

## **SAFEGUARDS INFORMATION**

September 25, 2003

Mr. J. A. Stall  
Senior Vice President, Nuclear and Chief Nuclear Officer  
Florida Power and Light Company  
700 Universe Boulevard  
Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420

SUBJECT: ORDERS MODIFYING LICENSES FOR REVISED DESIGN BASIS THREAT  
AND FOR TRAINING AND PHYSICAL FITNESS ENHANCEMENTS  
APPLICABLE TO SECURITY FORCE PERSONNEL (TAC NOS. MB9336,  
MB9337, MB9342, MB9353, MB9354, MB9440, MB9441, MB9446, MB9457  
AND MB9458)

Dear Mr. Stall:

On April 29, 2003, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or the Commission) issued Orders modifying the operating licenses for St. Lucie Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, Seabrook, Unit 1, and Turkey Point, Unit Nos. 3 and 4 to: (1) require compliance with a revised Design Basis Threat (DBT); (2) implement new security force personnel training and physical fitness requirements; and (3) prescribe compensatory measures related to fitness-for-duty enhancements applicable to security force personnel.

The Orders required that licensees respond to the Commission within thirty-five (35) days. By letters dated June 3, 2003, you submitted a response to the Orders for St. Lucie Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, Seabrook, Unit 1, and Turkey Point, Unit Nos. 3 and 4. In your response to the Orders, you requested that the NRC provide clarification of five DBT-related issues described in the safeguards attachment to a letter from Mr. Colvin, President and CEO of the Nuclear Energy Institute, to Chairman Diaz dated May 16, 2003. You specifically requested that the NRC provide further clarification associated with the NRC's use of force-on-force testing upon implementation of the revised safeguards contingency plans, security plans and security officer training and qualification plans. You requested a timely response from the Commission on this matter, since the Commission's clarifications may affect the manner of your compliance with the Orders.

<p><b>NOTICE:</b> Enclosure 1 to this letter contains Safeguards Information. Upon separation from Enclosure 1, this letter and Enclosure 2 are DECONTROLLED.</p>
---

**SAFEGUARDS INFORMATION**

By letter dated July 18, 2003, Chairman Diaz replied to Mr. Colvin's letter, providing the Commission's response and clarification on the five DBT-related issues. In response to your request, a copy of Chairman Diaz's July 18, 2003, letter, including the safeguards attachment, is provided as Enclosure 1. Furthermore, I am including a list of guiding principles (Enclosure 2) that the NRC staff intends to follow when it reviews the revised security plans that will be submitted in response to the April 29, 2003, Orders. This information was previously shared with members of the Nuclear Energy Institute, and other industry representatives, during a meeting held on August 28, 2003.

Finally, in your letters dated June 3, 2003, you stated your "understanding that the Commission intends to exercise enforcement discretion to accommodate issues which may arise as licensees, in good faith, take reasonable actions to implement the specific requirements of this Order." You further stated that you understood that the Commission would exercise enforcement discretion for "the period necessary to resolve such issues," and to integrate the requirements of these Orders with the Order issued February 25, 2002, as well as with other pertinent regulatory requirements, and safeguards contingency plans, security plans and security officer training and qualification plans. The NRC staff is currently evaluating the enforcement-related aspects of implementing the Orders to determine the extent to which enforcement discretion is appropriate, and the NRC intends to clarify its policy on this matter in separate correspondence.

The NRC staff is reviewing your response to the Orders, and will reply to you with its determination of whether or not your response met the requirements of the Orders in separate correspondence.

Please contact Robert J. Fretz at 301-415-1324, if you have any other questions on these issues.

Sincerely,

***/RA by ELeeds for/***

Ledyard B. Marsh, Director  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-335, 50-389, 50-443, 50-250 and 50-251

Enclosures: As stated

cc w/o encls: See next page

By letter dated July 18, 2003, Chairman Diaz replied to Mr. Colvin's letter, providing the Commission's response and clarification on the five DBT-related issues. In response to your request, a copy of Chairman Diaz's July 18, 2003, letter, including the safeguards attachment, is provided as Enclosure 1. Furthermore, I am including a list of guiding principles (Enclosure 2) that the NRC staff intends to follow when it reviews the revised security plans that will be submitted in response to the April 29, 2003, Orders. This information was previously shared with members of the Nuclear Energy Institute, and other industry representatives, during a meeting held on August 28, 2003.

