

4/3/02 Meeting on Information Control Post 9/11

Tim Koblenz

Steve O'Conner

Gill Millner

Don Hassell

Mark Delligatti

John Hovinger, NMSS

Tom Smith

Margie Kozalos

Therese Kova

Bill Keckley

Mary Pat Siemens

Gigi Carrington (for Carol the Reed)

Wayne Davis

Dick Rosano

Kevin Shaw (for Mike Collins - Doc. processing contract)

NRK/NMSS had some differences re: sensitivity levels.

Tech specs back on the web but were taken off ADAMS

@ 1100 docs removed from ADAMS since 9/11 (@ 130,000 publicly available total)

Not looking at everyday license amendments + SERs. (small probability of having anything sensitive)

For written NUREGs, review by NRK team + approval goes to OCIO

Documents that get FOIA'd must be redacted before they are released.

B-94

NMSS is Redacting everyday routine work, i.e. licensing actions.

NMSS interpretation of a lower threshold (i.e. enrichment) # fuel assemblies)

NER has them in tech specs, NMSS taking them out

Difficult to decide what info is harmful or useful to a terrorist when you don't know the threat --

Enrichment really only applicable to theft (NER thinks sabotage - have to use a DBT (well trained & dedicated group) NMSS thinks sabotage - theft)

RTS

Technical Reviewer in RTS makes the determination + Division Director either concurs or not (NERTS Reg Guides)

Three offices will come to work thru a review of documents to try and achieve consensus - include staff type to get the correct viewpoint

Warrant-controlled documents - Either redact them once we get them or tell the licensee what info to not include.