

DRAFT
OFFICIAL USE ONLY NOT FOR PUBLIC RELEASE

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chairman Meserve
Commissioner Dicus
Commissioner Diaz
Commissioner McGaffigan
Commissioner Merrifield

FROM: William D. Travers, EDO

SUBJECT: WITHHOLDING SENSITIVE HOMELAND SECURITY
INFORMATION FROM THE PUBLIC

This memorandum responds to the Staff Requirements Memorandum - COMSECY-01-0030, dated January 25, 2002, which requested the staff to revise the criteria for withholding information from the public and submit it for Commission approval.

Background

Since the events of September 11, the staff has re-examined existing policies on the dissemination of information routinely provided to the public. Once the agency decided to shut down its web site in October of 2001, the staff began formulating a process for the review of information, previously made publicly available, that may be considered sensitive from the standpoint of potential terrorist activity.

The staff developed proposed interim criteria for use in deciding what information should not be released to the public and submitted it to the Commission on October 29, 2001. The Commission subsequently provided general comments and discussion and requested the staff to submit revised guidance and criteria, *that* which is contained in this memorandum. We believe the attached guidance is consistent with Commission direction in the SRM.

General Discussion: *and Criteria*

The criteria have been developed to assist the staff in making decisions on when to withhold certain documents from the public, *which includes not posting them to the NRC web site or not making them available in the ADAMS public library, and the*

Public Document Room.

via the external

Insert from p. 2

potential terrorists

DRAFT
OFFICIAL USE ONLY NOT FOR PUBLIC RELEASE

This guidance reflects a practical approach to screening documents with the intent of ensuring that the staff does not release information that can be misused against NRC-regulated activities and facilities. The criteria may be adjusted in the future based on our experience using them. To the extent uncertainties exist about whether a particular document should be made publicly available, senior office management will make the final decision.

Insert from p. 3
Information will be withheld only if its release could provide a clear and significant benefit to an adversary for a potential attack and the information must be that which is generated by the NRC, our licensees, or our contractors. Information of a general nature or of marginal relevance will not be withheld.

PI Definition

Sensitive Homeland Security Information (SHSI) is that which is not already controlled as safeguards or classified information and would provide a clear and significant benefit to a terrorist in a potential attack. We believe that the criteria contained in this memorandum comports with the draft definition that the Office of Homeland Security (OHS) has developed for SHSI. When the final definition for SHSI is issued by the OHS, our guidance *may* need to be revised accordingly.

The staff believes there is the potential for some overlap between SHSI and safeguards information, and is working to identify distinct boundaries between the two designations.

Guidance on Availability of Documents

In accordance with Commission direction in the SRM, guidance and criteria will be issued to the staff which contain the following instructions on availability of documents:

Documents
Information that is currently widely available to the public via ADAMS, as of the issuance date of this guidance ~~should not be systematically reviewed against the criteria~~ *can be released*

- However, documents that were on the NRC external web page, the public library of ADAMS, or in the public document room, but were withdrawn in response to 9/11 events, will be reviewed against the criteria before being released again; and
- All new documents generated after the issuance date of this guidance will be reviewed against the criteria.

Because documents in the PDR are widely available through other sources (GPO, NTIS, local libraries, etc.), we do not intend to have the PDR staff review requests for archived documents. If the technical staff identifies individual documents that contain sensitive information, the PDR staff will no longer make them available. This may require removing a document in its entirety, such as an archived FSAR that is stored on microfiche, even though only several pages are considered sensitive. Licensees who submit current updates to FSARs on CD-ROM can more easily separate sensitive material from that which is non-sensitive. Additionally, because NRC

(to the public.)

*Can be released
returned in
the public
domain &
do not have
to be reviewed
for "sensitive"*

*We send
requests to
GPO or
provide the
document
w/out review*

Are you saying that documents that were continuously available to the public before and after 9/11 will continue to be available?

DRAFT
OFFICIAL USE ONLY NOT FOR PUBLIC RELEASE

does not control archival collections external to the agency, documents may continue to be made publicly available through other sources *such as at former local public document rooms.*

Any decision by the staff to withhold information will be guided by balancing the costs and benefits of withholding. If the outcome of balancing the costs and benefits of withholding the information is uncertain, the information will be released.

