

MANUAL HARD COPY DISTRIBUTION  
DOCUMENT TRANSMITTAL 2003-30998

---

USER INFORMATION:

---

Name: ~~GERLACH ROSE M~~ EMPL#: 28401 CA#: 0363

Address: ~~NUCSA2~~

Phone#: ~~254-3194~~

TRANSMITTAL INFORMATION:

---

TO: ~~GERLACH ROSE M~~ 06/27/2003

LOCATION: DOCUMENT CONTROL DESK

FROM: NUCLEAR RECORDS DOCUMENT CONTROL CENTER (NUCSA-2)

THE FOLLOWING CHANGES HAVE OCCURRED TO THE HARDCOPY OR ELECTRONIC MANUAL ASSIGNED TO YOU:

136 - 136 CORE THERMAL HYDRAULICS ENGINEER

REMOVE MANUAL TABLE OF CONTENTS DATE:

ADD MANUAL TABLE OF CONTENTS DATE: 06/26/2003

CATEGORY: PROCEDURES TYPE: EP

ID: EP-PS-136

ADD: REV: 0

UPDATES FOR HARD COPY MANUALS WILL BE DISTRIBUTED WITHIN 5 DAYS IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT PROCEDURES. PLEASE MAKE ALL CHANGES AND ACKNOWLEDGE COMPLETE IN YOUR NIMS INBOX UPON RECEIPT OF HARD COPY. FOR ELECTRONIC MANUAL USERS, ELECTRONICALLY REVIEW THE APPROPRIATE DOCUMENTS AND ACKNOWLEDGE COMPLETE IN YOUR NIMS INBOX.

A045



# **EMERGENCY PLAN POSITION SPECIFIC PROCEDURE**



# PROCEDURE COVER SHEET

PPL SUSQUEHANNA, LLC		NUCLEAR DEPARTMENT PROCEDURE	
CORE THERMAL HYDRAULICS ENGINEER: Emergency Plan-Position Specific Instruction			EP-PS-136 Revision 0 Page 1 of 3
<b>QUALITY CLASSIFICATION:</b> ( ) QA Program    (X) Non-QA Program		<b>APPROVAL CLASSIFICATION:</b> ( ) Plant    ( ) Non-Plant (X) Instruction	
EFFECTIVE DATE: <u>6-26-2003</u> PERIODIC REVIEW FREQUENCY: <u>Two Years</u> PERIODIC REVIEW DUE DATE: <u>6-26-2005</u>			
<b>RECOMMENDED REVIEWS:</b> ALL			
Procedure Owner: <u>Nuclear Emergency Planning</u> Responsible Supervisor: <u>Manager-Station Engineering</u> Responsible FUM: <u>Supervisor-Nuclear Emer. Planning</u> Responsible Approver: <u>V.P.-Nuclear Operations</u>			

**CORE THERMAL HYDRAULICS ENGINEER:**      Emergency Plan Position Specific Procedure

---

<b>WHEN:</b>	When the TSC is activated
<b>HOW NOTIFIED:</b>	Paged/Telenotifications System
<b>WHERE TO REPORT:</b>	TSC
<b>REPORT TO:</b>	Technical Support Coordinator

**OVERALL DUTY:**

---

Provide fuel assessment and status information to the Technical Support Coordinator in support of dose projections, emergency classifications, protective action recommendation, and information dissemination.

<b><u>MAJOR TASKS:</u></b>	<b><u>TAB:</u></b>	<b><u>REVISION:</u></b>
----------------------------	--------------------	-------------------------

---

Perform fuel damage calculations in support of dose projections, emergency classifications, protective action recommendation process, and information dissemination.