Finally, in your letters dated June 3, 2003, you stated your "understanding that the Commission intends to exercise enforcement discretion to accommodate issues which may arise as licensees, in good faith, take reasonable actions to implement the specific requirements of this Order." You further stated that you understood that the Commission would exercise enforcement discretion for "the period necessary to resolve such issues," and to integrate the requirements of these Orders with the Order issued February 25, 2002, as well as with other pertinent regulatory requirements, and safeguards contingency plans, security plans and security officer training and qualification plans. The NRC staff is currently evaluating the enforcement-related aspects of implementing the Orders to determine the extent to which enforcement discretion is appropriate, and the NRC intends to clarify its policy on this matter in separate correspondence.

The NRC staff is reviewing your response to the Orders, and will reply to you with its determination of whether or not your response met the requirements of the Orders in separate correspondence.

Please contact Robert J. Fretz at 301-415-1324, if you have any other questions on these issues.

Sincerely,  
**/RA by ELeeds for/**  
 Ledyard B. Marsh, Director  
 Division of Licensing Project Management  
 Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-335, 50-389, 50-443, 50-250 and 50-251

Enclosures: As stated

cc w/o encls: See next page

**DISTRIBUTION:**

PUBLIC	RFretz	DLPM Project Managers
PD Reading Files	VNerses	DLPM Licensing Assistants
TMarsh	CRaynor	A. Platchek, Region I
CHolden	DLPM Project Directors	Loren R. Plisco, Region II
JClifford	DLPM Section Chiefs	ACRS
OGC		

\*\* Concurrence via e-mail dated 8/26/03

\* See previous concurrence

ADAMS Accession Number: ML032170380

OFFICE	PDI-2/LPM	PDI-2/LPM*	PDI-2/LA	PDI-2/SC*
NAME	RFretz	VNerses	CRaynor	JClifford
DATE	09/25/03	09/16/03	09/25/03	09/16/03
OFFICE	OGC**	NSIR/DNS*	PDI/D*	DLPM/D
NAME	JGoldberg	GTracy	CHolden	ELeeds for TMarsh
DATE	08/26/03	09/17/03	09/16/03	09/25/03

**OFFICIAL RECORD COPY**

**LETTER FROM NILS DIAZ, NRC CHAIRMAN,  
TO MR. JOE COLVIN, PRESIDENT AND CEO, NUCLEAR ENERGY INSTITUTE,  
DATED JULY 18, 2003**

# **U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION STAFF GUIDING PRINCIPLES AND CRITERIA FOR CONDUCTING THE REVIEW OF REVISED PHYSICAL SECURITY PLANS, SAFEGUARDS CONTINGENCY PLANS, AND TRAINING AND QUALIFICATION PLANS**

## **PURPOSE:**

To inform affected licensees of the criteria the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or the Commission) staff intends to adhere to in reviewing revisions made to site-specific Physical Security Plans, Safeguards Contingency Plans, and Training and Qualification Plans as a result of the nuclear security and safeguards-related Commission Orders dated February 25, 2002, January 7, 2003, and April 29, 2003.

## **DISCUSSION:**

The information presented herein is intended to provide general information to licensees regarding the NRC staff's review criteria, but is not intended to be all-inclusive. The NRC staff is currently developing detailed criteria against which the revised site-specific plans will be reviewed for acceptance and approval. The NRC staff is also coordinating this effort with the Nuclear Energy Institute and industry working groups. Final review guidance will be developed no later than December 31, 2003.

## **GUIDING PRINCIPLES:**

1. Revised plans must comprehensively comply with all requirements of Orders (February 25, 2002; January 7, 2003; and April 29, 2003) except to the extent that a more stringent requirement is set forth in the licensee's current plans.
2. Revised plans must comprehensively comply with Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), Section 73.55, in particular, the plans must comply with Section 73.55, paragraphs (b) through (h) unless other alternative measures are explicitly approved by the NRC staff.
3. Measures other than those in 10 CFR 73.55, paragraphs (b) through (h), may be approved by the staff if, and only if, they meet the objective of "high assurance" and provide a level of protection equivalent to that provided by paragraphs (b) through (h).
4. Upon NRC approval of the revised plans and their full implementation, licensees may seek relaxation or rescission, in whole or in part, of the Interim Compensatory Measures (ICMs) provided in the Orders dated February 25, 2002.
5. Subsequent to NRC approval of the revised plans, licensees may make changes to their revised plans, without prior NRC approval, in accordance with 10 CFR 50.54(p), *i.e.*, if the changes would not decrease the effectiveness of the plans (plan changes may also be made in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 50.90, and 73.5).
6. All licensee actions and activities which the staff deems essential, necessary, or important in complying with the Orders or 10 CFR 73.55 must be included in the plans and, therefore, will be enforceable.