P.2 → Staff will consider providing alternate means for the release of relevant information on important documents having high public interest in a fashion that would not provide significant assistance to a terrorist, *i.e.* by redacting details or rewriting important documents to eliminate sensitive information. *e.g.*

The web site will be rebuilt by applying the ~~attached~~ criteria to posted information. We are aware that external organizations have material on their web sites that may be considered sensitive under the criteria, and we will be dealing with this on a case-by-case basis. We will continue to satisfy our legal obligations to make certain information publicly available *and to adhere to our openness principle without compromising national security.*

Review Process:

Program offices will be responsible for assigning ^{*specific*} ~~certain~~ staff to act as points of contact for the identification of SHSI. The staff will be issued specific guidance and training materials concerning the identification, control, and protection of SHSI. Pending the development of revised Management Directives and office-level guidance documents, the staff will continue to use the approaches set forth in this memorandum.

The review process for SHSI will be incorporated into existing procedures for document management and control that are similar to those already existing for proprietary and other types of protected information.

2. [Agency and office-level procedures will contain a process for final disposition where differences of opinion exist among the staff regarding release of information. *Who makes final determination?*

Protection of SHSI:

and vendors
 We will work with licensees to enable them to identify and mark their documents that meet the criteria for SHSI so that their information can be appropriately controlled and protected when received by NRC staff. The criteria will also be shared with Agreement States for their information and appropriate use and protection.

Can
 The OGC staff considers most SHSI ~~able to be protected under 10 CFR 2.790 (d)...~~ *and other security to safeguard information that could be dangerous in the wrong hands*
screening
an

memorandum

DRAFT
OFFICIAL USE ONLY NOT FOR PUBLIC RELEASE

2001, the Attorney General issued a new policy indicating that the Department of Justice will defend agency decisions to withhold records that rest on a sound factual and legal footing.

Recommendation:

We recommend the Commission approve the guidance contained in this memorandum. We plan to issue this guidance to the staff once Commission approval is received.

The major program offices will work with OCIO and others to integrate the identification and control of SHSI into the routine activities performed by the agency.

DRAFT
OFFICIAL USE ONLY NOT FOR PUBLIC RELEASE

**CRITERIA TO BE USED WHEN DECIDING WHETHER TO WITHHOLD
 INFORMATION FROM THE PUBLIC**

Information currently widely available to the public via ADAMS as of the issuance date of this guidance should not be systematically reviewed against these criteria. If a document is found to contain sensitive information, it should be carefully reviewed against these criteria while considering the cost of its removal from the public domain.

However, documents that were on the NRC external web page, the public library of ADAMS, or in the public document room, but were withdrawn in response to 9/11 events, should be reviewed against these criteria before being released again.

Similarly, all new documents generated after the issuance date of this guidance should be reviewed against these criteria.

The NRC staff should continue to withhold information such as proprietary, privacy, safeguards or classified information consistent with established guidance and procedures. In addition, staff should limit public release of information if it contains one or more elements from the following criteria:

1. Plant-specific information, generated by NRC, our licensees, or our contractors, that would clearly aid in planning an assault on a facility. An example might be drawings depicting the location of certain safety equipment within plant buildings. Examples may include portions of Final Safety Analysis Reports (FSARs), Individual Plant Examination (IPE) material, and other risk and facility vulnerability information. *Can you site a Reg. Directive?*
2. Physical vulnerabilities or weaknesses of nuclear facilities which would clearly be useful to terrorists, such as site-specific security measures, access controls, or personnel security clearance procedures.
3. Construction details of specific facilities, such as wall thicknesses or specific barrier dimensions, detailed diagrams, schematics, or cutaways of specific plant designs where such information would be of clear and significant benefit to a terrorist in a potential attack. Where appropriate, general descriptions instead of exact numbers (i.e. "several feet, several inches, layers of concrete") should be used for general public information. *other*
4. Information which clearly would be useful to defeat or breach key barriers at nuclear facilities.
5. Information in any type of document (e.g., plant status report, press release) that provides the current status or configuration of systems and equipment that could be *e.g.,*

DRAFT
OFFICIAL USE ONLY NOT FOR PUBLIC RELEASE

used to determine facility vulnerabilities if used by an adversary. This does not include general conditions such as 100 percent power or shutdown.

General categories of information that may now be released:

Performance indicators and inspection findings
OSRE findings that have been corrected
Plant status report (minus "reasons and comments" column)
Specific locations of licensed facilities

Event reports?