TAB A

0

---

**SUPPORTING INFORMATION:****TAB:**

Fuel Damage Worksheet	Tab 1
Core Damage Estimate I (Primary System Breach Inside Containment)	Tab 2
Core Damage Estimate II (Small or No Primary System Breach Inside Containment)	Tab 3

---

**REFERENCES:**

- NRC RTM 92, Nuclear Regulatory Commission Response Team Manual
- SSES Emergency Plan
- NUREG 0654, Planning Standards and Evaluation Criteria
- NUREG 0731, Guidelines for Utility Management Structure and Technical Resources, Sept. 1980
- NUREG 0696, Functional Criteria for Emergency Response Facilities
- NEDO 22215, Procedure for the Determination of the Extent of Core Damage Under Accident Conditions

**MAJOR TASK:**

---

Perform fuel damage estimates in support of dose projections, emergency classifications, protective action recommendation process, and information dissemination.

**SPECIFIC TASK:**

**HOW:**

---

1. Notify the Technical Support Coordinator, the RPC, the TSC Dose Calculator, the Dose Assessment Supervisor in the EOF, and the Engineering Support Supervisor in the EOF of initial fuel damage estimate.

- 1a. Determine the amount and type of fuel damage using the Core Damage Estimate "HELP" tabs and complete the Fuel Damage Worksheet.

**NOTE:**

Core Damage Estimate I should be used when there is a primary system breach inside containment. Core Damage Estimate II should be used when there is a small or no primary system breach inside containment.

---

**HELP**

**CORE DAMAGE ESTIMATE I**  
**(Primary System Breach Inside**  
**Containment)**  
**See TAB 2**

---

---

**HELP**

**CORE DAMAGE ESTIMATE II**  
**(Small or No Primary System Breach**  
**Inside Containment)**  
**See TAB 3**

---

---

**HELP**

**FUEL DAMAGE WORKSHEET**  
**See TAB 1**

---

**NOTE:**

Work with the responding engineering staff in the TSC as required to complete Fuel Damage Worksheet.

<b>SPECIFIC TASK:</b>	<b>HOW:</b>
2. Refine the fuel damage estimate as more data becomes available. Provide this information to the Technical Support Coordinator, the RPC, the TSC Dose Calculator, EOF Engineering Support Supervisor, and EOF Dose Assessment Staffer.	2a. Use the instructions located in the Core Damage Estimate "HELP" tabs. 2b. If PASS data becomes available, contact Nuclear Fuels Engineering to perform a fuel damage calculation and transmit PASS data.
3. Ensure Dose Assessment Staffer and TSC Dose Calculator has the fuel damage estimates and other needed information required to perform Dose Calculations.	3a. Work with the TSC Engineering Staff to obtain needed information.
4. Provide technical information to the NRC Response Team and MOC Technical Briefers as required.	

## FUEL DAMAGE WORKSHEET

### General

The following information should be kept current at all times after facility activation. This information is used by Dose Calculators to perform dose projections for support of Protective Action Recommendations required within fifteen minutes of a General Emergency classification.

Engineering Support is required to provide an estimate of percent fuel damage to the TSC Dose Calculator and the EOF Dose Assessment Staffer in a timely manner, allowing sufficient time for a dose projection to be performed.

The following information is the best estimate possible within the time and using available data. If no information is provided to the Dose Calculator, default values will be used to determine dose projections. This may result in more severe conditions prompting a non-conservative protective action recommendation.

### 1.0 ISOTOPIC DETERMINATION: (choose one)

<b>UNKNOWN MIX</b> (Containment Rad <5R hr)	
<b>NORMAL COOLANT LEAK</b> (Containment Rad <5R/hr)	
<b>LOCA No Fuel Damage</b> (Iodine Spike, Containment Rad <10R/hr)	
<b>LOCA CLAD FAILURE</b> (Containment Rad 1.5E+02 - 5.0E+04 R/hr)	
<b>LOCA FUEL MELT</b> (Containment Rad 8.0E+03 - 1.0E+06 R/hr)	
<b>FUEL HANDLING ACCIDENT</b>	



**2.0 CORE CONDITION: (choose one)**

<b>Gap Release (Core uncovered for 15-30 minutes)</b>	
<b>In Vessel Severe Damage (Core Uncovered &gt;30 Minutes)</b>	
<b>Vessel Melt Through</b>	

## DOSE PROJECTION WORKSHEET

### GENERAL:

After initial activation, the following information should be kept current at all times. This information is used by the Health Physics Dose Calculator to perform dose projections in support of Protective Action Recommendations required to be made within 15 minutes of a General Emergency classification.

