



RECEIVED

JUN 16 PM 1:43

Rules and Directives
Regulatory

4/21/03

68 FE 8532

226

E-RTDS-A D-1-03

Call - A. Snyder (HUS3)

A. S. Murphy (ASM1)

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Temp Call - ASDM-013

Date: 5/31/03

Dear Ms. Snyder:

Public health and safety concerns associated with transporting high-level nuclear waste can best be addressed by minimizing shipments. Please take into account the checked items below as my comments on the Package Performance Study (PPS) draft test protocol:

- ☒ NRC should upgrade cask licensing regulations to require full-scale physical testing of each cask design.
- ☒ At the very least, the PPS should include testing of the General Electric IF-300 cask used by Progress Energy, the only utility currently shipping nuclear waste.
- ☒ The PPS should include explosive testing to study cask response to a terrorist attack.

☒ NRC doesn't know risk of terrorist attack?

Do sensitivity analysis using various

scenarios

(signature) Debra H. Weiner

(name) Debra H. Weiner

(address) 830 Shady Lawn Rd

Chapel Hill NC 27514

Intelligent people won't buy the idea that it can be ignored because it can't be estimated.