathering and not for commercial use.



Thank you for your consideration of this appeal.

Attached are the original request and the relevant denial forms.

Sincerely,



J.A. Savage

NRC FORM 464 Part I (8-1998) 		U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	FOIA/PA 2003-0310	RESPONSE NUMBER 1
RESPONSE TO FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT (FOIA) / PRIVACY ACT (PA) REQUEST			RESPONSE TYPE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FINAL <input type="checkbox"/> PARTIAL	
			DATE JUL 10 2003	
REQUESTER J.A. Savage				
PART I. - INFORMATION RELEASED				
<input type="checkbox"/> No additional agency records subject to the request have been located.				
<input type="checkbox"/> Requested records are available through another public distribution program. See Comments section.				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> APPENDICES A Agency records subject to the request that are identified in the listed appendices are already available for public inspection and copying at the NRC Public Document Room.				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> APPENDICES B Agency records subject to the request that are identified in the listed appendices are being made available for public inspection and copying at the NRC Public Document Room.				
<input type="checkbox"/> Enclosed is information on how you may obtain access to and the charges for copying records located at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW, Washington, DC.				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> APPENDICES A,B Agency records subject to the request are enclosed.				
<input type="checkbox"/> Records subject to the request that contain information originated by or of interest to another Federal agency have been referred to that agency (see comments section) for a disclosure determination and direct response to you.				
<input type="checkbox"/> We are continuing to process your request.				
<input type="checkbox"/> See Comments.				
PART I.A - FEES				
AMOUNT * \$		<input type="checkbox"/> You will be billed by NRC for the amount listed.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None. Minimum fee threshold not met.	
		<input type="checkbox"/> You will receive a refund for the amount listed.	<input type="checkbox"/> Fees waived.	
* See comments for details				
PART I.B - INFORMATION NOT LOCATED OR WITHHELD FROM DISCLOSURE				
<input type="checkbox"/> No agency records subject to the request have been located.				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certain information in the requested records is being withheld from disclosure pursuant to the exemptions described in and for the reasons stated in Part II.				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> This determination may be appealed within 30 days by writing to the FOIA/PA Officer, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001. Clearly state on the envelope and in the letter that it is a "FOIA/PA Appeal."				
PART I.C COMMENTS (Use attached Comments continuation page if required)				
SIGNATURE - FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT AND PRIVACY ACT OFFICER Carol Ann Reed 				

**RESPONSE TO FREEDOM OF INFORMATION
ACT (FOIA) / PRIVACY ACT (PA) REQUEST**

2003-0310

JUL 10 2003

APPENDICES

C

PART IIA - APPLICABLE EXEMPTIONS

Records subject to the request that are described in the enclosed Appendices are being withheld in their entirety or in part under the Exemption No.(s) of the PA and/or the FOIA as indicated below (5 U.S.C. 552a and/or 5 U.S.C. 552(b)).