The Engineering Support function will provide the following information to the Dose Calculator in a timely manner to allow sufficient time to perform a dose projection.

The following information is the best estimate possible considering the time and information available. If the information is not provided, the Dose Calculator will use default values which may yield dose projections much more severe than actual conditions prompting a non-conservative Protective Action Recommendation.

### 1.0 GENERAL INFORMATION

RX SHUTDOWN TIME: _____	RELEASE START TIME: _____	RELEASE STOP TIME: _____
-------------------------	---------------------------	--------------------------

### 2.0 TYPE OF RELEASE (select one)

MONITORED: _____	UNMONITORED: _____	MONITORED & UNMONITORED: _____
------------------	--------------------	-----------------------------------

**3.0 DBA ACCIDENT TYPES [ISOTOPIC DETERMINATION (CHOOSE ONE)]**

UNKNOWN MIX (Containment Rad <5 R/hr)		
NORMAL COOLANT LEAK (Containment Rad <5 R/hr)		
LOCA (No Fuel Damage, Iodine Spike, Containment Rad <10 R/hr)		
LOCA CLAD FAILURE (Containment Rad 1.5E+02 - 5.0E+04 R/hr)		_____ % (Give Estimate)
LOCA FUEL MELT (Containment Rad 8.0E+03 - 1.0E+06 R/hr)		_____ % (Give Estimate)
FUEL HANDLING ACCIDENT		

**NOTE:** Quick methods to determine the isotopic mix/type of fuel damage and estimate percentages are located in HELP tabs entitled Core Damage Estimate I (Primary System Breach Inside Containment) and Core Damage Estimate II (Small or no Primary System Breach Inside Containment).

**4.0 RELEASE TYPES (Select release type and go to release selected)**

**4.1 Drywell Release (circle one in each column)**

Core Condition (Choose one, provide estimate in %)	Sprays	Hold-up Time	Treatment	Release Rate
Gap Release (Core uncovered for 15-30 minutes)	On	<1 Hour	Filtered	100%/hr
In Vessel Severe Damage (Core uncovered > 30 minutes)	Off	2-12 Hours	Unfiltered	100%/day
Vessel Melt Through		24 Hours		Design (1%/day)

**4.2 Wetwell Release (circle one in each column)**

Core Condition (Choose one, provide estimate in %)	Water Conditions	Hold-up Time	Treatment	Release Rate
Gap Release (Core uncovered for 15-30 minutes)	Subcooled	<1 Hour	Filtered	100%/hr
In Vessel Severe Damage (Core uncovered > 30 minutes)	Saturated	2-12 Hours	Unfiltered	100%/day
Vessel Melt Through		24 Hours		Design (1%/day)

4.3 Secondary Containment Bypass Release (circle one in each column)

Core Condition (Choose one, provide estimate in %)	Treatment	Release Rate
Gap Release (Core uncovered for 15-30 minutes)	Filtered	100%/hr
In Vessel Severe Damage (Core uncovered > 30 minutes)	Unfiltered	100%/day
Vessel Melt Through		Design (1%/day)

4.4 Spent Fuel Pool Release (circle one in each column)

Core Condition (Choose one)	Accident Type	Hold-up Time	Treatment	Release Rate
Gap Release (Core uncovered for 15-30 minutes)	Zircaloy Fire in One 3 Month Batch	<1 Hr	Filtered/ Sprays on	100%/hr
In Vessel Severe Damage (Core uncovered > 30 minutes)	Gap Release from One 3 Month Batch	2-12 Hrs.	Unfiltered/ Sprays off	100%/day
Vessel Melt Through	Gap Release from 15 Batches			

**CORE DAMAGE ESTIMATE I**  
(Primary System Breach Inside Containment)

**NOTE:** It is important to quickly provide a status of the present situation and a prognosis on whether the situation is expected to degrade, improve, or remain the same, (i.e., within 5 to 10 minutes of a change in plant status).

