



RECEIVED

JUN 25 AM 11: 55

Rules and Directives
Branch
UNREG

2/21/03

64FR 8530

233

FRIDIS-ADM-03
Ode-A. Snyder (AM53)
A.S. Murphy (AJM1)

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Memphis-ADM-013

Date: June 2, 2003

Dear Ms. Snyder:

Public health and safety concerns associated with transporting high-level nuclear waste can best be addressed by minimizing shipments. Please take into account the checked items below as my comments on the Package Performance Study (PPS) draft test protocol:

- ☒ NRC should upgrade cask licensing regulations to require full-scale physical testing of each cask design.
- ☒ At the very least, the PPS should include testing of the General Electric IF-300 cask used by Progress Energy, the only utility currently shipping nuclear waste.
- ☒ The PPS should include explosive testing to study cask response to a terrorist attack.

we cannot tell you how VERY important it is that these items be taken care of. All step people we talk with are quite concerned. S.J.

(signature)

(name) Lester P. Jackson

(address) 308 Golfers Lane

Nashville, NC, 27856