- ☐ Exemption 1: The withheld information is properly classified pursuant to Executive Order 12958.
- ☐ Exemption 2: The withheld information relates solely to the internal personnel rules and procedures of NRC.
- ☐ Exemption 3: The withheld information is specifically exempted from public disclosure by statute indicated.
- ☐ Sections 141-145 of the Atomic Energy Act, which prohibits the disclosure of Restricted Data or Formerly Restricted Data (42 U.S.C. 2161-2165).
- ☐ Section 147 of the Atomic Energy Act, which prohibits the disclosure of Unclassified Safeguards Information (42 U.S.C. 2167).
- ☐ 41 U.S.C., Section 253(b), subsection (m)(1), prohibits the disclosure of contractor proposals in the possession and control of an executive agency to any person under section 552 of Title 5, U.S.C. (the FOIA), except when incorporated into the contract between the agency and the submitter of the proposal.
- ☐ Exemption 4: The withheld information is a trade secret or commercial or financial information that is being withheld for the reason(s) indicated.
- ☐ The information is considered to be confidential business (proprietary) information.
- ☐ The information is considered to be proprietary because it concerns a licensee's or applicant's physical protection or material control and accounting program for special nuclear material pursuant to 10 CFR 2.790(d)(1).
- ☐ The information was submitted by a foreign source and received in confidence pursuant to 10 CFR 2.790(d)(2).
- ☒ Exemption 5: The withheld information consists of interagency or intraagency records that are not available through discovery during litigation. Applicable privileges:
- ☒ Deliberative process: Disclosure of predecisional information would tend to inhibit the open and frank exchange of ideas essential to the deliberative process. Where records are withheld in their entirety, the facts are inextricably intertwined with the predecisional information. There also are no reasonably segregable factual portions because the release of the facts would permit an indirect inquiry into the predecisional process of the agency.
- ☐ Attorney work-product privilege. (Documents prepared by an attorney in contemplation of litigation)
- ☐ Attorney-client privilege. (Confidential communications between an attorney and his/her client)
- ☐ Exemption 6: The withheld information is exempted from public disclosure because its disclosure would result in a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.
- ☐ Exemption 7: The withheld information consists of records compiled for law enforcement purposes and is being withheld for the reason(s) indicated.
- ☐ (A) Disclosure could reasonably be expected to interfere with an enforcement proceeding (e.g., it would reveal the scope, direction, and focus of enforcement efforts, and thus could possibly allow recipients to take action to shield potential wrongdoing or a violation of NRC requirements from investigators).
- ☐ (C) Disclosure would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.
- ☐ (D) The information consists of names of individuals and other information the disclosure of which could reasonably be expected to reveal identities of confidential sources.
- ☐ (E) Disclosure would reveal techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions, or guidelines that could reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law.
- ☐ (F) Disclosure could reasonably be expected to endanger the life or physical safety of an individual.
- ☐ OTHER (Specify)

PART IIB - DENYING OFFICIALS

Pursuant to 10 CFR 9.25(g), 9.25(h), and/or 9.65(b) of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission regulations, it has been determined that the information withheld is exempt from production or disclosure, and that its production or disclosure is contrary to the public interest. The person responsible for the denial are those officials identified below as denying officials and the FOIA/PA Officer for any denials that may be appealed to the Executive Director for Operations (EDO).

DENYING OFFICIAL	TITLE/OFFICE	RECORDS DENIED	APPELLATE OFFICIAL		
			EDO	SECY	IG
William F. Kane	Deputy Executive Director for Reactor Programs	Appendix C		✓	

Appeal must be made in writing within 30 days of receipt of this response. Appeals should be mailed to the FOIA/Privacy Act Officer, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, for action by the appropriate appellate official(s). You should clearly state on the envelope and letter that it is a "FOIA/PA Appeal."

**APPENDIX A
RECORDS ALREADY PUBLICLY AVAILABLE**

<u>NO.</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>ACCESSION NUMBER</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION/(PAGE COUNT)</u>
1.	9/20/00	ML010580158	Office of the Inspector General, Review of NRC's Differing Professional View/Differing Professional Opinion Program, OIG-00-A-07 (33 pages)

**APPENDIX B
RECORDS BEING RELEASED IN THEIR ENTIRETY**

<u>NO.</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION/(PAGE COUNT)</u>
1.	5/23/01	Memorandum to J Dyer from R Landsman, Subject: Differing Professional View Concerning the Startup of the Dry Cask Storage Loading Campaign at Dresden Units 2 and 3 (3 pages)
2.	4/30/02	Memorandum to R Landsman from J Dyer, Subject: Resolution of Differing Professional View on Startup of Cask Storage Loading Campaign at Dresden Units 2 and 3 (17 pages)

APPENDIX C
RECORDS BEING WITHHELD IN THEIR ENTIRETY

<u>NO.</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION/(PAGE COUNT)/EXEMPTIONS</u>
1.	6/13/02	Ross Landsman Differing Professional Opinion Concerning the Dry Cask Storage Campaign at Dresden, Units 2 and 3 (4 pages) EX. 5