**1.0 INDICATORS USED**

**1.1 Containment Radiation**

Use Attachment 1, A, B, or C, as applicable, to determine the amount and type of fuel damage using containment radiation monitors. These figures were taken from the US NRC Response Technical Manual, RTM-96. Obtain the containment radiation levels from SPDS or the Control Room indicators.

**NOTE (1):** Correction for the pre-release background radiation levels may be required as listed below.

**Gap or In-Vessel Melt** - The background radiation monitor value is normally low ( $\leq 4$  R/hr) relative to 1% gap or in-vessel melt release. Consequently, the monitor reading does not require correction for background level in determining the type and amount of fuel damage. If the background radiation monitor reading is  $> 4$  R/hr, the monitor reading should be corrected for the background level in determining the type and amount of fuel damage.

**Spiked or Normal Coolant** - The radiation monitor value requires correction for the background level. Correct the monitor reading to account for the normal background level in determining the type and amount of fuel damage.

**NOTE (2):** Containment radiation will go up if there is fuel damage. The increase will depend on the type of fuel damage, and whether or not there was a LOCA, Drywell and/or Wetwell sprays were used, and the amount of blowdown from the Reactor Vessel to the Suppression Pool.

In the case of a LOCA, the fuel damage estimate depends strongly on whether or not containment sprays are being used. Special care should be taken to confirm the operation of containment sprays.

## **1.2 Containment Hydrogen**

Use Attachment 2, taken from the US NRC Response Technical Manual RTM-96, to determine the amount and type of fuel damage using Hydrogen Concentration. Obtain the containment Hydrogen levels from SPDS or the Control Room indicators.

NOTE: Containment Hydrogen will increase if there is a LOCA inside the containment and significant fuel damage.

## **1.3 Coolant Fission Product Concentration vs. Core Damage**

Coolant sampling will indicate the amount of fuel damage, but in most cases, will take too long for use in dose projections. If PASS sample data becomes available, the Nuclear Fuels Engineer is responsible for assuring a fuel damage calculation based on the measured fission product inventories is performed. The results of this analysis should be compared to previous calculations using other methods.


## **1.4 Plant Transient Precipitating Fuel Damage**

If the core experienced a loss of coolant accident and is not covered within 15 minutes, refer to Attachment 3 taken from the US NRC Response Technical Manual RTM-96. The amount of time the core was uncovered can be determined using SPDS. Using the attached figures will provide an estimate of potential fuel damage. Coolant samples must be taken to accurately assess fuel damage.

The type of transient experienced by the reactor leading to fuel damage can be an indicator of the amount and type of fission products released.

- If the core experienced an overpower/pressure transient, a gap release may have occurred.
- If the core experienced a mechanical failure, which could produce flow blockage, there may be localized fuel melt.
- If the core experienced a mechanical perturbation, such as a seismic event or a large steam line break causing a large delta pressure across the core, a gap release could result.
- If the Reactor failed to shut down (ATWS) with a subsequent loss of cooling, there may be fuel melt.

**Containment Radiation Monitor Response  
Direct Release Path to Dry well  
(Sprays Off)**




Note 1: This figure should be used only when there is a primary system breach inside containment and a direct release path to the Drywell.

Note 2: See Attachment 3 to determine if fuel melt occurred (core uncovered or fuel blockage).

**ATTACHMENT 1A**



**Containment Radiation Monitor Response  
Direct Release Path to Dry well  
(Sprays On)**




Note 1: This figure should be used only when there is a primary system breach inside containment and a direct release path to the Drywell.

Note 2: See Attachment 3 to determine if fuel melt occurred (core uncovered or fuel blockage).

**ATTACHMENT 1B**

# **Containment Radiation Monitor Response** **Direct Release to Wetwell and Not to Drywell**




**Note 1:** This figure should be used only when there is a primary system breach inside containment and a direct release path to the Wetwell without a primary release to the Drywell.

