

**DOCKET NUMBER
PROPOSED RULE PR 20****68FR09595**

From: "George Hutchinson" <GBHUTCH@peoplepc.com>
To: <secy@nrc.gov>
Date: Fri, Jun 27, 2003 12:10 PM
Subject: Scoping Comments

1872

Dear NRC:

I have perused the documentation contained in the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) on "Rulemaking on Controlling the Disposition of Solid Materials" (Radioactive Waste and Materials Release, 'Recycling,' Dispersal) Scoping Process for Environmental Issues in the Federal Register: Feb. 28, 2003 Vol. 68 No. 40 Pp. 9595-9602.

I am aware that the NRC offers five (5) options in its Scoping for Rulemaking. None of these options is acceptable as is. I see the need for a sixth option.

Allowing currently licensed and regulated nuclear wastes to be cleared from regulatory control in either a restricted or unrestricted way would result in unnecessary exposures to people and other living things. There are better ways to manage radioactive wastes.

If NRC decides to proceed with this rulemaking, it should concentrate on identifying and requiring isolation, monitoring and management for the hazardous life of all the waste. The goal should be to keep track of and isolate radioactivity and all materials contaminated with it, generated by nuclear power and weapons fuel chain industries, from the environment, workers and the public.

My request is for the NRC to recapture the radioactive wastes that already have been released. Since the claim is made that these release have had no effect, I am asking the NRC to prove this by identifying where the nuclear wastes have gone and checking to see what effects there have been.

Sincerely,

George B. Hutchinson, 6613 N. Seneca Street, Portland, OR 97203

**DOCKETED
USNRC**

June 27, 2003 (2:40PM)

**OFFICE OF SECRETARY
RULEMAKINGS AND
ADJUDICATIONS STAFF**