ADDITIONAL GUIDANCE:

Consistent with guiding principles 1 and 2:

- Pursuant to 10 CFR 73.55 and the DBT Orders, licensees must develop and implement an effective site-specific security system and organization that will protect against the threat capabilities of the revised DBT, satisfy the requirements of all the Orders, and comply with 10 CFR 73.55, paragraphs (b) through (h).
- Each plan should clearly and specifically identify each Commission requirement that is to be complied with, or is intended to be satisfied, by each site-specific measure, procedure, policy, or action addressed in the plan.

Consistent with guiding principles 3 and 6:

- While a licensee may identify a more efficient or effective method for satisfying a specific requirement of the Orders or 10 CFR 73.55, paragraphs (b) through (h), such site-specific measures, procedures, policies, or actions that are intended to be an alternative to a specific requirement of the Orders or 10 CFR 73.55, paragraphs (b) through (h), should be clearly and explicitly identified in each plan.
- The NRC may authorize a licensee to provide alternative measures for protection against radiological sabotage other than those required by the Orders or 10 CFR 73.55, paragraphs (b) through (h), if:
  - a. the licensee demonstrates that the proposed alternative measure has the same high-assurance objective specified by 10 CFR 73.55(a),
  - b. the overall level of system performance provides protection against radiological sabotage equivalent to that which would be provided by compliance with the specific requirement of the Orders or 10 CFR 73.55, paragraphs (b) through (h), for which the measure is an alternative, and
  - c. the plan contains sufficient detailed information to support an NRC staff conclusion that the proposed alternative measure is consistent with a. and b. above through satisfying the intent of the specific requirement for which it is an alternative.
- Where a licensee does not clearly and explicitly identify a site-specific measure, procedure, policy, or action as a proposed alternative to a specific Commission requirement, the NRC staff will conduct its review on the basis that the intent of the licensee is to comply with the specific requirement as written in the Orders or 10 CFR 73.55, paragraphs (b) through (h).

Consistent with guiding principle 4 and 5:

- Upon NRC approval of the revised plans, or no later than October 29, 2004, licensees must, in accordance with the DBT Order, implement all site-specific measures, procedures, policies, actions, and alternatives, as written in each plan.
- The NRC staff intends to provide timely information to all licensees regarding the possible use of enforcement discretion at a later date.

SUMMARY:

The NRC intends to review and approve all revised site-specific plans between April 29 and October 29, 2004. If, for whatever reason, the NRC has not yet approved a revised site-specific plan by the full-implementation date, each licensee is, nonetheless, required to implement the revised plans in accordance with the DBT Order, no later than October 29, 2004.

Florida Power and Light Company

**ST. LUCIE PLANT  
TURKEY POINT PLANT**

cc:

Mr. J. A. Stall  
Senior Vice President, Nuclear and  
Chief Nuclear Officer  
Florida Power and Light Company  
P.O. Box 14000  
Juno Beach, Florida 33408-0420

Senior Resident Inspector  
St. Lucie Plant  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
P.O. Box 6090  
Jensen Beach, Florida 34957

Craig Fugate, Director  
Division of Emergency Preparedness  
Department of Community Affairs  
2740 Centerview Drive  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2100

M. S. Ross, Attorney  
Florida Power & Light Company  
P.O. Box 14000  
Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420

Terry O. Jones, Site Vice President  
Turkey Point Nuclear Plant  
Florida Power and Light Company  
9760 SW. 344th Street  
Florida City, FL 33035

Douglas Anderson  
County Administrator  
St. Lucie County  
2300 Virginia Avenue  
Ft. Pierce, Florida 34982

Mr. R. E. Rose  
Plant General Manager  
St. Lucie Nuclear Plant  
6351 South Ocean Drive  
Jensen Beach, Florida 34957