**Note 2:** See Attachment 3 to determine if fuel melt occurred (core uncovered or fuel blockage).

## **ATTACHMENT 1C**

# CONTAINMENT HYDROGEN VS CORE DAMAGE



\*BWR Mk I & II

Sources: NUREG/CR-2726, p. 4-3; damage states, NUREG-4524, Vol. 5.;  
TMI percentage, NUREG-1370; NUREG/CR-4041; NUREG/CR-5567, Table 4.9, p. 71.  
confirms "dry" volume.

## ATTACHMENT 2

**WATER INJECTION REQUIRED TO COOL CORE BY BOILING**

**CAUTION:**

These rates are those required to remove decay heat from a 3000 MW(t) plant by boiling. If there is a break requiring make up or injected water, more water than indicated will be required to both keep the core covered and cooled.

**CAUTION:**

If the core has been uncovered, the fuel temperature will have increased significantly. Additional flow will be required to accommodate the heat transfer necessary to return to equilibrium fuel temperature.

**NOTE:**

These curves are based on a 3000 MW(t) plant operated at a constant power for an infinite period and then shutdown instantaneously. The decay heat power is based on ANS-5.1/N18.6. Assuming the injected water is at 80° F, these curves are within 5% for pressures between 14 psia to 2500 psia. These curves are within 20% for injected water temperatures up to 212°F.

**ATTACHMENT 3**  
(Page 1 of 4)


### WATER INJECTION REQUIRED TO COOL CORE BY BOILING

While the top of the active core is uncovered, assume that the fuel will heat up at 1-2°F/sec. The increased core temperature will result in fuel pin damage as shown below.

Figure B-1

**NOTE:**

These estimates are reasonable (factor of 2) if the core is uncovered within a few hours of shutdown (including failure to scram). If there is sufficient injection, core heatup may be stopped or slowed due to steam cooling. Steam cooling may not prevent core damage under accident conditions.



Source: NUREC-0900, NUREC/CR-4524, NUREC-0956


### ATTACHMENT 3 (Page 2 of 4)

**CAUTION:** If the core is severely damaged, it may not be in a coolable state even if covered again with water.


**NOTE:** If there is sufficient injection, core heatup may be stopped or slowed due to steam cooling. Steam cooling may not prevent core damage under accident conditions.

**WATER INJECTION REQUIRED TO COOL CORE BY BOILING**

**Figure B-3**  
**INJECTION (gpm) REQUIRED TO REPLACE WATER LOST**  
**BY BOILING DUE TO DECAY HEAT FOR A 3000 MW(t)**  
**PLANT (1/2-24 HOURS AFTER SHUTDOWN)**



**Figure B-4**  
**INJECTION (gpm) REQUIRED TO REPLACE WATER LOST**  
**BY BOILING DUE TO DECAY HEAT FOR A 3000 MW(t)**  
**PLANT (1 to 30 DAYS AFTER SHUTDOWN)**



**ATTACHMENT 3**  
**(Page 3 of 4)**

**WATER INJECTION REQUIRED TO COOL CORE BY BOILING**

**Core damage vs. time that reactor core is uncovered**

Time PWR or 20% of BWR active core is uncovered (h)	Core temperature		Possible core damage
	(°F)	(°C)	
0	>600	>315	• None
0.5 to 0.75	1800-2400	980-1300	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Local fuel melting</li> <li>• Burning of cladding with steam production (exothermic Zr-H<sub>2</sub>O reaction with rapid H<sub>2</sub> generation)</li> <li>• Rapid fuel cladding failure (gap release from the core<sup>a</sup>)</li> </ul>
0.5 to 1.5	2400-4200	1300-2300	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Rapid release of volatile fission products (in-vessel severe core damage release from core<sup>a</sup>)</li> <li>• Possible relocation (slump) of molten core</li> <li>• Possible uncoolable core</li> </ul>
1 to 3+	>4200	>2300	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Melt-through of vessel with possible containment failure and release of additional less-volatile fission products</li> </ul>

*Sources:* NUREG/CR-4245, NUREG/CR-4624, NUREG/CR-4629, NUREG/CR-5374, NUREG-0900, NUREG-0956, NUREG-1150, and NUREG-1465.