Walter Parker  
Licensing Manager  
Turkey Point Nuclear Plant  
9760 SW. 344th Street  
Florida City, FL 33035

Mr. William A. Passetti, Chief  
Department of Health  
Bureau of Radiation Control  
2020 Capital Circle, SE, Bin #C21  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1741

Mr. William Jefferson, Jr.  
Site Vice President  
St. Lucie Nuclear Plant  
6351 South Ocean Drive  
Jensen Beach, Florida 34957

Attorney General  
Department of Legal Affairs  
The Capitol  
Tallahassee, Florida 32304

Senior Resident Inspector  
Turkey Point Nuclear Plant  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
9762 SW. 344<sup>th</sup> Street  
Florida City, Florida 33035

Michael O. Pearce  
Plant General Manager  
Turkey Point Nuclear Plant  
Florida Power and Light Company  
9760 SW. 344th Street  
Florida City, FL 33035

County Manager  
Miami-Dade County  
111 NW 1 Street, 29th Floor  
Miami, Florida 33128

Mr. Terry L. Pastterson  
Licensing Manager  
St. Lucie Nuclear Plant  
6351 South Ocean Drive  
Jensen Beach, Florida 34957



Florida Power and Light Company

**ST. LUCIE PLANT  
TURKEY POINT PLANT**

cc:

Vice President, Nuclear Operations Support  
P.O. Box 14000  
Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420

Mr. Rajiv S. Kundalkar  
Vice President - Nuclear Engineering  
Florida Power & Light Company  
P.O. Box 14000  
Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420

Mr. J. Kammel  
Radiological Emergency  
Planning Administrator  
Department of Public Safety  
6000 SE. Tower Drive  
Stuart, Florida 34997

Plant General Manager  
St. Lucie Nuclear Plant  
6351 South Ocean Drive  
Jensen Beach, Florida 34957

Seabrook Station, Unit No. 1

cc:

Mr. J. A. Stall  
Senior Vice President, Nuclear and  
Chief Nuclear Officer  
Florida Power & Light Company  
P.O. Box 14000  
Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420

Mr. Peter Brann  
Assistant Attorney General  
State House, Station #6  
Augusta, ME 04333

Resident Inspector  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Seabrook Nuclear Power Station  
P.O. Box 1149  
Seabrook, NH 03874

Town of Exeter  
10 Front Street  
Exeter, NH 03823

Regional Administrator, Region I  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
475 Allendale Road  
King of Prussia, PA 19406

Office of the Attorney General  
One Ashburton Place, 20th Floor  
Boston, MA 02108

Board of Selectmen  
Town of Amesbury  
Town Hall  
Amesbury, MA 01913

Mr. Dan McElhinney  
Federal Emergency Management Agency  
Region I  
J.W. McCormack P.O. &  
Courthouse Building, Room 401  
Boston, MA 02109

Mr. Jack Devine  
Polestar Applied Technology  
One First Street, Suite 4  
Los Altos, CA 94019

Mr. Stephen McGrail, Director  
ATTN: James Muckerheide  
Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency  
400 Worcester Road  
Framingham, MA 01702-5399

Philip T. McLaughlin, Attorney General  
Steven M. Houran, Deputy Attorney  
General  
33 Capitol Street  
Concord, NH 03301

Mr. Donald Bliss, Director  
New Hampshire Office of Emergency  
Management  
State Office Park South  
107 Pleasant Street  
Concord, NH 03301

Mr. Daniel G. Roy  
Nuclear Training Manager  
Seabrook Station  
FPL Energy Seabrook, LLC  
P.O. Box 300  
Seabrook, NH 03874

Mr. Gene F. St. Pierre  
Station Director  
Seabrook Station  
FPL Energy Seabrook, LLC  
P.O. Box 300  
Seabrook, NH 03874

Mr. M. S. Ross, Attorney  
Florida Power & Light Company  
P.O. Box 14000  
Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420

Seabrook Station, Unit No. 1

cc:

Mr. Rajiv S. Kundalkar  
Vice President - Nuclear Engineering  
Florida Power & Light Company  
P.O. Box 14000  
Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420

Mr. Mark E. Warner, Site Vice President  
c/o James M. Peschel  
Seabrook Station  
PO Box 300  
Seabrook, NH 03874