**ATTACHMENT 3**  
(Page 4 of 4)

**CORE DAMAGE ESTIMATE II**  
(Small or no primary system breach inside Containment)

This instruction provides a method of estimating the percentage of fuel that has failed using the Containment Post-Accident Radiation Monitor (CPARM) readings on panel 1C601 (2C601) during an accident. Since the Containment Post-Accident Radiation Monitor readings are readily available, this calculation provides a quick assessment of core damage. This estimate only applies if there is a small or no primary system breach within containment.

**1.0    LIMITATIONS OF THE METHOD**

- 1.1    This procedure will only determine qualitatively the amount of fuel damage. The method uses Containment Post-Accident Radiation Monitor Readings to calculate the percentage of failed fuel during an accident where the fission products are released from the fuel rod cladding. The methodology is based on assumptions with large uncertainties that can significantly affect the results.
- 1.2    To use this method, the accident scenario up to the time of the Containment Post-Accident Radiation Monitor Reading must be well understood to estimate the fuel temperatures required by this procedure.
- 1.3    In addition, a Containment Post-Accident Radiation Monitor Reading and the time the reading was obtained must be available.

**2.0    RESPONSIBILITIES**

- 2.1    The Nuclear Fuels Engineer, Lead Technical Support Engineer, or designee collects information and makes estimates and determinations described in this procedure.

**3.0    INSTRUCTIONS**

- 3.1    Determine if Cladding Failure, Fuel Overheat, or Fuel Melt has occurred:
  - 3.1.1    Cladding Failure is expected if peak cladding temperature remains less than 2200°F, but the Containment Post-Accident Radiation Monitor readings have increased.
  - 3.1.2    Fuel Overheat is expected if peak cladding temperature exceeds 2200°F, but the maximum volume-averaged fuel pellet temperature remains less than 4500°F.
  - 3.1.3    Fuel Melt is expected if any volume-averaged fuel pellet temperature exceeds 4500°F.



3.2 Since the fuel melt temperatures are dependent on the event progression, specific guidelines cannot be given to cover all scenarios. Some judgment will have to be made or specific temperature calculations will have to be performed during the event. However, the following provides guidelines for a few known scenarios.

3.2.1 If a main steamline high radiation trip causes the scram and the core remains covered, usually cladding failure can be assumed and is possibly due to debris fretting, short term DNB, or PCI. However, if channel flow blockage is suspected, overheating or melting may occur.

3.2.2 For loss-of-inventory-after-the-reactor-is-shutdown scenarios, use Attachment 3 to Tab 4 to estimate if Fuel Melt has occurred.

3.3 Determine the Time After Reactor Shutdown that a Containment Post-Accident Radiation Monitor Reading was obtained.

3.4 Determine if the event has resulted in a primary system breach inside primary containment (increase in drywell pressure/temperature and inventory makeup to the vessel is required to maintain level in the vessel). If the total primary system water released to the drywell is equivalent to less than 9,000 gallons or no primary system breach has occurred inside primary containment, use Figure 1. Otherwise, use Core Damage Estimate I (Tab 4).

**Note:** The 9,000 gallon value is about 10% of the fluid volume of the reactor vessel and primary piping (main steam, reactor recirculation, and feedwater).

3.5 Determine Fraction of Fuel Failed (FFF) as follows:

$$FFF = \frac{CPARM \text{ Reading}}{\text{Expected 100\% Fuel Failure CPARM Reading}} \times 100$$

**FIGURE 1**  
**CONTAINMENT HIGH RANGE RADIATION MONITOR READINGS**  
**THAT ARE EXPECTED WITH 100% OF THE FUEL FAILED FOR**  
**AN EVENT WITH NO PRIMARY SYSTEM BREACH**  
**INSIDE CONTAINMENT**

